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Galaxies are the visible matter in the fabric of space-time. Traditionally, based on their appearance in the visible bands, they have been classified into elliptical and spiral galaxies. This classification scheme, introduced by Hubble, reveals not only the physical properties but also, to some extent, the evolution of galaxies. This is evident from the strong correlation that exists between the bulge to disk luminosity ratio, relative mass of atomic Hydrogen (HI) with luminosity, mass concentration, stellar population, nuclear properties, chemical abundance of interstellar medium, star formation history etc. seen right across the Hubble sequence.

In this thesis, another parameter called the lopsidedness that is seen in many of the galaxies has been estimated. Lopsidedness or the asymmetry seen in the matter distribution and its motion as a function of radius and azimuthal angle in the galactic disk is an important tool in studying the physical appearance and history of the galaxy. A large fraction of galaxies display this phenomenon. Some of the earlier works indicate that approximately 50 to 75% of galaxies show lopsidedness. These studies mainly used the HI spectral line observations or images obtained using near Infra-Red bands. In this study, the HI 21cm emission maps of galaxies are used to estimate the lopsidedness. Hence our study is restricted to spiral galaxies which are rich in HI.

The first step in the direction of estimating the lopsidedness is evolving a method for quantifying the lopsidedness. In this work, we have used the Fourier series technique to estimate the lopsidedness. This is the first time such a technique is being used to analyse the HI 21cm emission radio maps (surface density maps) of spiral galaxies. The next step in this progression was to compare the lopsidedness seen in HI maps with that seen in the stellar
disk of spiral galaxies. As a result of this analysis, it is demonstrated that for those galaxies where HI disk overlaps with the stellar disk, the lopsidedness of HI disk is comparable to that seen in the stellar disk. Although theoretical arguments exist to show that lopsidedness of gaseous disk is comparable to that of the stellar disk, it is the first time this has been observationally confirmed. Performing the lopsidedness analysis on HI maps also has an added advantage. In many galaxies, HI extends far beyond the stellar disk. Hence lopsidedness analysis on HI maps makes us capable of studying and understanding the cause of lopsidedness far beyond the stellar disk.

Although lopsidedness is seen in many galaxies, its physical origin is not clearly understood. The cause of disk lopsidedness has been attributed to a variety of physical processes such as the disk response to halo lopsidedness which could arise due to tidal interactions (Jog, 1997) or due to mergers with satellite galaxies (Zaritsky & Rix, 1997) or asymmetric gas accretion (Bournaud et al., 2005). The asymmetry can also be generated due to the stellar disk being offset in a spherical halo (Noordermeer et al., 2001). A study of HI asymmetry in the outer parts as done in this thesis using HI as a tracer can give a direct handle on the halo asymmetry.

Galaxies in groups were selected for lopsidedness analysis due to the following reasons. Galaxy groups generally have larger fraction of gas-rich spirals compared to clusters. This is because the velocity dispersion of galaxies and the Intra Group Medium (IGM) density in groups is low which makes ram-pressure stripping and the gas loss due to the effect of transport processes like the turbulent and viscous stripping inefficient. The above argument is further aided by the observation that IGM, if it exists, also has low X-ray luminosity and low temperature in a group environment. This implies that the only physical process to be concerned about is the tidal interaction.
On the basis of available data, studies on two loose groups namely Eridanus and Ursa Major have been carried out. In addition, six Hickson Compact Groups (HCGs) were also observed using Giant Meterwave Radio Telescope (GMRT), Pune, India for studying lopsidedness.

The Eridanus group of galaxies are at a mean distance of about $23 \pm 2$ Mpc. This group has about 180 galaxies that are confined to a volume of $\sim 18$ Mpc. The velocity dispersion of this group is $\sim 240$ km s$^{-1}$. This velocity dispersion which is lesser than the typical cluster velocity dispersion of $\sim 1000$ km s$^{-1}$ and the number density of galaxies imply that Eridanus is dynamically a younger system compared to clusters. This group is expected to be in the process of evolving into a cluster. If we compare the fraction of S0 type galaxies in the Eridanus group, it lies in between a compact cluster like Fornax and a loose group like the Ursa Major. This factor aids the argument that galaxies in the Eridanus group are interacting with their neighbouring galaxies.

Using the GMRT, Omar & Dwarakanath (Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005a; Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005b) imaged about fifty of the galaxies belonging to the Eridanus group in HI 21cm emission. Out of these, 18 galaxies were selected for lopsidedness study based on their inclination and regular appearance. Four galaxies from this group were used to show the correlation between lopsidedness in their respective HI and stellar disks. As a result of the lopsidedness analysis, it was seen that the estimated lopsidedness in this group is about 3 times larger than that observed in the field galaxies. It was also seen that early type spirals show more lopsidedness compared to the late type galaxies. This trend is opposite to what is observed in the case of field galaxies. Based on the argument that ram-pressure stripping is ineffective in this group, the cause for observed lopsidedness is ascribed to
tidal interactions.

Ursa Major group of galaxies which is at a mean distance of about 15.5 Mpc has 79 galaxies associated with it. This group has a velocity dispersion of $\sim 150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. This implies that this group is dynamically younger and is more loosely bound than the Eridanus group. In this group no HI deficiency is seen. This might be partially due to the fact that tidal interactions between the galaxies may not be strong enough to cause the deficiency. Besides, X-ray emission from this group is also not seen. Hence the hot IGM and the associated ram pressure effects are assumed to be negligible.

The Ursa Major group was imaged in HI 21cm emission by Verheijen (Verheijen & Sancisi, 2001) using the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT), Netherlands. Eleven galaxies belonging to Ursa Major group were studied for lopsidedness. Here also, galaxies show significant lopsidedness; albeit to a lesser degree compared to the galaxies of the Eridanus group. This group is much more loosely bound than Eridanus. Hence tidal interactions are expected to be much weaker. This might explain the observed lopsidedness. Using the velocity maps available for galaxies belonging to the Ursa Major group, the kinematical lopsidedness was also estimated. For galaxies of this group, we also had estimated the ellipticity of dark matter halo potential from the surface density lopsidedness value. It is observed that the ellipticity of dark matter halo obtained from velocity maps is comparable to that derived from surface density maps.

HCGs are isolated compact groups that contain typically about five galaxies. From early x-ray observations of HCGs using ROSAT, it is known that most of the HCGs are gravitationally bound structures. They show a velocity dispersion of $\sim 150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. By virtue of the high number densities in HCGs which are comparable to a cluster environment, they provide us
with a laboratory where the effect of high galaxy density on lopsidedness could be studied. The six HCGs, observed using the GMRT, Pune, India, were selected mainly based on the suitability of their galaxies for lopsidedness analysis and the group size. Based on the earlier studies on Eridanus and Ursa Major group of galaxies, the lopsidedness of HCGs were expected to be much higher than what is seen in a typical group or field galaxies. However, due to the constraints imposed by the Fourier series analysis procedure, the lopsidedness could be estimated only for one galaxy. This galaxy shows a higher degree of lopsidedness compared to the group galaxies. The HI deficiency studies and Far Infra-Red (FIR) - Radio correlation studies on these HCG galaxies were also carried out.
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5.1 N-Body simulation of a barred galaxy. Tree-code is used to evolve 10000 particles within an initial logarithmic potential.

5.2 N-Body simulation of an asymmetric galaxy. Here the core is assumed to be made of 1000 particles in a Plummer potential. The disk is made of 10000 particles in an initial logarithmic potential. Disk particles are given an initial angular velocity.
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### Notations & Definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notation &amp; Definition</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dark Matter</td>
<td>DM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubble constant</td>
<td>$H_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra Cluster Medium</td>
<td>ICM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra Group Medium</td>
<td>IGM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navarro Frenk White</td>
<td>NFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise (root mean square)</td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redshift</td>
<td>$z = \frac{\lambda_{\text{observed}} - \lambda_{\text{emitted}}}{\lambda_{\text{emitted}}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed of light</td>
<td>$c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic velocity</td>
<td>$V_{\text{sys}} = cz$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Units & Constants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hubble constant</td>
<td>$75 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar mass</td>
<td>$1 \text{ M}_\odot = 1.989 \times 10^{30} \text{ kg}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar luminosity</td>
<td>$1 \text{ L}_\odot = 3.827 \times 10^{26} \text{ J s}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed of light (c)</td>
<td>$2.997925 \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>$3.156 \times 10^7 \text{ s}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsec</td>
<td>$1 \text{ pc} = 3.086 \times 10^{16} \text{ m}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpc, Mpc</td>
<td>$10^3, 10^6 \text{ pc}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jansky</td>
<td>$1 \text{ Jy} = 10^{-26} \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mJy</td>
<td>$10^{-3} \text{ Jy}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mega Hertz (MHz)</td>
<td>$10^6 \text{ Hz}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micron ($\mu$m)</td>
<td>$10^{-6} \text{ m}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 Introduction

1.1 Nature of Galaxies

Galaxies are the smallest tassels of luminous matter adorning the fabric of space-time which can be observed beyond the neighbourhood of Milky Way. Composed mainly of stars, gas, dust and the so far undetected entity called Dark Matter (DM), they have been a puzzle for the astronomers till the dawn of the 20th century.

Based on galaxies’ appearance in the visible bands, they have been classified into elliptical and spiral galaxies. Categorising the galaxies into these two broad groups was first proposed by Hubble (Hubble, 1936). A typical elliptical galaxy, as the name suggests, has a featureless elliptical shape in projection; while a spiral galaxy distinguishes itself with a nucleus (or bulge) and an associated, dominant, stellar disk on which a spiral pattern is superposed. The other major differences came to light when multi-wavelength and spectral observations of the galaxies began. The first and foremost among them was the systematic rotation seen in the disk of spiral galaxies. The flatness of the rotation curve (Rubin et al., 1980; Bosma, 1981)
indicated the presence of as yet un-identified form of matter termed dark matter surrounding the galaxy (Fig. 1.1).

At this juncture, it should be mentioned that the scenario described above will be tenable only by assuming the universal validity of the law of gravity at all scales. There are alternative theories which subscribe to a modification to the law of gravity (e.g. MOdified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) (Milgrom, 1983)). As a result, such theories are able to explain many of the physical and kinematic features of galaxies without invoking the elusive dark matter. In this thesis, however, we presuppose the universal validity of the law of gravity and the standard model of particle physics.

Random motion of stars dominates over any systematic rotational motion in elliptical galaxies. Hence elliptical galaxies are assumed to be pressure supported systems unlike the spirals. Elliptical galaxies also seem to
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be immersed in a sea of dark matter. In all these cases, from numerical simulations, dark matter is shown to have a universal density profile (Navarro et al., 1997).

Composition of stellar population is another major difference between the elliptical and spiral galaxies belonging to the local universe. Major constitution of the elliptical galaxies is the old stellar population (Population II stars). On the contrary, disks of the spiral galaxies are dominated by young stellar population (Population I). Significant amount of gas and dust are also observed in spiral galaxy disks. Interestingly, the bulge of a typical spiral galaxy has many similarities with the elliptical galaxies. Significant old stellar population, dearth of dust and gas, dominant random motion etc. are some of these similarities.

The Hubble classification scheme (Fig. 1.2), later modified to incorporate finer nuances displayed by the galaxies, reveals not only the physical properties but also, to some extent reflect evolution of galaxies (Baugh, Cole, & Frenk, 1996). This is evident from the strong cor-

Figure 1.2: Hubble classification of galaxies. Images obtained from Digitized Sky Survey (DSS).
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relation that exists between the bulge to disk luminosity ratio, relative mass of atomic Hydrogen (HI) with luminosity, mass concentration, stellar population, nuclear properties, chemical abundance of interstellar medium, star formation history etc. seen right across the Hubble sequence (Roberts & Haynes, 1994). It is not clear, however, whether the suggested evolution is triggered by the intrinsic properties of the galaxies or by the extrinsic effects on the galaxies.

1.2 Time and Space Effects on Galaxies

A large fraction of the galaxies in the local universe is seen to be associated with galaxy clusters or groups. Hence the effect of the environment on the galaxies is easier to study. The first indication of such an evolution that depends on environment came from the density-morphology relation of galaxies (Dressler, 1980) seen in galaxy clusters (Fig. 1.3).

