## First Order Transition for the Optimal Search Time of Lévy Flights with Resetting

Lukasz Kusmierz,<sup>1,2</sup> Satya N. Majumdar,<sup>3</sup> Sanjib Sabhapandit,<sup>4</sup> and Grégory Schehr<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Physics, UJ, Reymonta 4, 30-059 Krakow, Poland

<sup>2</sup>Department of Automatics and Biomedical Engineering, AGH, Aleja Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Krakow, Poland

<sup>3</sup>Université Paris-Sud, CNRS, LPTMS, UMR 8626, Orsay F-91405, France

<sup>4</sup>Raman Research Institute, Bangalore 560080, India

(Received 18 July 2014; published 26 November 2014)

We study analytically an intermittent search process in one dimension. There is an immobile target at the origin and a searcher undergoes a discrete time jump process starting at  $x_0 \ge 0$ , where successive jumps are drawn independently from an arbitrary jump distribution  $f(\eta)$ . In addition, with a probability  $0 \le r < 1$ , the position of the searcher is reset to its initial position  $x_0$ . The efficiency of the search strategy is characterized by the mean time to find the target, i.e., the mean first passage time (MFPT) to the origin. For arbitrary jump distribution  $f(\eta)$ , initial position  $x_0$  and resetting probability r, we compute analytically the MFPT. For the heavy-tailed Lévy stable jump distribution characterized by the Lévy index  $0 < \mu < 2$ , we show that, for any given  $x_0$ , the MFPT has a global minimum in the  $(\mu, r)$  plane at  $(\mu^*(x_0), r^*(x_0))$ . We find a remarkable first-order phase transition as  $x_0$  crosses a critical value  $x_0^*$  at which the optimal parameters change discontinuously. Our analytical results are in good agreement with numerical simulations.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.220602

PACS numbers: 05.40.-a, 02.50.-r

The study of search strategies has generated tremendous interest in the last few years, as they have found a wide variety of applications in various areas of science. For instance, they play an important role in diffusion-controlled reactions [1]—with implications in the context of genomic transcription in cells [2]—or in computer science [3], like in the quest of solution of hard optimization problem. More recently, search processes have been intensively studied in behavioral ecology [4]. In that context, searching for a target is a crucial task for living beings to obtain food or find a shelter [4]. In this case, the survival of a species is conditioned, to a large extent, to the optimization of the search time. Hence, the characterization of the efficiency of search algorithms has generated a huge interest during the last few years, both experimentally [4–6] and theoretically [7–12].

When studying animal movements during their search or foraging period, it has proven to be useful to model their outwardly unpredictable dynamics by random walks (RWs) [1,7–12]. The increasing number of experimental data for various animals [4–6], have stimulated the study of several search strategies based on RWs. In particular, multiple scales RWs, where phases of local diffusion alternate with long range nonlocal moves, have been put forward as a viable and efficient search strategy. For instance, these nonlocal moves can be modeled by Lévy flights [7,8], or by the so called "intermittent" RWs [9].

Recently, an intermittent strategy, where a locally diffusive searcher is reset randomly with a constant rate to its initial position, has been introduced and demonstrated to be rather efficient in searching a fixed target located at the origin in all dimensions [11-16]. In particular, it was shown that the mean capture time of the target, a natural measure of the efficiency of the search process, is finite and becomes minimal for an optimal choice of the resetting rate. Apart from the issue of search, this resetting move also drives the system to a nonequilibrium stationary state which has been characterized fully both for a single Brownian motion [11,12,15] and spatially extended systems including fluctuating interfaces [17] or reaction-diffusion systems [18] (in the latter case with a different resetting procedure). In the last years, stochastic processes with random restarts have



FIG. 1 (color online). Illustration of the search strategy which combines long jumps (Lévy flights) and random resettings, with probability *r*, at the initial position  $x_0$ . Here, the search time, i.e., the first passage time in 0 where the target is located is  $T_{x_0}(\mu, r) = 9$  while there have been two resettings, at step 4 and 7. The integers *n* and *m* with n = 6 and m = 2 here illustrate the notations in the renewal equation in Eq. (4).

also been used in computer science as a useful strategy to optimize search algorithms in hard combinatorial problems as well as in simulated annealing [3,19].

In all these situations discussed above, the local exploration process is typically diffusive. However animal movements on a local scale are not always diffusive [7,8] and the jump distribution between two successive positions may itself have heavy tails, such as in Lévy flights. It is then natural to ask, for such jump processes with heavy tails, whether resetting to the initial position also makes the search of a target more efficient. In this Letter, we introduce a simple model that combines jump processes with heavy tails and random resetting to the initial position. Indeed, we demonstrate that resetting is an efficient search strategy even when the local moves are not Brownian, but are instead heavy tailed. In particular, our analytical results demonstrate that this model has a rather rich behavior even in the simple one-dimensional setting, where it exhibits a rather surprising first-order phase transition. Even though our results concern the onedimensional case, they are of interest given that 1D searching is highly relevant to biological applications, in particular to the process of finding location of specific DNA sequences by proteins [1,20].

For simplicity, we define the model in one dimension. Higher-dimensional generalizations of the model are straightforward. In our model, the searcher moves in discrete time on a line, starting from the initial position  $x_0 \ge 0$ . The target is located at the origin. At time step *n*, the current location  $x_n$  of the searcher is updated via the following rules (see Fig. 1):

$$x_n = \begin{cases} x_0 & \text{with probability } r, \\ x_{n-1} + \eta_n & \text{with probability } 1 - r, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where 0 < r < 1 denotes the probability of a resetting event and the jump lengths  $\eta_n$ 's are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables each drawn from a probability density function (PDF)  $f(\eta)$  with a heavy tail  $f(\eta) \sim |\eta|^{-1-\mu}$  for large  $|\eta|$ , with a Lévy index  $0 < \mu < 2$ . Here, we consider the class of Lévy stable processes for which the Fourier transform of the jump distribution is given by  $\hat{f}(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ik\eta} f(\eta) d\eta = e^{-|ak|^{\mu}}$ , where *a* sets the scale of the jumps (we set a = 1 in the following). The heavy tail is reflected in the small *k* behavior of  $\hat{f}(k) \sim 1 - |k|^{\mu} + \cdots$  as  $k \to 0$ . The case  $\mu = 2$  corresponds to ordinary random walks, while  $\mu < 2$  describes Lévy flights where the jumps are typically very large [21].

In the following, we consider the case of "myopic search" where the search ends when the walker crosses the origin (the location of the immobile target) for the first time, (see Fig. 1). The efficiency of the search process is conveniently characterized by the average search time

 $\langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle$ , which depends on  $x_0$ ,  $\mu$ , and r. In this Letter, we obtain an exact expression for  $\langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle$  given in Eq. (7). For a fixed  $x_0$ , we then optimize  $\langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle$  with respect to the two parameters  $\mu$  and r and find the optimal parameters  $\mu^*(x_0)$  and  $r^*(x_0)$  as a function of  $x_0$ . Naively, one might have expected that the optimal parameters are  $\mu^* = r^* = 0$ , independently of  $x_0$ . Instead we find, quite remarkably, that these optimal values  $\mu^*(x_0)$  and  $r^*(x_0)$  exhibit a rather rich and surprising behavior, as functions of  $x_0$ . We show indeed that there exists a critical value  $x_0^* \approx 0.58$  (its value determined numerically) such that the optimal strategy depends crucially on whether  $x_0 > x_0^*$  or  $x_0 < x_0^*$ . When  $x_0 > x_0^*$ , the optimal parameters are independent of  $x_0$ , and are given by

$$\mu^*(x_0 > x_0^*) = 0, \qquad r^*(x_0 > x_0^*) = r_>^*,$$
 (2a)

where 
$$r_{>}^{*} = \frac{\sqrt{e-1}}{2} \left( \sqrt{e} - \sqrt{e-1} \right) = 0.22145....$$
 (2b)

In Eq. (2a),  $\mu^* = 0$  actually means the limit  $\mu^* \to 0$ . On the other hand, for  $x_0 < x_0^*$ , the optimal values  $\mu^*(x_0)$  and  $r^*(x_0)$  depend continuously on  $x_0$ , both of them being monotonically decreasing functions of  $x_0$ . In particular, in the limit where  $x_0 \to 0^+$ , we find

$$r^*(x_0 \to 0^+) = r_0^* = 1/4,$$
 (3a)

$$\mu^*(x_0 \to 0^+) = \mu_0^* = 1.2893..., \tag{3b}$$

where  $\mu_0^*$  is the solution of a transcendental equation given in Eq. (10). Moreover, we find that the optimal parameters  $\mu^*(x_0)$  and  $r^*(x_0)$  exhibit a discontinuity as  $x_0$  crosses the value  $x_0^*$  (see Fig. 3). This behavior is typically a characteristic of a first order transition at  $x_0^*$ .