Galaxy clusters are the largest gravitationally bound structures seen in the universe. Typically a cluster consists of $\sim 100-1000$ galaxies which are gravitationally bound in a region of $\sim 2$ Mpc. In addition to galaxies, this region consists of hot gas of $10^7-10^8$ K (Intra-cluster Medium or ICM) having typical number density of $\sim 10^{-3}$ cm$^{-3}$. From the velocity dispersion of galaxies in a cluster ($\sim 1000$ km s$^{-1}$), it is seen that large amount of dark matter is also present in the cluster environment.

From the studies carried out on Virgo cluster (Crowl et al., 2006), it appears that the cluster core, i.e. region within one Abell radius (Abell radius $= 1.7{''}/z$, where $z$=redshift of the cluster) of the cluster centre, is dominated by the early type galaxies and S0 type galaxies. In many compact groups of galaxies containing smaller number of galaxies, the group environment is dominated by a giant elliptical galaxy. The observation that cluster envi-
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Figure 1.3: The density-morphology relation. Here the projected density is shown on the abscissa. High density clusters seem to be dominated by ellipticals and S0 galaxies (Dressler et al., 1997).

The environment stimulates galaxy evolution is also given added impetus by the observed morphological Butcher-Oemler effect (Butcher & Oemler, 1978; Tran et al., 2005) and the HI deficiency studies carried out on spiral galaxies by early observers (Solanes et al., 2001). As one moves away to the outer, less dense regions of the cluster the fraction of spiral galaxies appears to increase. The spiral galaxies often are dominated by the young, massive stars which are bluish in colour. Hence, the spiral galaxies in the outer edge of a cluster often appear bluish. This is called the morphological Butcher-Oemler effect (Butcher & Oemler, 1978; Tran et al., 2005). The heart of BO effect is the spiral to early type transformation.

The causes attributed to gas deficiency in cluster spiral galaxies com-
pared to field galaxies are many (Haynes et al., 1984). Ram-pressure stripping (Gunn & Gott, 1972), tidal interactions, repetitive encounters with other galaxies in the cluster and cluster potential (galaxy harassment) (Moore et al., 1999), and of late, by large amplitude density-wave perturbations (Zhang & Buta, 2007) etc. are some of the effects that can cause the morphological BO effect. For ram-pressure stripping to be an effective mechanism in removing HI from the outer regions of the galaxy, the galaxy has to move through a high density environment (high ICM density) with a high velocity relative to the medium. The inclination of the galaxy with respect to the direction of motion is also another important parameter. If the motion of the galaxy through the ICM is perpendicular to the disk then, significant HI deficiency can be generated. Tidal interactions on the other hand do not depend on the ICM density, but rather on the separation and mass of interacting galaxies. It has been shown by numerical studies that tidal interaction induced HI stripping will become important when the rotational speed of the galaxy is comparable to the relative speed with which another galaxy goes past it. As the ICM density and the velocity dispersion in cluster environment are high, explanations based on ram-pressure stripping appear to have gained currency for cluster galaxies.

In comparison to clusters, galaxy groups are much smaller entities. They typically contain fewer than 100 galaxies spread over 1-2 Mpc. Another major difference between clusters and groups is the velocity dispersion. Typically in a group the velocity dispersion of galaxies is \(\sim 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}\). This velocity dispersion is comparable to the typical rotation velocities of spiral galaxies.

In the case of groups, the important ingredients for ram-pressure stripping to be effective, viz. high velocity dispersion and hot inter-group medium
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are seldom seen. The fraction of spiral galaxies in a group environment is also higher compared to the cluster environment. Does it mean that we are looking at a different mechanism for the evolution of galaxies in a group environment? The answer to this question is not yet fully understood. As mentioned earlier, the velocity dispersion of galaxies belonging to groups is $\sim 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, while the density of Intra-Group Medium (IGM) is $\leq 10^{-4} \text{cm}^{-3}$ (Sengupta & Balasubramanyam, 2006). The condition for ram-pressure to be an effective mechanism in stripping HI from a galaxy is

$$\rho_{IGM} V_{gal}^2 \geq \Sigma_{gas} V_{rot}^2 R^{-1}$$

where $\rho_{IGM}$ is the density of Intra-Group Medium, $V_{gal}$ is the speed of the galaxy through the IGM, $\Sigma_{gas}$ is the surface density of HI and $V_{rot}$ is the rotation velocity of the galaxy at a galactic centric radius $R$. Using the typical values for the velocity dispersion of galaxies in a group, IGM density and rotation velocity of a galaxy (150 km s$^{-1}$ at R=10 kpc), the maximum ram-pressure a galaxy is subjected to is $\sim 10 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ km}^2 \text{s}^{-2}$. This ram-pressure is $\sim 1 - 2$ order of magnitude lesser than that seen in galaxy clusters where ram-pressure stripping is found to be effective. In addition, the above mentioned IGM density and velocity dispersion can strip HI surface density less than $6 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ only. Most of the HI mass associated with the galaxies in groups is above this column density. Hence the HI deficiency is often attributed to tidal interactions (Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005b) which becomes the most efficient mechanism for removing HI when the velocity dispersion becomes comparable to the rotational velocities of galaxies.

Galaxy evolution stimulated by the internal effects are harder to study observationally. This is due to the fact that the evolution triggered by the internal effects of the galaxy will show up only after many years. The only way to address this issue is by numerical simulations of the galaxy. These
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Simulations, especially in the case of a spiral galaxy, are often limited by the numerical resolution and the procedure adopted by the simulator. The numerical resolution limitation is due to the number of particles (in the case of numerical simulations using particles) used and the spatial resolution used. The typical N-body simulation takes into account only $10^7$ particles which makes each particle weigh about $10^3 \text{M}_\odot$. The procedure adopted in the numerical simulation has implications on the bulge formation and artificial angular momentum loss.

Due to the limitations of numerical simulations the question of galaxy evolution namely whether it results from their internal effects (nature) or due to the influence of the external agents (nurture) is not yet fully answered. In this work, we have been mainly concentrating on understanding the effect of environment on the galaxies. This is because the effect of environment is amenable to observations and is quantifiable. As these evidences are easily available in the case of spiral galaxies, we concentrate on them.

The observational evidence of the importance of environment comes mainly from three sources. The first and the most easily observable effect is the HI deficiency. Next is the star formation rate and associated enhancement of heavier elements in the ISM. The third and the most interesting effect is the observation of lopsidedness, which we estimate in this thesis for various group galaxies.

1.3 Lopsidedness in Spiral Galaxies

Lopsidedness or the asymmetry seen in the matter distribution and in the motion as a function of the galacto-centric radius and azimuthal angle in the galactic disk is an important tool in studying the physical appearance and the history of a galaxy. Another way of interpreting lopsidedness is by
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observing the iso-surface density or iso-surface brightness contours in the
disk of a galaxy. These contours are usually circular and are centred at the
nucleus or about the dynamical centre (or the centre about which the matter
moves) of the galaxy. In lopsided galaxies the centres of these contours are
not concentric and tends to drift away from the nucleus or dynamical centre.
Such behaviour is often seen not only in the case of surface density and sur-
face brightness maps of galaxies but also in the iso-velocity contours of galax-
ies. A premier example for such a system is the spiral galaxy M101 or the
Pinwheel galaxy (Fig. 1.4,Fig. 1.5, Fig. 1.6). Although elliptical galaxies also
indicate lopsidedness to a smaller degree (Hernández-Toledo et al., 2006),
we restrict ourselves to studying the asymmetries in spiral galaxies due to
the ease of studying lopsidedness in them.

Figure 1.4: M101 Optical composite image. This is an example of an opti-
cally lopsided galaxy.
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A large fraction of spiral galaxies shows asymmetries. Some of the earlier works indicate that approximately 50 to 75% of spiral galaxies show lopsidedness (Richter & Sancisi, 1994; Haynes et al., 1998; Matthews et al., 1998). These studies mainly used the global HI spectral line observations (Fig. 1.5, Fig. 1.7) or images obtained using near Infra-Red bands (Rix & Zaritsky, 1995). The observation that a large fraction of spiral galaxies shows lopsidedness also indicates that lopsidedness in spiral galaxies is a long lived phenomenon which has persisted for at least a few rotation period of a galaxy and is not a transient phenomenon.

The lopsidedness studies based on global HI profile can give information of the combined effects of velocity and spatial asymmetries.
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Figure 1.6: Global HI profile of M101. This profile is asymmetric (Richter & Sancisi, 1994)

the asymmetries due to spatial distribution of matter from velocity asymmetries, spectral line observations alone cannot be used. For this purpose, in this work, we have adopted the surface density maps (moment 0 maps) and velocity field maps (moment 1) from the HI 21 cm-line observations.

The first step in assessing the lopsidedness is to evolve a method for quantifying it. In this work, we have used the Fourier series technique to estimate the lopsidedness. This is the first time that such a technique is being used to analyse the HI 21cm-line emission radio maps (surface density maps) of spiral galaxies. The next step in this progression is to compare the lopsidedness seen in HI maps with that detected in the stellar disks of spiral galaxies. As a result of our analysis, it is demonstrated that for those galaxies where HI disk overlaps with the stellar disk, the lopsidedness of HI disk is comparable to that seen in the stellar disk. Although theoretical
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Figure 1.7: Global HI profiles of lopsided and normal galaxies. The top two HI profiles are those of lopsided galaxies, while the bottom two galaxies show the normal global HI profiles (Richter & Sancisi, 1994)

arguments exist to show that lopsidedness of gaseous disk is comparable to that of the stellar disk, it is the first time that this has been observationally confirmed. Performing the lopsidedness analysis on HI maps also has an added advantage. In many galaxies, HI extends far beyond the stellar disk. Hence lopsidedness analysis on HI maps makes us capable of studying and understanding the cause of lopsidedness far beyond the stellar disk.

Although lopsidedness is seen in many galaxies, its physical origin is not clearly understood. The cause of disk lopsidedness has been attributed to a variety of physical processes such as the disk response to halo lopsidedness which could arise due to tidal interactions (Jog, 1997) or due to mergers with satellite galaxies (Zaritsky & Rix, 1997) or asymmetric gas accretion
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(Bournaud et al., 2005). The asymmetry can also be generated due to the stellar disk being offset in a spherical halo (Noordermeer et al., 2001). A study of HI asymmetry in the outer parts as done in this thesis using HI as a tracer can give a direct handle on the halo asymmetry.

Galaxies in groups were selected for lopsidedness analysis due to the following reasons. Galaxy groups generally have larger fraction of gas-rich spirals compared to clusters. This is because the velocity dispersion of galaxies and the Intra-group Medium (IGM) density in groups is low which makes ram-pressure stripping and the gas loss due to the effect of transport processes like the turbulent and viscous stripping inefficient. The above argument is further aided by the observation that IGM, if it exists, also has low X-ray luminosity and low temperature in a group environment. This implies that the dominant physical process to be concerned about is the tidal interaction.

1.4 Groups Selected for Lopsidedness Analysis and Further Observations

Lopsidedness studies on galaxies belonging to two loose groups viz., Eridanus and Ursa Major and six Hickson Compact Groups (HCGs) were carried out.

1.4.1 Eridanus Group of Galaxies

The Eridanus group was identified during the Southern Sky Redshift Survey (SSRS; da Costa et al., 1988). This group of galaxies are at a mean distance of $\sim 23 \pm 2$ Mpc and is a gravitationally bound structure (Pellegrini et al., 1989; Willmer et al., 1989). The velocity dispersion of this group is $\sim 240$ km s$^{-1}$ (Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005a). This velocity
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dispersion is lesser than the typical cluster velocity dispersion of $\sim 1000$ km s$^{-1}$. In the case of hierarchical structure formation scenario, it is believed that galaxy clusters result from the mergers of groups whose gravitational potential well is smaller compared to that of clusters. Hence the low velocity dispersion implies that Eridanus is dynamically a younger system compared to clusters. This group is expected to be in the process of forming a cluster. If we compare the fraction of S0+E type galaxies in the Eridanus group (0.5) (Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005a), it lies in between a compact cluster like Fornax (0.6) (Tully et al. 1996) and a loose group like the Ursa Major (0.1) (Tully et al. 1996). This factor aids the argument that galaxies in the Eridanus group are interacting with their neighbouring galaxies.