In order to compute the mean search time, or the mean first passage time (MFPT),  $\langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle$ , to the origin (x = 0), we introduce the cumulative distribution function (CDF)  $Q_{x_0}(r, n) = \text{Proba.}[T_{x_0}(\mu, r) > n]$ . The CDF  $Q_{x_0}(r, n)$  is thus the survival probability, i.e., probability that the walker starting from  $x_0$  does not cross the origin up to step n in presence of resetting. Obviously, one has  $\langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle = \sum_{n \ge 0} Q_{x_0}(r, n)$ . To compute  $Q_{x_0}(r, n)$ , we write a recursion relation for this quantity, by using the fact that the resetting dynamics in Eq. (1) is Markovian. At a fixed time step n, we denote by m the number of steps elapsed since the last resetting (see Fig. 1). The probability of a reset at step n - m followed by no resetting during m steps is  $r(1 - r)^m$ . Using this fact, we get (see [17] for the derivation of a similar equation in a continuous time setting)

$$Q_{x_0}(r,n) = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} r(1-r)^m Q_{x_0}(r,n-m-1)Q_{x_0}(0,m) + (1-r)^n Q_{x_0}(0,n),$$
(4)

where  $Q_{x_0}(0, n)$  is the survival probability in the absence of resetting (i.e., r = 0). The first term on the right hand side of Eq. (4) accounts for the event where the last resetting before step *n* takes place at step n - m (see Fig. 1) with  $0 \le m \le n - 1$ . The evolution from step n - m to step *n* occurs without resetting and the survival probability during this period is  $Q_{x_0}(0, m)$ , while  $Q_{x_0}(r, n - m - 1)$  accounts for the survival probability from step 1 to step n - m - 1 in presence of resetting. The last term in Eq. (4) corresponds to the case where there is no resetting event at all up to step *n*, which occurs with probability  $(1 - r)^n$ .

To solve Eq. (4), we introduce its generating function  $\tilde{Q}_{x_0}(r, z) = \sum_{n \ge 0} Q_{x_0}(r, n) z^n$ . Multiplying both sides of Eq. (4) by  $z^n$  and summing over *n*, we arrive at the result

$$\tilde{Q}_{x_0}(r,z) = \frac{\tilde{Q}_{x_0}(0,(1-r)z)}{1 - rz\tilde{Q}_{x_0}(0,(1-r)z)}.$$
(5)

This formula [Eq. (5)] relates the survival probability in presence of resetting  $(r \ge 0)$  to the one without resetting (r = 0). A relation, similar in spirit to Eq. (5), was derived in the context of intermittent search in a confined system [22], though the actual dynamics there is quite different from the present model. Fortunately, for any continuous and symmetric jump distribution  $f(\eta)$ , the Laplace transform (LT) of  $\tilde{Q}_{x_0}(0, z)$  with respect to  $x_0$  (the case of no resetting), can be explicitly computed using the so-called Pollaczek-Spitzer formula [23–25]:

$$\int_0^\infty \tilde{Q}_{x_0}(0,z) e^{-\lambda x_0} dx_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda \sqrt{1-z}} \varphi(z,\lambda), \quad (6a)$$

$$\varphi(z,\lambda) = \exp\left[-\frac{\lambda}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{\lambda^2 + k^2} \ln\left(1 - z\hat{f}(k)\right)\right].$$
 (6b)

Hence, Eq. (5) together with Eq. (6) allow us to compute the CDF of the search time  $T_{x_0}(\mu, r)$ . Note that Eqs. (5) and (6) are actually valid for arbitrary jump distributions  $f(\eta)$ , including, in particular, the Lévy case in which we are interested.