Using the GMRT, about fifty of the galaxies belonging to the Eridanus group have been observed in HI 21 cm line emission (Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005a). Out of these, 18 galaxies were selected based on their inclination and regular appearance for lopsidedness study by us. Four galaxies from this group were used to show the correlation between lopsidedness in their respective HI and stellar disks. As a result of the lopsidedness analysis, it is seen that the estimated lopsidedness in this group is about 3 times higher than that observed in the field galaxies. It is also seen that early type spirals show more lopsidedness compared to the late type galaxies. This trend is opposite to what is observed in the case of field galaxies. Based on the argument that ram-pressure stripping is ineffective in this group, the cause for observed lopsidedness is ascribed to tidal interactions.
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1.4.2 Ursa Major Group of Galaxies

Ursa Major group of galaxies which is at a mean distance of \( \sim 15.5 \) Mpc has 79 galaxies associated with it (Tully et al. 1996). This group has a velocity dispersion of \( \sim 150 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). In this group no HI deficiency is seen (Verheijen & Sancisi, 2001). This might be partially due to the fact that the tidal interactions between the galaxies may not be strong enough to cause the deficiency.

The Ursa Major group was imaged in HI 21cm line emission by Verheijen (Verheijen & Sancisi, 2001) using the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT). Eleven galaxies belonging to Ursa Major group were studied for lopsidedness. Here also, galaxies show significant lopsidedness; albeit to a lesser degree compared to the galaxies of the Eridanus group. This group is much more loosely bound than Eridanus. Hence tidal interactions are expected to be much weaker. This might explain the observed lopsidedness.

Using the velocity maps available for galaxies belonging to the Ursa Major group, the kinematical lopsidedness was also estimated. For galaxies of this group, we have also estimated the ellipticity of dark matter halo potential from the surface density lopsidedness value. It is observed that the ellipticity of dark matter halo obtained from velocity maps is comparable to that derived from surface density maps.

1.4.3 Hickson Compact Group of Galaxies

HCGs are isolated compact groups that contain typically \( \sim 5 \) galaxies. From early x-ray observations of HCGs using ROSAT, it is known that most of the HCGs are gravitationally bound structures. They show a velocity dispersion of \( \sim 150 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). By virtue of the high number densities in HCGs which are comparable to a cluster environment, they provide us with a laboratory
where the effect of high galaxy density on lopsidedness could be studied.
The six HCGs, observed using the GMRT, Pune, India, were selected mainly
based on the suitability of their galaxies for lopsidedness analysis and the
group size. Based on the earlier studies on Eridanus and Ursa Major group
of galaxies, the lopsidedness of HCGs were expected to be much higher than
what was seen in a typical group or field galaxies. However, due to the con-
straints imposed by the Fourier series analysis procedure, the lopsidedness
could be estimated only for one galaxy. This galaxy shows a higher degree
of lopsidedness compared to the group galaxies. The HI deficiency studies
and Far Infra-Red (FIR) - Radio correlation studies on these HCG galaxies
were also carried out.

1.5 Thesis Structure

This thesis is mainly divided into five chapters, which will deal with various
aspects of Kinematical and Spatial Lopsidedness. The layout of this thesis
is as follows:

In Chapter 2 we discuss the nature of kinematical lopsidedness seen
in galaxies belonging to Eridanus and Ursa Major groups. Kinematical
lopsidedness is an important asymmetry seen in many galaxies which can,
in principle, give information about the ellipticity of the dark matter halo
potential. In recent times, a similar procedure as adopted here in this thesis
has been used to estimate flows in the bars of spiral galaxies.

Chapter 3 will be devoted to the details of the spatial lopsidedness anal-
ysis carried out on galaxies of the Eridanus and Ursa Major groups. It is for
the first time that such an analysis has ever been carried out using atomic
hydrogen as the tracer. In this chapter, we will also show how the spatial
lopsidedness derived from optical images compare with that of the atomic
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hydrogen distribution. Interestingly, the early type spirals in group environment seems to be showing more lopsidedness compared to late type spiral galaxies. This trend is seen both in the Eridanus as well as Ursa Major group galaxies.

In Chapter 4 we will be mainly describing our observations of Hickson Compact Group of galaxies using the GMRT and the subsequent analysis. Hickson compact group of galaxies are gravitationally bound systems which are tidally interacting. The study of six selected HCG galaxies have given us information about lopsidedness, HI deficiency and FIR and Radio continuum correlations of these galaxies. This work will be discussed in greater details here.

In Chapter 5, we will discuss our main results and plans for future work. The results can be summarised as follows:

- Spatial and kinematical lopsidedness of spiral galaxies in groups have been estimated using HI as a tracer. The lopsidedness analysis which we carried out quantified asymmetry as a function of galacto-centric radius.

- In spiral galaxies belonging to groups, the early type spirals showed higher spatial lopsidedness than the late type galaxies, measured in the same disk scale length range. Opposite trend, however, is seen in the field galaxies.

- We have shown that the spatial and kinematical lopsidedness are comparable to each other.

- Assuming that the spatial and kinematical lopsidedness stem from lopsided dark matter halo potential, we have estimated the ellipticity of the potential.
In this chapter we also give the preliminary results of the N-body simulations of galaxies which we carried out. In this analysis particles were allowed to evolve in a background dark matter halo potential. In addition we have given some information about the ongoing research and future work planned.
2 Kinematical Lopsidedness in Spiral Galaxies

2.1 Introduction

A typical spiral galaxy consists of a nucleus and a stellar disk which is embedded in a dark matter halo. The stellar mass in a spiral galaxy is of the order of $10^8 - 10^{12} \, M_\odot$ (Burstein & Rubin, V.C. 1985). The nucleus is mainly made up of old stellar population, which is often termed as classical bulges (Athanassoula, 2005). It also has little amount of gas and dust and has a typical radial extent of $\sim 2$ kpc in optical. The stellar disk consists of young stellar population and $\sim 10\%$ of it’s mass in the form of gas (atomic Hydrogen (HI), molecular hydrogen H$_2$ etc.) (Spitzer, 1978). In addition the disk contains $\sim 1\%$ its mass in the form of dust (mainly graphites and silicates). The radial extent of the stellar disk is typically 10kpc.

Spiral galaxies are usually modelled as cylindrically symmetric objects with the symmetry axis perpendicular to the stellar disk and centred on the bulge. The stellar disk of the spiral galaxies exhibits a systematic rotational
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motion. The axis of rotation is often taken to be the same as the axis of symmetry. When observations of spiral galaxies in non-optical bands began it was noticed that the symmetric distribution and motion of matter around the nucleus of a spiral galaxy is an exception rather than a norm. In a pioneering study, Baldwin et al. (1980) pointed out the large scale spatial asymmetry in the HI gas distribution of four nearby spirals M 101, NGC 891, NGC 2841 and IC 342. Their study, which used the atomic hydrogen [HI] as the tracer for matter distribution, showed that HI distribution in these galaxies is asymmetric or lopsided.

A large fraction (\(\sim 50\%\)) of spirals show asymmetry. This has been deduced for much larger samples by studying the asymmetry in the global HI profiles (Richter & Sancisi, 1994; Matthews et al., 1998; Haynes et al., 1998) as a function of heliocentric radial velocity. These studies revealed that the HI profiles of the receding and approaching sides of many galaxies were asymmetric (Fig. 1.6). Such studies might indicate only a lower limit for the fraction of galaxies showing lopsidedness or asymmetry. For example, the global HI profile of an axisymmetric galaxy gives a symmetric double horn profile as shown in Fig. 1.7. The double horned profile is symmetric about the systemic velocity, with both the horns having equal HI flux density. An asymmetric galaxy, with its asymmetric axis along the minor axis will also show a symmetric double horn profile about the systemic velocity as the integrated flux along the minor axis will not reveal the asymmetry.

Such large fraction of galaxies showing asymmetry indicate that the lopsidedness is sustainable over at least one rotation period of the galaxies. Yet its physical origin is not clearly understood. The cause of disk lopsidedness has been attributed to a variety of physical processes such
as the disk response to lopsided dark matter halo which could arise due to tidal interactions (Jog, 1997) or due to mergers with satellite galaxies (Zaritsky & Rix, 1997). Due to tidal interactions with a neighbouring galaxy or mergers with satellite galaxies, it is possible to disturb the dark matter halo. Since the disk of the spiral galaxy lies within the dark matter halo, the disk can reflect the asymmetry of the halo. Since a perturbed dark matter halo can retain the perturbed shape for a long period time (compared to the rotation period of the galaxy), lopsidedness of galactic disk can also persist. It is also postulated that the asymmetry can also be the result of a disk being offset in a spherical dark matter halo (Noordermeer et al., 2001) or due to asymmetric external gas accretion and subsequent star formation (Bournaud et al., 2005).

The global HI studies indicate the result of lopsidedness caused jointly by the spatial and velocity distribution. For a given galaxy, these two effects could be decoupled only by separately studying the two dimensional velocity and surface density maps resulting from an interferometric imaging observation. The existence of asymmetry in the velocity domain, i.e., kinematical lopsidedness, has been detected in spiral galaxies. These studies are based on the analysis of asymmetry of the rotation curves on the approaching and receding sides of a galaxy (Swaters et al., 1999) and also by analysing the HI velocity field of a spiral galaxy (Schoenmakers et al., 1997). It is postulated that the same perturbation potential that gives rise to spatial lopsidedness will also unavoidably give rise to kinematical lopsidedness (Jog, 1997; Jog, 2002). However this has not been observationally verified yet.

From theoretical arguments, it is also postulated that the scatter in the Tully-Fisher relation \( L \propto v_{circular}^4 \), where \( L \) is the luminosity and \( v_{circular} \)
is the circular velocity (Tully & Fisher, 1977)) can also be explained if the perturbations in gas motion generated due to ellipticity of DM halo potential is taken into account (Franx & de Zeeuw, 1992). Franx et al. (1992) showed that an ellipticity of dark matter potential of $\leq 0.10$ can generate perturbations in the circular velocity which corresponds to an uncertainty of $\sim 0.46$ magnitudes in luminosity. Thus a study of HI asymmetry based on the velocity maps in the outer parts can give a direct handle on the dark matter halo asymmetry if the disc lopsidedness arises due to halo asymmetry.

In this work we are analysing the kinematical lopsidedness of galaxies belonging to two groups, viz. Eridanus and Ursa Major Group. Both these groups are loose groups (Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005a; Verheijen & Sancisi, 2001), where tidal interactions between galaxies are expected to dominate. This implies that groups of galaxies will be an ideal environment to study the effect of tidal interactions on lopsidedness and on the ellipticity of the dark matter halo potential.

### 2.2 Data

The data used in this analysis were obtained using the Giant Meter-wave Radio Telescope (GMRT) and the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT) by Omar & Dwarakanath (2005) and Verheijen & Sancisi (2001) respectively. Although details of these two facilities are given elsewhere (Swarup et al., 1991; Raimond & Genee, 1996) (or from the following web addresses: For GMRT http://www.gmrt.ncra.tifr.res.in/gmrt_hpage/GMRT/intro_gmrt.html and for WSRT http://www.astron.nl/wsrt/wsrtGuide/), for completeness sake a brief description of both telescopes are given here.
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2.2.1 Giant Meterwave Radio Telescope

GMRT consists of 30 fully steerable parabolic dish antennas. Each of these antennas are of 45 m diameter. These antennas are distributed in the shape of a Y which is optimised for having high angular resolution as well as sensitivity to extended emission. A large fraction of these antennas (14 of them) are randomly distributed in the central 1 square kilometer and the remaining 16 antennas are almost equally distributed along the arms of the Y. The shortest separation between the antennas is \( \sim 100 \) meters and the longest is \( \sim 25 \) kilometers. Such a distribution of antennas makes GMRT sensitive to structures in the range of \( 2'' - 7' \) at 21 cm wavelength. Since the galaxies in the Eridanus Group have typical sizes of 1-5', GMRT is an ideal instrument to effectively image them in HI 21 cm-line.