A useful characteristic of the full PDF of  $T_{x_0}(\mu, r)$  is its first moment, on which we now focus. Noting the simple identity  $\langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle = \tilde{Q}_{x_0}(r, 1)$ , one obtains from Eq. (5)

$$\langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle = \tilde{Q}_{x_0}(r, 1) = \frac{\tilde{Q}_{x_0}(0, 1-r)}{1 - r\tilde{Q}_{x_0}(0, 1-r)},$$
 (7)

where  $\tilde{Q}_{x_0}(0, 1-r)$  can, in principle, be computed from Eq. (6). The first observation is that when  $x_0 = 0$ , the MFPT is totally independent of the jump distribution  $f(\eta)$ . Indeed, in this limit,  $\tilde{Q}_{x_0=0}(0, z)$  is given by the Sparre Andersen theorem [21,26], which states that  $\tilde{Q}_{x_0=0}(0, z) = 1/\sqrt{1-z}$ . Therefore, for  $x_0 = 0$ , one obtains a universal result

$$\langle T_{x_0=0}(\mu,r)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r-r}},\tag{8}$$

which is independent of  $\mu$  and has a minimum at  $r^*(x_0 = 0) = 1/4$ , where the minimal MFPT is  $T^*(x_0 = 0) = 4$ . The question is: what happens when  $x_0 > 0$ ?

To get some insights for  $x_0 > 0$ , we first perform a small  $x_0$  expansion of  $\langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle$ . This requires the large  $\lambda$  expansion of the LT of  $\tilde{Q}_{x_0}(r, z)$  in Eq. (6). For the case of purely stable jumps, i.e.,  $\hat{f}(k) = e^{-|k|^{\mu}}$ , this yields to lowest nontrivial order (see Supplemental Material [27]):

$$\langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r(1 - \sqrt{r})}} - \frac{x_0}{\sqrt{r(1 - \sqrt{r})^2}} \frac{1}{\pi} \\ \times \int_0^\infty \ln\left[1 - (1 - r)e^{-k^{\mu}}\right] dk + O(x_0^2).$$
(9)

We can now look for the optimal parameters  $r^*(x_0)$  and  $\mu^*(x_0)$  that minimize  $\langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle$  in Eq. (9), for a fixed (small)  $x_0$ . To the lowest order, we find  $r^*(x_0) = 1/4 + O(x_0)$  while  $\lim_{x_0 \to 0^+} \mu^*(x_0) = \mu_0^*$ , where  $\mu_0^*$  is the unique solution, on the interval (0,2), of the equation:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{k^{\mu_0^*} \ln k}{\exp(k^{\mu_0^*}) - 3/4} dk = 0.$$
(10)

Solving Eq. (10) via MATHEMATICA yields  $\mu_0^* = 1.2893...$ , as announced in Eq. (3). From Eq. (9), one finds that the optimal MFPT is given by  $T^*(x_0) = \langle T_{x_0}(\mu^*, r^*) \rangle =$  $4 + O(x_0)$ . This perturbative calculation for small  $x_0$ demonstrates the nontrivial fact that, for small  $x_0$ , there exists a nontrivial optimal set of parameters  $(r^*(x_0), \mu^*(x_0))$  given in Eq. (3). This leading order perturbation theory can, in principle, be extended to higher orders in  $x_0$ .

To proceed beyond the perturbative calculation presented above, we perform numerical simulations of the resetting dynamics in Eq. (1). For a given  $x_0$ , we compute numerically  $\langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle$  by sampling  $10^7$  to  $9 \times 10^7$  (depending on  $x_0$ ) independent realizations of the resetting dynamics [Eq. (1)], for different values of the parameters r and  $\mu$ . In Fig. 2, we show  $\langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle$  as a function of  $\mu$  and r for three different values of  $x_0$ . As shown in Fig. 2(a), for  $x_0 < x_0^* \approx 0.58$ ,  $\langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle$  exhibits a global minimum at a nontrivial value of  $\mu^*(x_0)$  and  $r^*(x_0)$  which are both decreasing functions of  $x_0$  [see Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), respectively]. In the limit  $x_0 \to 0$ , these curves converge PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS



FIG. 2 (color online). 2D plots of the average search time  $\langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle$ , computed using numerical simulations, in the  $(\mu, r)$  plane for different values of the initial position  $x_0$ : (a)  $x_0 = 0.56 < x_0^*$ , (b)  $x_0 = x_0^* \approx 0.58$ , and (c)  $x_0 = 0.65 > x_0^*$ .

to our exact results in Eqs. (3). In contrast, for  $x_0 > x_0^*$ , our simulations show [see Fig. 2(c)] that the minimum of  $\langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle$  is instead reached at  $\mu^*(x_0 > x_0^*) = 0$ . Figure 2(b) shows the critical case  $x_0 = x_0^*$ . Quite remarkably, the values of the optimal parameters  $\mu^*(x_0)$  and  $r^*(x_0)$  exhibit a sharp discontinuity as  $x_0$  crosses the critical value  $x_0^* \approx 0.58$ , as shown in Fig. 3.

The case  $x_0 > x_0^*$ .—Our numerical simulations clearly indicate that, for  $x_0 > x_0^*$ ,  $\mu^*(x_0 > x_0^*) = 0$  but  $r^*(x_0 > x_0^*)$ is a nontrivial constant independent of  $x_0$ . We can actually compute this constant analytically (see Supplemental Material [27]). Using the hint from the simulations that  $\mu^* = 0$ , we analyze Eq. (6) in the limit  $\mu \to 0$ . In this limit, we find [27]

$$\lim_{\mu \to 0} \langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}\sqrt{1 - (1 - r)/e} - r}.$$
 (11)

As a function of r,  $\langle T_{x_0}(\mu \to 0, r) \rangle$  in Eq. (11) has a unique minimum at the optimal value  $r_{>}^*$  given in Eq. (2b). Substituting  $r = r_{>}^*$  in Eq. (11) gives the optimal value of the MFPT

$$T^*(x_0 > x_0^*) = \frac{2\sqrt{e}(\sqrt{e} + \sqrt{e-1})}{e-1} = 5.6794....$$
 (12)

In Fig. 4, we show a plot of  $\langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle$  for  $x_0 = 1 > x_0^*$ , computed numerically, as a function of *r* and for different small values of  $\mu$ . This plot confirms that, as  $\mu \to 0$ ,



FIG. 3 (color online). Plot of the optimal parameters  $\mu^*(x_0)$  and  $r^*(x_0)$ , obtained from numerical simulations, as a function of  $x_0$ . Both of them exhibit a clear discontinuity for  $x_0 = x_0^* \approx 0.58$ , reminiscent of a first order phase transition.

the numerical data do converge to our exact results in Eqs. (11) and (12).

Interestingly, our numerics also reveal the existence of a second special value  $x_0^c \approx 0.56 < x_0^*$ , suggesting the following scenario as  $x_0$  is increased from 0 to  $\infty$ . As  $x_0$  increases, starting from 0,  $\langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle$  admits a single global minimum at  $X_{\min}^{(1)} = (\mu^*(x_0) > 0, r^*(x_0))$  [see Fig. 2(a)] until  $x_0$  reaches the value  $x_0 = x_0^c$ . At this point, a second local minimum appears at  $X_{\min}^{(2)} = (\mu = 0, r_>)$ . The value of  $\langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle$  at this local minimum at  $X_{\min}^{(2)}$  however remains higher than the one at  $X_{\min}^{(1)}$  until  $x_0 > x_0^*$ . Therefore, in this range, when  $x_0^c < x_0 < x_0^*$ , there are two competing local minimum with  $X_{\min}^{(1)}$  being the global minimum, and  $X_{\min}^{(2)}$  increases beyond  $x_0^*$ , then  $X_{\min}^{(2)}$  becomes the global minimum [see Fig. 2(c)]. When  $x_0$  increases beyond  $x_0^*$ , then a typical scenario for a first order phase transition, as clearly illustrated in Fig. 3.

This second value  $x_0^c$  can actually be estimated analytically by studying the stability of the local minimum  $X_{\min}^{(2)}$  starting from large  $x_0$  where it is also a global minimum. We compute the sign of the derivative of  $\partial \langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle / \partial \mu$  evaluated at  $\mu = 0$  and  $r = r_{>}^*$  given in Eq. (2b). A straightforward computation, using Eqs. (6) and (7) shows that

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{\partial \langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle}{\partial \mu}\right)\Big|_{r=r^*_{>}, \mu=0} = \operatorname{sgn}[\ln(x_0) + \gamma_E], \quad (13)$$

where  $\gamma_E = 0.57721...$  is the Euler constant. The slope does change sign from positive to negative as  $x_0$  crosses from above the value  $x_0^c = e^{-\gamma_E} = 0.56146... < x_0^* \approx 0.58$ . Our numerical estimate of  $x_0^c$  is fully in agreement with the exact value  $x_0^c = e^{-\gamma_E}$ .