2.2.2 Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope

Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT) consists of fourteen, 25-m diameter dishes. They are arranged in the East-West direction. Ten of the antennas are fixed while the remaining four can be moved in the East-West direction on rails. The separation between any two of the 10 fixed antennas is 144m. The movable antennas are grouped into 2 groups consisting of 2 antennas each. Both these groups are also arranged in the East-West direction. The first group is at a distance of 36 m from the Eastern-most fixed telescope, while the other group is at a distance of \( \sim 1.4 \) km away from the first group of movable antennas. As a result of such a distribution of antennas, WSRT has the shortest baseline of 36 meters. Inspite of its sensitivity to extended structures, due to its east-west arrangement, a full synthesis imaging of an object in the sky will require \( \sim 12 \) hours or more.
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2.2.3 The Eridanus Group of Galaxies

The Eridanus group is a loose group of galaxies at a mean distance of $\sim 23 \pm 2$ Mpc in the southern hemisphere ($3^h \leq \alpha \leq 4.5^h$, $-10^\circ \geq \delta \geq -30^\circ$). From the redshift values, $\sim 200$ galaxies are associated with this group with heliocentric velocities in the range of $\sim 1000 - 2200$ km s$^{-1}$. The observed velocity dispersion is $\sim 240$ km s$^{-1}$. Even though there are sub-groups within the system, the overall population mix of the galaxies in the Eridanus group was found to be $\sim 30\%$ ellipticals and lenticulars and $\sim 70\%$ spirals and irregulars (Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005a). Though HI was detected in 31 galaxies out of the 57 selected for observation by Omar and Dwarakanath using the Giant Meter-wave Radio Telescope (GMRT), the spiral galaxies under consideration here form a subset of these. These spiral galaxies were chosen on the basis of their inclination, with inclinations in the range of $20^\circ$ and $80^\circ$. This criterion was adopted so as to get good velocity maps. If a galaxy is face on, the circular velocity of the galaxy will not have any component along the line of sight direction. As a result it will not be possible for the observer to have any information about the circular velocity of the galaxy. In addition to this, we eliminated those galaxies where the detection in HI was patchy such as NGC 1415.

The positions and Heliocentric velocities of selected galaxies are given in Table 2.1.

The HI surface density and velocity maps of the selected galaxies used in this analysis were derived out of image cubes which were convolved to a common resolution of $20'' \times 20''$. A $3\sigma$ HI column density sensitivity of $10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ was obtained for $20''$ resolution surface density images. The velocity resolution was $\sim 13.4$ km s$^{-1}$. A typical HI surface density contour map and velocity contour map superposed on the DSS image are shown in
Table 2.1: The Type, RA & DEC values, inclination and position angle of Eridanus Group of galaxies selected for kinematical analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Galaxy</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>α(J2000)</th>
<th>δ(J2000)</th>
<th>cz</th>
<th>Inclin(°)</th>
<th>PA (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>h m s</td>
<td>o ′ ′′</td>
<td>(km/s)</td>
<td>(Deg)</td>
<td>(Deg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1309</td>
<td>SAbc</td>
<td>03 22 06.5</td>
<td>-15 24 00</td>
<td>2135</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGCA 068</td>
<td>SABcdm</td>
<td>03 23 47.2</td>
<td>-19 45 15</td>
<td>1838</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1325</td>
<td>SAbc</td>
<td>03 24 25.4</td>
<td>-21 32 36</td>
<td>1589</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1345</td>
<td>SBc</td>
<td>03 29 31.7</td>
<td>-17 46 40</td>
<td>1529</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1347</td>
<td>SBcd</td>
<td>03 29 41.8</td>
<td>-22 16 45</td>
<td>1759</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGCA 077</td>
<td>SBdm</td>
<td>03 32 19.2</td>
<td>-17 43 05</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC 1953</td>
<td>SBd</td>
<td>03 33 41.9</td>
<td>-21 28 43</td>
<td>1867</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1359</td>
<td>SBcm</td>
<td>03 33 47.7</td>
<td>-19 29 31</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1371</td>
<td>SABA</td>
<td>03 35 02.0</td>
<td>-24 55 59</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO 548- G 049</td>
<td>S?</td>
<td>03 35 28.1</td>
<td>-21 13 01</td>
<td>1510</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO 482- G 013</td>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>03 36 53.9</td>
<td>-24 54 46</td>
<td>1835</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1385</td>
<td>SBcd</td>
<td>03 37 28.3</td>
<td>-24 30 05</td>
<td>1493</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1390</td>
<td>SBo/a</td>
<td>03 37 52.2</td>
<td>-19 00 30</td>
<td>1207</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1414</td>
<td>SBbc</td>
<td>03 40 57.0</td>
<td>-21 42 47</td>
<td>1681</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO 482- G 035</td>
<td>SBab</td>
<td>03 41 15.0</td>
<td>-23 50 10</td>
<td>1890</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1422</td>
<td>SBab</td>
<td>03 41 31.1</td>
<td>-21 40 54</td>
<td>1637</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCG -03-10-041</td>
<td>SBdm</td>
<td>03 43 35.5</td>
<td>-16 00 52</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO 549- G 035</td>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>03 55 04.0</td>
<td>-20 23 01</td>
<td>1778</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2.1.

2.2.4 The Ursa Major Group

The Ursa Major group is defined as the group of galaxies seen within a circle of radius 7.5° centred at RA 11h 56.9′ and DEC +49°22′ (Tully et al. 1996). The systemic velocity of this group is 950 km s⁻¹ with a dispersion of 150km s⁻¹ (Verheijen & Sancisi, 2001). Seventy nine galaxies have been associated with this group (Tully et al. 1996) and this group had a projected density of 3 galaxies per Mpc⁻². Due to the small velocity dispersion, it is not yet clear whether it can be classified as a cluster as mentioned in Tully
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Figure 2.1: Typical HI and velocity map of a galaxy in the Eridanus group. The contours are superposed on DSS gray scale image. The beam is shown at the bottom left hand corner. The surface density map contour levels are separated by \(2 \times 10^{20} \, \text{cm}^{-2}\). The first contour, shown in white, is at a column density of \(1 \times 10^{20} \, \text{cm}^{-2}\). The first thick contour begins at \(1.2 \times 10^{21} \, \text{cm}^{-2}\) and the second one is at \(2.2 \times 10^{21} \, \text{cm}^{-2}\). The velocity contours for the approaching side are shown in white while that for the receding side are shown in black. The velocity contours differ from each other by 10 km s\(^{-1}\). The thick dark line near the centre of the galaxy denotes the systemic velocity (Omar & Dwarakanath 2005a).

et al. (1996). In addition this group contains a smaller fraction of early-type galaxies (\(\sim 14\%\) (E+S0) and a larger fraction of late-type galaxies \(\sim 86\%\) (Sp+Irr)) (Tully et al. 1996). This system does not show a central concentration (Verheijen & Sancisi, 2001) which is atypical for a cluster and more similar to that found in a group.

Out of the 49 galaxies observed using the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT) by Verheijen and Sancisi (2001), we have selected 11 galaxies on the basis of their inclination and quality of HI maps (galaxies, whose HI maps were patchy were rejected) for further analysis. The right ascension (\(\alpha\)), declination (\(\delta\)), systemic velocity (\(V_{\text{sys}}\)), inclination (\(i\)) and Position Angle (PA) of these galaxies are given in Table 2.2. After rejecting
galaxies which had patchy appearance, galaxies in the sample available to us had inclinations in the range 45° to 70°. As mentioned earlier, this inclination range ensured the availability of good quality HI velocity maps, essential for the analysis (Block et al. 2002; Bournaud et al., 2005). Details of the observation and the preliminary data reduction are given elsewhere (Verheijen & Sancisi, 2001).

Table 2.2: The sample of galaxies selected for kinematical lopsidedness analysis from the Ursa Major group of galaxies (Verheijen & Sancisi, 2001)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>α(J2000) h m s</th>
<th>δ(J2000) ° ′ ″</th>
<th>Vsys (km s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Inclin (°)</th>
<th>PA (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UGC 6446</td>
<td>Sd</td>
<td>11 26 40.4</td>
<td>53 44 48</td>
<td>644.3</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3726</td>
<td>Sbc</td>
<td>11 33 21.2</td>
<td>47 01 45</td>
<td>865.6</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3893</td>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>11 48 38.2</td>
<td>48 42 39</td>
<td>967.2</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3949</td>
<td>Sbc</td>
<td>11 53 41.4</td>
<td>47 51 32</td>
<td>800.2</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3953</td>
<td>SBBc</td>
<td>11 53 48.9</td>
<td>52 19 36</td>
<td>1052.3</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGC 6917</td>
<td>SBd</td>
<td>11 56 28.8</td>
<td>50 25 42</td>
<td>910.7</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3992</td>
<td>SBBc</td>
<td>11 57 36.0</td>
<td>53 22 28</td>
<td>1048.2</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGC 6983</td>
<td>SBBc</td>
<td>11 59 09.3</td>
<td>52 42 27</td>
<td>1081.9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4051</td>
<td>SBBc</td>
<td>12 03 09.6</td>
<td>44 31 53</td>
<td>700.3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4088</td>
<td>Sbc</td>
<td>12 05 34.2</td>
<td>50 32 21</td>
<td>756.7</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4389</td>
<td>SBBc</td>
<td>12 25 35.1</td>
<td>45 41 05</td>
<td>718.4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the sake of completeness, a summary of the data reduction procedure is given here. The observations were carried out using the WSRT radio telescope. The FWHM of the primary beam of the telescope is 37.4′. This resulted in having more than one Ursa Major group galaxy being present in the field of view. Each pointing on the Ursa Major group had a typical duration of 12 to 60 hours. The raw UV data so obtained were calibrated, interactively flagged and Fast Fourier Transformed using the NEWSTAR software developed at the NFRA, Dwingeloo specifically meant for WSRT data reduction. The resulting data cubes were further processed using the
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Groningen Image Processing SYstem (GIPSY) (van der Hulst et al. 1992). All the data cubes were smoothed to $30'' \times 30''$ and continuum subtraction was carried out. The resulting cubes were used to obtain the HI-surface density (Moment 0) and HI-velocity (Moment 1) maps. The typical $3 \sigma$ column density of $10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$ was obtained for the moment 0 maps. The moment 1 maps had typical velocity resolution of $\sim 19 \text{km s}^{-1}$. It should be emphasised that the galaxies in the Eridanus group were convolved to a common angular resolution of $\sim 20''$ ($\sim 2.24 \text{kpc}$) and had a velocity resolution ($\sim 10 \text{km s}^{-1}$) (Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005a). These values were comparable to that of galaxies belonging to the Ursa Major.

2.3 Harmonic Analysis of Radio Data

We have adopted the harmonic analysis for analysing the data. In HI, where both velocity maps and surface density maps are available, the analysis technique is different from that adopted in optical analysis. The procedure assumes that in an ideal galaxy, HI is in pure circular motion. Hence we have,

$$V(x, y) = V_0 + V_c \cos(\phi') \sin(i) + V_r \sin(\phi') \sin(i)$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

where $V(x, y)$ is the velocity at the rectangular coordinate $(x, y), V_0$ is the systemic velocity, $V_c$ is the rotation velocity, $i$ is the inclination and $V_r$ is the expansion velocity which was taken to be zero. The azimuthal angle ($\phi'$) measured in the plane of the galaxy, is given by the equations

$$\cos(\phi') = \frac{-(x-x_0) \sin(PA) + (y-y_0) \cos(PA)}{r}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

$$\sin(\phi') = \frac{-(x-x_0) \cos(PA) + (y-y_0) \sin(PA)}{r \cos(i)}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.3)
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where \( r = \sqrt{((x-x_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2)/\cos(i)^2} \). In these equations, \((x_0, y_0)\) is the kinematic centre of the galaxy, \( PA \) is the position angle of the galaxy measured in the anti-clockwise direction from north. Using these equations, the five unknown parameters, namely \((x_0, y_0)\), \( PA \), \( V_c \) and \( i \) were estimated using the GIPSY task ROTCUR (Begeman, 1989), which fits tilted rings to the velocity maps, in an iterative manner (Wong et al. 2003; Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005a). As per the algorithm of ROTCUR, initially the galaxies velocity field is divided into concentric rings where each ring separated by a synthesized beam. Approximate values of \((x_0, y_0)\), \( PA \), \( V_c \) and \( i \) obtained from the optical observations are given as an input to ROTCUR. ROTCUR calculates the initial estimate of the radial velocity at the sky coordinates \((x, y)\) using the given approximate values of \((x_0, y_0)\), \( i \) and \( PA \) and compares it with the observed value of velocity at \((x, y)\). A least-square minimization is carried out using the calculated and observed radial velocity values taking \((x_0, y_0)\), \( PA \), \( V_c \) and \( i \) as the parameters. This procedure is repeated in an iterative manner. As a result of ROTCUR analysis on the velocity fields of galaxies it was observed that the dynamical centre, derived from velocity maps were less than 2" away from the optical centre. Hence, for all the calculations the optical centre was used.