To summarize, for a searcher undergoing stable Lévy jump processes with resetting in one dimension, we showed that the MFPT to a fixed target at the origin has a rich phase diagram as a function of the Lévy index  $\mu$ , the resetting probability r, and the starting position  $x_0$ . In particular, the optimal parameters ( $\mu^*(x_0), r^*(x_0)$ ) that minimize the MFPT exhibit a surprising first-order phase transition at a critical value  $x_0^*$ . Our study leads to several open questions. For example, how generic is this first-order phase transition?



FIG. 4 (color online).  $\langle T_{x_0}(\mu, r) \rangle$  vs *r*—comparison between numerical results for small  $\mu$  and analytical prediction for  $\mu \to 0$ .

Does it depend only on the tail or on other details of the jump distribution? Also, does this transition exist in higher dimensions and in presence of multiple searchers? These questions remain outstanding for future studies.

SM and GS are supported by the ANR Grant No. 2011-BS04-013-01 WALKMAT. SM, SS, and GS are partially supported by the Indo-French Center for the Promotion of Advanced Research under Project No. 4604-3. GS also acknowledges support from Labex PALM (Project RANDMAT). SM, SS, and GS thank the Galileo Galilei Institute for Theoretical Physics, Florence, Italy for the hospitality and the INFN for partial support during the completion of this work.

- O. Bénichou, C. Loverdo, M. Moreau, and R. Voituriez, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 81 (2011).
- [2] O. G. Berg, R. B. Winter, and P. H. Von Hippel, Biochemistry 20, 6929 (1981).
- [3] L. Lovasz, Random Walks on Graphs: A Survey in Combinatronics (Bolyai Society for Mathematical Studies, Budapest, 1996), Vol. 2, p. 1.
- [4] J. W. Bell, Searching Behaviour, the Behavioural Ecology of Finding Resources, Animal Behaviour Series (Chapman and Hall, London, 1991).
- [5] W. J. O'Brien, H. I. Browman, and B. I. Evans, Am. Sci. 78, 152 (1990).

- [6] N. E. Humphries, H. Weimerskirch, N. Queiroz, E. J. Southall, and D. W. Sims, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 109, 7169 (2012).
- [7] G. Viswanathan, V. Afanasyev, S. V. Buldyrev, E. J. Murphy, P. A. Prince, and H. E. Stanley, Nature (London) 381, 413 (1996).
- [8] G. Viswanathan, S. V. Buldyrev, S. Havlin, M. Da Luz, E. Raposo, and H. E. Stanley, Nature (London) 401, 911 (1999).
- [9] O. Bénichou, M. Coppey, M. Moreau, P.-H. Suet, and R. Voituriez, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 198101 (2005).
- [10] G. M. Viswanathan, M. G. E. da Luz, E. P. Raposo, and H. E. Stanley, *The Physics of Foraging* (Cambridge, Cambridge, 2011).
- [11] M. R. Evans and S. N. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 160601 (2011).
- [12] M. R. Evans and S. N. Majumdar, J. Phys. A 44, 435001 (2011).
- [13] M. R. Evans, S. N. Majumdar, and K. Mallick, J. Phys. A 46, 185001 (2013).
- [14] J. Whitehouse, M. R. Evans, and S. N. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. E 87, 022118 (2013).
- [15] M. R. Evans and S. N. Majumdar, J. Phys. A 47, 285001 (2014).
- [16] D. Boyer and C. Solis-Salas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 240601 (2014).
- [17] S. Gupta, S. N. Majumdar, and G. Schehr, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 220601 (2014).
- [18] X. Durang, M. Henkel, and H. Park, J. Phys. A 47, 045002 (2014).
- [19] A. Montanari and R. Zecchina, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 178701 (2002).
- [20] J. Gorman and E. C. Greene, Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 15, 768 (2008).
- [21] W. Feller, An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications (Wiley, New York, 1968).
- [22] M. Coppey, O. Bénichou, R. Voituriez, and M. Moreau, Biophys. J. 87, 1640 (2004).
- [23] F. Pollaczeck, C.R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci., 234, 2334 (1952); J. Appl. Probab. 12, 390 (1975).
- [24] F. Spitzer, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 82, 323 (1956).
- [25] For a review, see S. N. Majumdar, Physica (Amsterdam) A389, 4299 (2010).
- [26] E. Sparre Andersen, Math. Scand. 1, 263 (1953); Math. Scand. 2, 195 (1954).
- [27] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.220602 for more details.