Kinematical Lopsidedness in HI

The five parameters i.e. the coordinates of the centre \((x_0, y_0)\), systemic velocity \((V_0)\), circular velocity \((V_c)\), inclination \((i)\) and the position angle \((PA)\), estimated from the velocity maps using the iterative use of GIPSY routine ROTCUR were given as the input to another GIPSY routine called RESWRI along with the velocity maps to obtain the harmonic coefficients.

At each radii \((r)\), RESWRI expanded the line of sight velocity in the
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form

\[ v_{\text{los}}(r, \phi') = c_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m \cos(m\phi') + s_m \sin(m\phi') \]  \hfill (2.4)

where, \( c_m, s_m \) are the harmonic coefficients (see Appendix for an interpretation of these coefficients in terms of the perturbing potential), \( c_0 \) is identical to the systemic velocity \( V_0 \) and \( \phi' \) is the azimuthal angle. These harmonic coefficients were derived at concentric radii which were separated by a synthesized beam width. In our analysis we have derived the harmonic coefficients up to the 10\textsuperscript{th} order. This was partially prompted by the observation that effects of bars tend to retain the strength of the Fourier coefficients even for \( m=10 \) terms (Buta et al. 2003). Typical velocity harmonic coefficients of the galaxies in the Eridanus group are shown in Fig. 2.2 to Fig. 2.12. Similarly the velocity harmonic coefficients of the Ursa Major group are shown in Fig. 2.13 to Fig. 2.23. In each of these images, the lower left hand panel shows the power in various orders of harmonic coefficients. The lower right hand panel shows the \( V_{\text{sys}} \) values for various rings.
Figure 2.2: Velocity harmonic coefficients of NGC 1309 galaxy in the Eridanus group. $R_k$ is the K-band scale length (1.32 kpc)
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Figure 2.3: Velocity harmonic coefficients of UGC 068 galaxy in the Eridanus group. $R_k$ is the K-band scale length (1.08 kpc)
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Figure 2.4: Velocity harmonic coefficients of NGC 1325 galaxy in the Eridanus group. $R_k$ is the K-band scale length (4.61 kpc)
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Figure 2.5: Velocity harmonic coefficients of NGC 1345 galaxy in the Eridanus group. $R_e$ is the K-band scale length (1.15 kpc)
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Figure 2.6: Velocity harmonic coefficients of UGC 077 galaxy in the Eridanus group. $R_k$ is the K-band scale length (take to be 2 kpc)
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Figure 2.7: Velocity harmonic coefficients of NGC 1371 galaxy in the Eridanus group. $R_k$ is the K-band scale length (3.37 kpc)
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Figure 2.8: Velocity harmonic coefficients of ESO 482-G 013 galaxy in the Eridanus group. $R_k$ is the K-band scale length (0.74 kpc)
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Figure 2.9: Velocity harmonic coefficients of NGC 1414 galaxy in the Eridanus group. $R_K$ is the K-band scale length (1.81 kpc)
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Figure 2.10: Velocity harmonic coefficients of ESO 482-G 035 galaxy in the Eridanus group. $R_k$ is the K-band scale length (1.96 kpc)
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Figure 2.11: Velocity harmonic coefficients of MCG 03-10-041 galaxy in the Eridanus group. $R_k$ is the K-band scale length (2.36 kpc)
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Figure 2.12: Velocity harmonic coefficients estimated for the ESO 549-G 035 belonging to the Eridanus Group. \( R_k \) is the K-band scale length (taken to be 2 kpc)
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Figure 2.13: Velocity harmonic coefficients estimated for UGC 6446 galaxy belonging to the Ursa Major group. $R_k$ is the K-band scale length (0.82 kpc)
Figure 2.14: Velocity harmonic coefficients estimated for NGC 3726 galaxy belonging to the Ursa Major group. $R_k$ is the K-band scale length (2.12 kpc).
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Figure 2.15: Velocity harmonic coefficients estimated for NGC 3893 galaxy belonging to the Ursa Major group. $R_k$ is the K-band scale length (1.7 kpc)
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Figure 2.16: Velocity harmonic coefficients estimated for NGC 3949 galaxy belonging to the Ursa Major group. $R_k$ is the K-band scale length (1.00 kpc)
Figure 2.17: Velocity harmonic coefficients estimated for NGC 3953 galaxy belonging to the Ursa Major group. $R_k$ is the K-band scale length (2.90 kpc)
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Figure 2.18: Velocity harmonic coefficients estimated for UGC 6917 galaxy belonging to the Ursa Major group. $R_k$ is the K-band scale length (1.90 kpc)
Figure 2.19: Velocity harmonic coefficients estimated for NGC 3992 galaxy belonging to the Ursa Major group. $R_k$ is the K-band scale length (3.11 kpc)
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Figure 2.20: Velocity harmonic coefficients estimated for UGC 6983 galaxy belonging to the Ursa Major group. $R_k$ is the K-band scale length (2.06 kpc)
Figure 2.21: Velocity harmonic coefficients estimated for NGC 4051 galaxy belonging to the Ursa Major group. $R_k$ is the K-band scale length (1.37 kpc)
Figure 2.22: Velocity harmonic coefficients estimated for NGC 4088 galaxy belonging to the Ursa Major group. $R_k$ is the K-band scale length (1.81 kpc)
Figure 2.23: Velocity harmonic coefficients estimated for the NGC 4389 galaxy in Ursa Major group. R_k is the K-band scale length (0.74 kpc)
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It can be shown that for a globally elongated potential, as in the case of a triaxial dark matter halo potential, m=2. In such a potential for a flat rotation curve as seen in many galaxies, α = 0 (Appendix eq. A.32). In such a situation, after subtracting the systemic velocity, it can be shown that \( \psi_{\text{los}} = c_1 \cos(\phi) + s_1 \sin(\phi) + s_3 \sin(3\phi) \) (Franx et al. 1994). In this equation \( c_3 = 0 \). As a result, in the region where the rotation curve is almost flat we expect \( c_3 \sim 0 \) which is an indication of fitting procedure converging (Schoenmakers et al., 1997). In addition, an estimate of the effects of spiral arms be estimated from the \( s_1 \) & \( s_3 \) coefficients. If the influence of spiral arms are large, \( s_1 \) and \( s_3 \) are expected to oscillate rapidly (Schoenmakers et al., 1997). Since this is not seen in Fig. 2.12 to Fig. 2.23, their contribution must be small.

From the harmonic coefficients thus obtained, it is possible to estimate the elongation in the potential of the galaxy times a factor of \( \sin(2\phi_2) \), where \( \phi_2 \) is the phase angle for \( m = 2 \) in the plane of the galaxy (Schoenmakers et al., 1997). The estimation of the ellipticity of the potential of an early type galaxy, IC 2006, using the velocity field for the HI ring in it was first carried out by Franx et al. (1994). This was later generalised to include spiral galaxies (Schoenmakers et al., 1997). In this procedure, with the assumptions of flat rotation curve in the outer regions of the galaxy and constant phase \( \phi_2(r) \), the ellipticity of potential \( \epsilon_{\text{pot}} \) is obtained as

\[
\epsilon_2 \sin(2\phi_2(r)) = (s_3 - s_1) \frac{1 + 2 \cos^2(i) + 5 \cos^5(i)}{c_1(1 - \cos^4(i))}
\]  

(Schoenmakers et al., 1997). We have carried out similar analysis for all the sample galaxies in the Eridanus and the Ursa Major group. The asymmetry or the elongation in the potential for the Eridanus and the Ursa Major group
galaxies are shown in Fig. 2.24 - 2.33 and Fig.2.34 - 2.44 respectively.

Figure 2.24: Elongation in the potential \( \epsilon_{pot} \sin(2\phi_2) \) plot

Figure 2.24: Elongation in the potential \( \epsilon_{pot} \) derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for NGC 1309 galaxy in the Eridanus group as a function of normalized radius.
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UGCA 068 $\epsilon_{pot} \sin(2\phi_z)$ plot

Figure 2.25: Elongation in the potential $\epsilon_{pot}$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for UGC 068 galaxy in the Eridanus group as a function of normalized radius.

NGC 1325 $\epsilon_{pot} \sin(2\phi_z)$ plot

Figure 2.26: Elongation in the potential $\epsilon_{pot}$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for NGC 1325 galaxy in the Eridanus group as a function of normalized radius.
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NGC 1345 $\varepsilon_{\text{pot}} \sin(2\phi_z)$ plot

Figure 2.27: Elongation in the potential $\varepsilon_{\text{pot}}$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for NGC 1345 galaxy in the Eridanus group as a function of normalized radius

UGCA 077 $\varepsilon_{\text{pot}} \sin(2\phi_z)$ plot

Figure 2.28: Elongation in the potential $\varepsilon_{\text{pot}}$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for UGC 077 galaxy in the Eridanus group as a function of normalized radius
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Figure 2.29: Elongation in the potential $\epsilon_{\text{pot}}\sin(2\phi_2)$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for NGC 1371 galaxy in the Eridanus group as a function of normalized radius.

Figure 2.30: Elongation in the potential $\epsilon_{\text{pot}}\sin(2\phi_2)$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for ESO 482-G 013 galaxy in the Eridanus group as a function of normalized radius.
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NGC 1414 $\epsilon_{pot}\sin(2\phi_2)$ plot

Figure 2.31: Elongation in the potential $\epsilon_{pot}$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for NGC 1414 galaxy in the Eridanus group as a function of normalized radius

ESO 482- G 035 $\epsilon_{pot}\sin(2\phi_2)$ plot

Figure 2.31: Elongation in the potential $\epsilon_{pot}$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for NGC 1414 galaxy in the Eridanus group as a function of normalized radius
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Figure 2.32: Elongation in the potential $\epsilon_{\text{pot}}$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for MCG -03-10-041 galaxy in the Eridanus group as a function of normalized radius

Figure 2.33: Elongation in the potential $\epsilon_{\text{pot}}$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for ESO -549-G 035 galaxy in the Eridanus group
2.3. HARMONIC ANALYSIS OF RADIO DATA

Figure 2.34: Elongation in the potential $\epsilon_{pot}\sin(2\phi_2)$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for UGC 6446 galaxy in the Ursa Major group as a function of normalized radius.
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Figure 2.35: Elongation in the potential $\epsilon_{\text{pot}} \sin(2\phi_2)$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for NGC 3726 galaxy in the Ursa Major group as a function of normalized radius.

Figure 2.36: Elongation in the potential $\epsilon_{\text{pot}} \sin(2\phi_2)$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for NGC 3893 galaxy in the Ursa Major group as a function of normalized radius.
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Figure 2.37: Elongation in the potential $\epsilon_{pot} \sin(2\phi_2)$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for NGC 3949 galaxy in the Ursa Major group as a function of normalized radius.

Figure 2.38: Elongation in the potential $\epsilon_{pot} \sin(2\phi_2)$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for NGC 3953 galaxy in the Ursa Major group as a function of normalized radius.
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Figure 2.39: Elongation in the potential $\varepsilon_{\text{pot}} \sin(2\phi_z)$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for UGC 6917 galaxy in the Ursa Major group as a function of normalized radius

Figure 2.40: Elongation in the potential $\varepsilon_{\text{pot}} \sin(2\phi_z)$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for NGC 3992 galaxy in the Ursa Major group as a function of normalized radius
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Figure 2.41: Elongation in the potential $\epsilon_{pot}$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for UGC 6983 galaxy in the Ursa Major group as a function of normalized radius.

Figure 2.42: Elongation in the potential $\epsilon_{pot}$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for NGC 4051 galaxy in the Ursa Major group as a function of normalized radius.
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**Figure 2.43**: Elongation in the potential $\epsilon_{pot}$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for NGC 4088 galaxy in the Ursa Major group as a function of normalized radius

**Figure 2.44**: Elongation in the potential $\epsilon_{pot}$ derived from the velocity harmonic coefficients for NGC 4389 galaxy in the Ursa Major group as a function of normalized radius
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Table 2.3: Eridanus group galaxies: J, K band scale lengths \((R_J, R_K)\), HI scale length \((R_w)\) and the average kinematical lopsidedness in the 1-2 HI Scale length of galaxies selected for kinematical lopsidedness analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Galaxy</th>
<th>(R_J)</th>
<th>(R_K)</th>
<th>(R_w)</th>
<th>(&lt;\epsilon_2 \sin(2\phi_2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1309</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>-0.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGCA 068</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1325</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1345</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>-0.984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGCA 077</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1371</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO 482- G 013</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1414</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO 482- G 035</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>0.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCG -03-10-041</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO 549- G 035</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>-0.951</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the formula mentioned above we have estimated the ellipticity of the potential in both Eridanus and Ursa Major group galaxies. The average values of the ellipticity of potential in the outer regions are tabulated in Table 2.3 and Table 2.4 respectively. As these galaxies typically have a Gaussian profile for the surface density, we have decided to estimate the average value of the ellipticity of the potential in the 1 to 2 Gaussian scale lengths. The Gaussian scale length was estimated by fitting a Gaussian profile to the surface density maps of the selected galaxies and is shown in the 4th column of Table 2.3 and column 3 of Table 2.4. It should be pointed out that the ellipticity of potential is valid only within a factor of \(\sin(2\phi_2)\) only.
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Table 2.4: Ursa Major group: K band scale length ($R_K$), HI scale length ($R_w$) and the average kinematical lopsidedness in the 1-2 HI Scale length of galaxies selected for kinematical lopsidedness analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Galaxy</th>
<th>$R_K$ (kpc)</th>
<th>$R_w$ (kpc)</th>
<th>$&lt;\epsilon_2 \sin(2\phi_2)&gt;$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UGC 6446</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>-0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3726</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>-0.392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3893</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3949</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3953</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>-0.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGC 6917</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3992</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGC 6983</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>-0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4051</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>-0.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4088</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4389</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4 Discussion and Conclusion

We have carried out the kinematical lopsidedness calculation proposed by Franx (Franx et al. 1994) and Schoenmakers et al. (Schoenmakers et al., 1997) to Eridanus and Ursa Major group of galaxies. Ellipticity of potential was also estimated for the galaxies belonging to these two groups. In estimating this value we have used those galaxies, whose rotation curve appears to be flat in the outer regions. In order to estimate the ellipticity of the halo potential, we assumed that the halo potential for each of the galaxies has a bi-symmetric nature (m=2) and the phase $\phi(R) \sim 0$ (Schoenmakers et al., 1997).

On the whole, most of the galaxies selected on the basis of their inclination and quality of velocity maps from the Eridanus and the Ursa Major group of galaxies show kinematical lopsidedness. If one considers the ab-
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The absolute magnitude of $\epsilon_2 \sin(2\phi_2)$ in the 1-2 $R_w$ range, Ursa Major group of galaxies have an average kinematical lopsidedness $\sim 0.15$ compared to $\sim 0.33$ for the galaxies belonging to the Eridanus group. The same trend is seen in the spatial lopsidedness part also, which is discussed in detail in chapter 3. The average values of kinematical lopsidedness in these groups are higher than the typical values seen in nearby isolated galaxies, where the values are $\sim 0.06$ (Schoenmakers et al., 1997). Hence group galaxies show more kinematical lopsidedness compared to the field galaxies. This may indicate that the group environment is conducive in producing kinematical lopsidedness. This argument is also supported by the spatial lopsidedness analysis carried out by us (see Chapter 3).
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Intuition isn’t the enemy, but the ally, of reason.

–John Kord Lagemann

3

Spatial Lopsidedness in Spiral Galaxies

3.1 Introduction

It is known that a large fraction of spiral galaxies exhibit spatial lopsidedness or asymmetry in the distribution of matter. This phenomenon was brought to the notice first by Baldwin et al. (Baldwin et al., 1980), using the radio maps of M101, NGC 891, NGC 2841 and IC 342. All these galaxies show HI gas extending out to larger radii on one side than the other.

Since then the non-axisymmetric distribution of HI gas has been deduced for much larger samples by studying the asymmetry in the global HI profiles (Richter & Sancisi, 1994; Matthews et al., 1998; Haynes et al., 1998). These studies revealed that the HI profiles on the receding and approaching sides are asymmetric. It was inferred from the sample that at least 50% of galaxies studied show HI lopsidedness. Richter & Sancisi (1994) claim that for nearby resolved galaxies like M101, there exists a correspondence between the spatial HI lopsidedness and the global HI velocity profile observed. However, a quantitative measurement of HI spatial asymmetry from 2-D maps still remains to be carried out. In this chapter we analyse the
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2-D synthesis HI maps of a few galaxies belonging to the Eridanus and the Ursa Major group in order to study the HI lopsidedness. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time such a study has been attempted.

Another important question to be addressed is regarding the similarity seen in HI lopsidedness and that of the stellar disk. With the advent of near-IR observations in recent years, spatial lopsidedness has also been detected in the distribution of old stellar population that make up the main mass component of galactic disks (Block et al. 1994, Rix & Zaritsky 1995). The harmonic analysis is used on the galaxy images, which gives a quantitative measurement of spatial lopsidedness as a function of radius. It is found that more than 30% of galaxies show strong spatial lopsidedness in the near-IR bands (Rix & Zaritsky 1995, Zaritsky & Rix 1997, Bournaud et al. 2005); but the increased sky brightness in the near-IR bands limits the measurement of Fourier components of stellar asymmetry to radii less than 2.5 exponential disk scale lengths. It is also not known whether the lopsidedness in HI and near-IR bands are correlated. If the lopsidedness is caused by a global effect which affects the galaxy as a whole, then the stellar disk asymmetry should be comparable to the HI disk lopsidedness at the same galacto-centric radius (Valluri & Jog, 1990; Jog, 1997; Jog, 2000). This is another aspect of lopsidedness which is yet to be confirmed. In this chapter we verify observationally this aspect of lopsidedness.

In the present work, we have adopted Fourier-analysis technique to study lopsidedness in the HI surface density distribution. For this analysis we have chosen a sample of eighteen galaxies in the Eridanus group (Omar & Dwarakanath 2005a) and eleven galaxies in the Ursa Major group (Verheijen & Sancisi, 2001). To our knowledge, this is the first time that an analysis of this kind has been applied for quantitative measurement of HI
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spatial asymmetry. The availability of interferometric 2-D maps of galaxies has made such a study possible. Since the HI gas usually extends farther out than the stars, the disk lopsidedness can be measured up to twice or more the radial distance than it was possible using stellar light. Since the lopsidedness is observed to increase with radius (Rix & Zaritsky 1995, Bournaud et al. 2005), the Fourier amplitude measured at these distances are expected to provide better constraints on the generating mechanisms for disk lopsidedness (Valluri & Jog, 1990). In addition to this we have also compared the lopsidedness in HI with that in the near-IR band for a few galaxies belonging to both Eridanus and Ursa Major groups. It is observed that the spatial lopsidedness values obtained from near-IR band optical images are comparable to those obtained from the HI maps. We also show that the main physical mechanism for the origin of disk lopsidedness for the group galaxies is different from that of the field galaxies.

3.2 Data: The Eridanus Group of Galaxies

As discussed earlier (section 2.2.3), the Eridanus group is a loose group of galaxies at a mean distance of \( \sim 23 \pm 2 \) Mpc in the southern hemisphere \((\sim 3^h \leq \alpha \leq 4^h30^\prime, \sim -10^\circ \geq \delta \geq -30^\circ)\). From the redshift values, \( \sim 200 \) galaxies are associated with this group with heliocentric velocities in the range of \( \sim 1000 - 2200 \) \( \text{km s}^{-1} \) (Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005a). The observed velocity dispersion is \( \sim 240 \) km \( s^{-1} \). Even though there are subgroups within the system, the overall population mix of the galaxies in the Eridanus group was found to be \( \sim 30\% \) ellipticals and lenticulars and \( \sim 70\% \) spirals and irregulars (Omar & Dwarakanath 2005a). Though HI was detected in 31 galaxies out of the 57 selected for observation by Omar and Dwarakanath using the Giant Meter-wave Radio Telescope (GMRT),
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the spiral galaxies under consideration here form a subset of these. These spiral galaxies were chosen on the basis of their inclination, with inclinations in the range of $20^\circ$ and $80^\circ$. This criterion was adopted so as to get good velocity and surface density maps.

If a galaxy is almost face on, the circular velocity information derived from the velocity map of the galaxy will be reduced to a great extent resulting in greater uncertainty in the inclination. Similarly, if a galaxy is viewed edge-on, the line of sight velocity information will be of good quality, but the surface density map will not be suitable for the spatial lopsidedness analysis. With these criteria, an inclination range of $20^\circ$-$80^\circ$ was found suitable. In addition to this, we eliminated those galaxies where the detection in HI was patchy such as NGC 1415. The positions, heliocentric velocities, inclinations and the position angle (PA) of selected Eridanus group galaxies are given in Tab. 3.1. For these galaxies the J & K-band scale length values are given in Tab. 3.2 (Omar & Dwarakanath, 2006).

The HI surface density and velocity maps of the selected galaxies used in this analysis were derived out of image cubes which were convolved to a common resolution of $20'' \times 20''$. A $3\sigma$ column density sensitivity of $10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ was obtained for $20''$ resolution surface density images. The velocity resolution was $\sim 13.4$ km s$^{-1}$.

3.2.1 Eridanus: Optical Data

With a view to studying the spatial lopsidedness of the stellar component, the corresponding R-band images of these galaxies were analysed. The R-band images were obtained from Aryabhatta Research Institute of observational-sciences (ARIES) using the 104 cm Sampurnanand telescope. The details of the observations and data reduction methods are given else-
Table 3.1: Hubble type, position, inclination and position angle of Eridanus group galaxies selected for spatial lopsidedness analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Galaxy</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>α(J2000)</th>
<th>δ(J2000)</th>
<th>V_{sys} (km/s)</th>
<th>Inclin(i) (Deg)</th>
<th>PA (Deg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1309</td>
<td>SAbc</td>
<td>03 22 06.5</td>
<td>-15 24 00</td>
<td>2135</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGCA 068</td>
<td>SABedm</td>
<td>03 23 47.2</td>
<td>-19 45 55</td>
<td>1589</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1325</td>
<td>SAbc</td>
<td>03 24 25.4</td>
<td>-21 32 36</td>
<td>1838</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1345</td>
<td>SBc</td>
<td>03 29 31.7</td>
<td>-17 46 40</td>
<td>1529</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1347</td>
<td>SBcd</td>
<td>03 29 41.8</td>
<td>-22 16 45</td>
<td>1759</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGCA 077</td>
<td>SBdm</td>
<td>03 32 19.2</td>
<td>-17 43 05</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC 1953</td>
<td>SBd</td>
<td>03 33 41.9</td>
<td>-21 28 43</td>
<td>1867</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1359</td>
<td>SBcm</td>
<td>03 33 47.7</td>
<td>-19 29 31</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1371</td>
<td>SABa</td>
<td>03 35 02.0</td>
<td>-24 55 59</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO 548-G 049</td>
<td>S?</td>
<td>03 35 28.1</td>
<td>-21 13 01</td>
<td>1510</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO 482-G 013</td>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>03 36 53.9</td>
<td>-24 54 46</td>
<td>1835</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1385</td>
<td>SBcd</td>
<td>03 37 28.3</td>
<td>-24 30 05</td>
<td>1493</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1390</td>
<td>SB0/a</td>
<td>03 37 32.2</td>
<td>-19 00 30</td>
<td>1207</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1414</td>
<td>SBbc</td>
<td>03 40 57.0</td>
<td>-21 42 47</td>
<td>1681</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO 482-G 035</td>
<td>SBab</td>
<td>03 41 15.0</td>
<td>-23 50 10</td>
<td>1890</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1422</td>
<td>SBab</td>
<td>03 41 31.1</td>
<td>-21 40 54</td>
<td>1637</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCG -03-10-041</td>
<td>SBdm</td>
<td>03 43 35.5</td>
<td>-16 00 52</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO 549-G 035</td>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>03 55 04.0</td>
<td>-20 23 01</td>
<td>1778</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where (Omar & Dwarakanath, 2006). The final re-gridded images had a typical resolution of 1″.0 × 1″.0 and a limiting surface brightness of ∼ 26.0 mag arcsec⁻².

### 3.3 Ursa Major Group of Galaxies

Out of the 49 galaxies observed using the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT) by Verheijen and Sancisi (2001), we have selected 11 galaxies on the basis of their inclination and quality of HI maps (galaxies, whose HI maps were patchy were rejected) for further analysis. The right ascension (α), declination (δ), systemic velocity (V_{sys}), inclination (i) and
### Table 3.2: The scale lengths in J, K-bands of galaxies belonging to the Eridanus group selected for spatial lopsidedness analysis (Omar & Dwarakanath, 2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Galaxy</th>
<th>$R_J$ (kpc)</th>
<th>$R_K$ (kpc)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1309</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGCA 068</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1325</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1345</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1347</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGCA 077 *</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC 1953</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1359</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1371</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>3.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO 548- G 049</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO 482- G 013</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1385</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1390</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1414</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO 482- G 035</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1422</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCG -03-10-041</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO 549- G 035</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 3.3: The Hubble type, position (RA, DEC), systemic velocity ($V_{sys}$), inclination ($i$) and the position angle (PA) of galaxies selected for spatial lopsidedness analysis belonging to the Ursa Major group (Verheijen & Sancisi, 2001)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>$\alpha$ (J2000)</th>
<th>$\delta$ (J2000)</th>
<th>$V_{sys}$</th>
<th>Inclin.(i)</th>
<th>PA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>h m s</td>
<td>°'''</td>
<td>(km s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>(°)</td>
<td>(°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGC 6446</td>
<td>Sd</td>
<td>11 26 40.4</td>
<td>53 44 48</td>
<td>644.3</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3726</td>
<td>Sbc</td>
<td>11 33 21.2</td>
<td>47 01 45</td>
<td>865.6</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3893</td>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>11 48 38.2</td>
<td>48 42 39</td>
<td>967.2</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3949</td>
<td>Sbc</td>
<td>11 53 41.4</td>
<td>47 51 32</td>
<td>800.2</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3953</td>
<td>Sbc</td>
<td>11 53 48.9</td>
<td>52 19 36</td>
<td>1052.3</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGC 6917</td>
<td>Sbd</td>
<td>11 56 28.8</td>
<td>50 25 42</td>
<td>910.7</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3992</td>
<td>Sbc</td>
<td>11 57 36.0</td>
<td>53 22 28</td>
<td>1048.2</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGC 6983</td>
<td>Sbc</td>
<td>11 59 09.3</td>
<td>52 42 27</td>
<td>1081.9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4051</td>
<td>Sbc</td>
<td>12 03 09.6</td>
<td>44 31 53</td>
<td>700.3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4088</td>
<td>Sbc</td>
<td>12 05 34.2</td>
<td>50 32 21</td>
<td>756.7</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4389</td>
<td>Sbc</td>
<td>12 25 35.1</td>
<td>45 41 05</td>
<td>718.4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Position Angle (PA) of these galaxies are given in Tab. 3.3. All the selected galaxies had good velocity and HI maps, both of which were essential for the analysis (Block et al. 2002; Bournaud et al., 2005). Details of the observation and the preliminary data reduction are given elsewhere (Verheijen & Sancisi, 2001) (see section 2.2.4 for a brief summary).

3.3.1 Optical and Near-IR Data

The $K'$-Band and R-Band images of a few of the largest galaxies having a typical diameter of 3' - 6' in the inclination range of 49° - 62° were sourced from the Canadian Astronomy Data Centre (CADC)$^1$ archives. These images were obtained using various telescopes and CCD cameras by Tully et al. (1996) and are kept in the archives after the initial data reductions like

---

$^1$This research used the facilities of the Canadian Astronomy Data Centre operated by the National Research Council of Canada with the support of the Canadian Space Agency.
cosmic ray removal, dark subtraction, and flat fielding were carried out. The typical resolution of the images were 1″ (R-Band) and \(~\sim 2″\) (K-Band). These images were analysed to obtain the asymmetry in the stellar distribution of the galaxies.

3.3.2 Harmonic Analysis of Radio Data

In HI, where both velocity maps and surface density maps are available, the analysis technique is different from that adopted in optical analysis. The procedure assumes that in an ideal galaxy, HI is in pure circular motion. Hence we have,

\[
V(x, y) = V_0 + V_c \cos(\phi') \sin(i) + V_r \sin(\phi') \sin(i) \tag{3.1}
\]

where \(V(x, y)\) is the velocity at the rectangular coordinate \((x, y)\), \(V_0\) is the systemic velocity, \(V_c\) is the rotation velocity, \(i\) is the inclination and \(V_r\) is the radial velocity which was taken to be zero. The azimuthal angle \((\phi')\) measured in the plane of the galaxy, is given by the equations

\[
\cos(\phi') = \frac{-(x - x_0) \sin(PA) + (y - y_0) \cos(PA)}{r} \tag{3.2}
\]

\[
\sin(\phi') = \frac{-(x - x_0) \cos(PA) + (y - y_0) \sin(PA)}{r \cos(i)} \tag{3.3}
\]

where \(r = \sqrt{((x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2/\cos(i)^2)}\). In these equations, \((x_0, y_0)\) is the kinematic centre of the galaxy, \(PA\) is the position angle of the galaxy measured in the anti-clockwise direction from the north direction. Using these equations, the five unknown parameters, namely \((x_0, y_0)\), \(PA\), \(V_c\) and \(i\) were estimated using the GIPSY task ROTCUR (Baldwin et al., 1980) in an iterative manner (Wong et al. 2003; Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005a) as mentioned in section 2.3 for both sets of galaxies belonging to Eridanus and
Ursa Major group. It was observed that the dynamical centre, derived from velocity maps were less than 2′′ away from the optical centre. Hence, for all the calculations the optical centre was used.

To derive the surface density harmonic coefficients, values obtained for \((x_0, y_0)\), PA, \(V_c\) and \(i\) by ROTCUR (see section 2.3) as well as the surface density and velocity maps were given to the GIPSY task RESWRI, a task which is an offshoot of ROTCUR based on the harmonic analysis idea developed by Schoenmakers et al. (1997). The radii of each of the rings were separated by half the synthesized beam width and the width of each ring was one synthesized beam width for the Eridanus and the Ursa Major group galaxies to satisfy the Nyquist sampling condition (Shannon, 1949). To avoid beam smearing along the minor axis, a cone of 10′ about the minor axis of the galaxy was not included in the analysis for the galaxies in the Eridanus group. This value was 15′ for Ursa Major group galaxies. A uniform weightage was given for each of the points within one annulus. At each of these rings, with the same values of PA and inclination obtained from the velocity map analysis, the surface density values were extracted from the surface density map, which were Fourier expanded. The programme parameters were set to return ten Fourier harmonic coefficients in the spatial domain. The resulting harmonic coefficients were recast so that the surface density could be modelled as

\[
\sigma(R, \phi) = \sigma_0(R) + \sum_m a_m(R) \cos(m \phi - \phi_m(R))
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

Here \(\sigma_0(R)\) is the mean surface density at a given radius \(R\). \(\phi\) is the azimuthal angle in the plane of the galaxy and \(\phi_m\) is the phase of the \(m^{th}\) Fourier coefficient. From this analysis, the harmonic coefficients \(a_1(R)\) were extracted out and the normalized harmonic coefficients
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\[ A_1(R) = \frac{a_1(R)}{\sigma_0(R)} \]

were calculated for various rings. \( \phi_1(R) \) for various rings were also determined. The errors in each of these coefficients were determined assuming that the coefficients \( a_1(R), \sigma_0(R), \phi_1(R) \) to be independent of each other. This procedure was adopted to facilitate the comparison of the \( A_1, \phi_1 \) values with the values derived from a similar analysis of the near-IR data for stars (Rix & Zaritsky 1995, Bournaud et al. 2005).
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NGC 1309
\[ A_{1\text{max}} = 0.10 \]
\[ A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.08 \pm 0.01 \]

UGCA 068
\[ A_{1\text{max}} = 0.21 \]
\[ A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.11 \pm 0.02 \]

NGC 1325
\[ A_{1\text{max}} = 0.38 \]
\[ A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.23 \pm 0.03 \]
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NGC 1345
\[ A_{1\text{max}} = 0.48 \]
\[ A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.19 \pm 0.02 \]

NGC 1347
\[ A_{1\text{max}} = 0.20 \]
\[ A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.11 \pm 0.84 \]

UGCA 077
\[ A_{1\text{max}} = 0.10 \]
\[ A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.07 \pm 0.02 \]

IC 1953
\[ A_{1\text{max}} = 0.28 \]
\[ A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.15 \pm 0.04 \]

NGC 1359
\[ A_{1\text{max}} = 0.33 \]
\[ A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02 \]

NGC 1371
\[ A_{1\text{max}} = 0.19 \]
\[ A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.11 \pm 0.01 \]
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ESO 548-6 049
$A_{1\text{max}} = 0.80$
$A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.65 \pm 0.06$

ESO 482-6 013
$A_{1\text{max}} = 0.19$
$A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.16 \pm 0.03$

NGC 1385
$A_{1\text{max}} = 0.33$
$A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.30 \pm 0.04$

NGC 1390
$A_{1\text{max}} = 0.40$
$A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.34 \pm 0.06$

NGC 1414
$A_{1\text{max}} = 0.14$
$A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.12 \pm 0.05$

ESO 482-6 035
$A_{1\text{max}} = 0.83$
$A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.58 \pm 0.06$
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Figure 3.1: $A_1$ coefficients of galaxies in the Eridanus group as a function of $R/R_K$. For NGC 1359 and ESO 548-G 049 the J band scale length is used. For UGCA 077 & ESO 549-G 035, a scale length of 2kpc is used. Here $A_{1\text{mean}}$ is the mean value of $A_1$ over the whole HI disk.
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NGC 1309
\[ \phi_{\text{imax}} = 4.3 \text{(rad)} \]
\[ \phi_{\text{imean}} = 1.8 \pm 0.2 \text{(rad)} \]

NGC 1325
\[ \phi_{\text{imax}} = 6.3 \text{(rad)} \]
\[ \phi_{\text{imean}} = 4.0 \pm 0.3 \text{(rad)} \]

NGC 1345
\[ \phi_{\text{imax}} = 5.7 \text{(rad)} \]
\[ \phi_{\text{imean}} = 4.8 \pm 0.1 \text{(rad)} \]
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NGC 1347
\[ \phi_{\text{max}} = 5.1 \text{ (rad)} \]
\[ \phi_{\text{mean}} = 4.7 \pm 1.0 \text{ (rad)} \]

UGCA 077
\[ \phi_{\text{max}} = 3.8 \text{ (rad)} \]
\[ \phi_{\text{mean}} = 2.0 \pm 0.3 \text{ (rad)} \]

IC 1953
\[ \phi_{\text{max}} = 4.4 \text{ (rad)} \]
\[ \phi_{\text{mean}} = 4.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ (rad)} \]

NGC 1359
\[ \phi_{\text{max}} = 5.8 \text{ (rad)} \]
\[ \phi_{\text{mean}} = 4.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ (rad)} \]

NGC 1371
\[ \phi_{\text{max}} = 4.7 \text{ (rad)} \]
\[ \phi_{\text{mean}} = 1.5 \pm 0.2 \text{ (rad)} \]

ESO 548-G 049
\[ \phi_{\text{max}} = 4.6 \text{ (rad)} \]
\[ \phi_{\text{mean}} = 4.6 \pm 0.1 \text{ (rad)} \]
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- **ESO 482-G 013**
  - $\phi_{\text{imax}} = 3.3 \text{ (rad)}$
  - $\phi_{\text{imean}} = 3.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ (rad)}$

- **NGC 1385**
  - $\phi_{\text{imax}} = 4.2 \text{ (rad)}$
  - $\phi_{\text{imean}} = 4.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ (rad)}$

- **NGC 1390**
  - $\phi_{\text{imax}} = 4.9 \text{ (rad)}$
  - $\phi_{\text{imean}} = 4.8 \pm 0.1 \text{ (rad)}$

- **NGC 1414**
  - $\phi_{\text{imax}} = 0.9 \text{ (rad)}$
  - $\phi_{\text{imean}} = 0.5 \pm 0.4 \text{ (rad)}$

- **ESO 482-G 035**
  - $\phi_{\text{imax}} = 0.8 \text{ (rad)}$
  - $\phi_{\text{imean}} = 0.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ (rad)}$

- **NGC 1422**
  - $\phi_{\text{imax}} = 6.2 \text{ (rad)}$
  - $\phi_{\text{imean}} = 6.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ (rad)}$
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Figure 3.2: $\phi_1$ coefficients of galaxies in the Eridanus group as a function of $R/R_K$. For NGC 1359 and ESO 548-049 the J band scale length is used. For UGCA 077 & ESO 549-035, a scale length of 2kpc is used.
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UGC 6446
$A_{\text{max}} = 0.18$
$A_{\text{mean}} = 0.12 \pm 0.01$

NGC 3726
$A_{\text{max}} = 0.32$
$A_{\text{mean}} = 0.18 \pm 0.01$

NGC 3893
$A_{\text{max}} = 0.21$
$A_{\text{mean}} = 0.14 \pm 0.02$
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NGC 3949
$A_{1\text{max}} = 0.22$
$A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.16 \pm 0.03$

NGC 3953
$A_{1\text{max}} = 0.24$
$A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.14 \pm 0.07$

UGC 6917
$A_{1\text{max}} = 0.37$
$A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.14 \pm 0.01$

NGC 3992
$A_{1\text{max}} = 0.23$
$A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.14 \pm 0.06$

UGC 6983
$A_{1\text{max}} = 0.17$
$A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.11 \pm 0.01$

NGC 4051
$A_{1\text{max}} = 0.26$
$A_{1\text{mean}} = 0.16 \pm 0.15$
Figure 3.3: The asymmetry parameter derived from the surface density maps (moment 0) of galaxies belonging to the Ursa Major group. In each of the maps, the radius is in the units of K'-band scale length. The mean value estimated is for the complete range.
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**UGC 6446**

$|\phi_{\text{max}}| = 2.1 \text{(rad)}$

$|\phi_{\text{rms}}| = 1.6 \pm 0.1 \text{(rad)}$

**NGC 3726**

$|\phi_{\text{max}}| = 2.8 \text{(rad)}$

$|\phi_{\text{rms}}| = 1.9 \pm 0.1 \text{(rad)}$

**NGC 3893**

$|\phi_{\text{max}}| = 0.3 \text{(rad)}$

$|\phi_{\text{rms}}| = 0.3 \pm 0.2 \text{(rad)}$
3.3. Ursa Major Group of Galaxies

NGC 3949
|φ|max| = 1.8 (rad)
|φ|lmean| = 1.2 ± 0.1 (rad)

NGC 3953
|φ|max| = 3.0 (rad)
|φ|lmean| = 1.7 ± 0.3 (rad)

UGC 6917
|φ|max| = 3.1 (rad)
|φ|lmean| = 1.6 ± 0.2 (rad)

NGC 3992
|φ|max| = 1.7 (rad)
|φ|lmean| = 1.1 ± 0.5 (rad)

UGC 6983
|φ|max| = 2.8 (rad)
|φ|lmean| = 1.8 ± 0.1 (rad)

NGC 4051
|φ|max| = 2.6 (rad)
|φ|lmean| = 1.7 ± 0.4 (rad)
Figure 3.4: The asymmetry phase parameter derived from the surface density maps (moment 0) of galaxies selected from the Ursa Major group. In each of the maps, the radius is in the units of K'-band scale length.

NGC 4088
\(|\phi_{\text{maj}}| = 3.1(\text{rad})\)
\(|\phi_{\text{Irreeq}}| = 2.2 \pm 0.1(\text{rad})\)

NGC 4389
\(|\phi_{\text{maj}}| = 2.5(\text{rad})\)
\(|\phi_{\text{Irreeq}}| = 1.8 \pm 1.8(\text{rad})\)
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The resulting $A_1$ and $\phi_1$ values are plotted as a function of the radius scaled in terms of the near-IR scale lengths $R_K$ or $R_J$ are shown in Fig. 3.1, Fig. 3.2, Fig. 3.3 and Fig. 3.4 respectively. This scaling was done in order to facilitate a direct comparison with the near-IR values of stellar asymmetry over the same radial range as given in the earlier literature. In the case of some of the Eridanus group of galaxies, where no scale lengths were available (denoted by $\ast$ in the last two columns in Tab. 3.2), a mean scale length of $\sim 2\text{kpc}$ was taken. For the Eridanus group galaxies, the mean values for $A_1$ obtained over the range of 1.5 to 2.5 stellar exponential disk scale lengths ($R_K$ values are preferred) are given in column 3, Tab. 3.6. The average $A_1$ values in the 1.5 to 2.5 $R_K$ range of Ursa Major group galaxies are given in the column 3 of Tab. 3.5. For easy comparison of these two group $A_1$ values, we display them in the form of a histogram also (Fig. 3.5). In order to check whether the $A_1$ values of these two groups are similar, we have carried out Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test on the data samples, including 3 values of $A_1$ estimated from the R-Band analysis (see section 3.3.3). The D statistics value was estimated to be 0.357. The probability that the two samples come from the same distribution is 23.6%. Hence the KS test indicates that the $A_1$ coefficients of the two groups come from different sets. This points to different physical origins for the lopsidedness seen in these two groups.

The typical HI radial surface density profile of the galaxies belonging to Eridanus group and the Ursa Major group are far from exponential and was close to a Gaussian (Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005a; Verheijen & Sancisi, 2001). This is unlike the Virgo cluster, where many galaxies have exponentially decreasing HI surface density in the outer regions (Warmels, 1988). Hence we determined a scale length, $R_{w1}$, associated with a Gaussian profile for the various galaxies in these groups. This was
Figure 3.5: Histograms showing the number of galaxies Vs. \( <A_1> \) in the 1.5-2.5 \( R_K \) range for the Eridanus group (left-hand panel) and the Ursa Major group (right-hand panel) of galaxies.

done by performing a \( \chi^2 \) fit to the radial surface density profile. A face-on radial surface density profile was obtained for each galaxy after integrating along concentric annuli. This surface density profile was fitted with a curve of the form \( S_0 \exp\left(-\frac{(R-b)^2}{2R_w^2}\right) \) using a \( \chi^2 \)-fitting technique with \( S_0, b \) and \( R_w \) as the best fit parameters. The \( R_w \) values of Eridanus group of galaxies are given in column 4, Tab. 3.3 and those of the Ursa Major group galaxies are given in column 5, Tab. 3.5. For the Ursa Major group galaxies, we have estimated the \( A_1, A_2 \) and \( A_3 \) values in the 1 to 2 \( R_w \) range. These values are also tabulated in Tab. 3.5.

3.3.3 Harmonic Analysis of Optical Images

As a result of the HI harmonic analysis described earlier, we are at a unique position to compare the lopsidedness observed in the stellar disk with that of the observed HI asymmetry. Even though a theoretical model for the origin of lopsidedness based on the linear disk response to a distorted halo predicts similar values for the \( A_1 \) coefficients from the stellar and gaseous components
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(Jog, 1997; Jog, 2000), this point is not yet verified by observations. Here we analyse the R-band images of some of the sample galaxies obtained of the Eridanus group of galaxies using the Sampurnanand Telescope, ARIES, Nainital, India. Details of the observations and the basic image processing are dealt with elsewhere (Omar & Dwarakanath, 2006). In a similar vein, we have also made use of the R-band images of some of the galaxies belonging to the Ursa Major group to study the lopsidedness seen in them. These images were obtained from the CDAC.

The reduced images were de-projected using the IRAF\textsuperscript{2} STSDAS\textsuperscript{3} task IMLINTRAN (Buta et al., 1998). The mean inclination and position angle derived from the HI velocity field were used in de-projection. Since our interest was in the outer regions of the galaxies the bulge-disk decomposition was not performed before the de-projection. In this region (∼ 3kpc from the centre), the effect due to the bulge and the bar are unimportant.

From the de-projected images, the isophotal intensities along concentric annuli of width 1'' were extracted as a function of azimuthal angle. The ELLIPSE\textsuperscript{4} task was used for this purpose. A $\chi^2$ fit on the extracted intensities was carried out by NFIT1D routine of STSDAS using the function

$$I(R, \phi) = a_0(R) + \sum_{m} a_m(R) \cos(m\phi) + b_m(R) \sin(m\phi)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

Here, $I(R, \phi)$ is the intensity at the ring radius $R$ and azimuthal angle $\phi$ in the plane of the galaxy. $a_m$ and $b_m$ were the harmonic coefficients. From the resulting $a_1, b_1$ coefficients the normalized $A_1$ coefficients for various rings

\footnotesize
\begin{itemize}
  \item IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
  \item STSDAS is the Space Telescope Science Data Analysis System.
  \item ELLIPSE is a product of the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by AURA for NASA.
\end{itemize}

\normalsize
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were determined.

The values so derived for four galaxies belonging to the Eridanus group: NGC 1309, NGC 1347, IC 1953, and NGC 1359, are shown in Fig. 3.6. Similarly, the $A_1$ coefficients of two galaxies belonging to the Ursa Major group, namely NGC 3726 and NGC 4051, are shown in Fig. 3.7. For easy comparison, we have also plotted the corresponding $A_1$ coefficients derived from the HI data. It is seen that $A_1$ coefficients derived from R-band images and those from HI analysis are comparable in the radial region where the data overlap, although we caution that the region of overlap is small. From Fig. 3.6 it can be seen that in one case, the stellar asymmetry values are slightly higher than the HI values while the reverse is true in two cases, and in NGC 1359 they overlap. Thus in general, the $A_1$ values for stars show the same general trend as do the HI values. In these graphs HI is the only available tracer in the outer regions.
Figure 3.6: $A_1$ coefficients derived from R-band images of a few Eridanus group of galaxies along with those derived from HI analysis as a function of distance.
Figure 3.7: The $A_1$ coefficients derived from R-Band images of two Ursa Major group of galaxies, which are compared with that obtained from HI surface density maps. Note that the values are comparable in the inner regions of radial overlap.
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3.3.4 Spatial Lopsidedness and Galaxy Morphology

As a result of our analysis of spatial lopsidedness of the galaxies belonging to the Eridanus and Ursa Major groups, we are capable of comparing the spatial lopsidedness of galaxies as a function of the Hubble type. The B-band images and corresponding Hubble classification of the galaxies belonging to the two groups, namely the Eridanus and the Ursa Major groups, are available from the NASA Extra-galactic Data Archives (NED) and the Digitized Sky Survey (DSS). For each of the galaxies, we have used the average $A_1$ value in the 1.5 to 2.5 K-band scale length range and the associated Hubble type value to check for correlations. As mentioned earlier, in the scale length range mentioned above we expect the effect of bars and the associated shocks and perturbations to be minimal.

Consequent to our analysis, we found that in the Eridanus group, early type spirals have higher spatial lopsidedness compared to its late type spiral galaxies. Such a trend is seen in the Ursa Major group galaxies also, although in a much weaker scale. We postulate that the group compactness and the associated strength of tidal interactions to be the major cause for the early type spiral galaxies to be more lopsided compared to the late type spiral galaxies. This postulate is buttressed by three other observations. The first of them is that in the field galaxies the asymmetry parameter Vs. Hubble type shows an opposite trend (Bournaud et al., 2005) to that seen in group galaxies. The cause of asymmetry in this case, where tidal and ram-pressure effects are small, is ascribed to asymmetric accretion of gas from the cosmological filaments. Secondly the asymmetry of early type spirals seen in the Eridanus group galaxies is on the average larger than that seen in the case of Ursa Major group. It is known from the earlier studies that Eridanus group is more compact compared to the Ursa Major group.
(Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005a). Recent work on Ursa Major group seems to indicate that this group is close to the field galaxies.

### 3.3.5 Estimation of the halo perturbation

Assuming that the disk lopsidedness arises as a disk response to the halo perturbation, we use the observed $A_1$ coefficients to determine the halo asymmetry or the perturbation potential (Jog, 2000). We assume that the potential $\psi(R, \phi)$ at a radius $R$ for a galaxy to be composed of an unperturbed part $\psi_0(R, \phi)$ and a perturbed part $\psi_1(R, \phi)$. It is known that most spiral galaxies have flat rotation curves in the outer regions. Choosing $\psi_0 \propto \ln(R)$ as the unperturbed potential can explain this result. The perturbation potential is assumed to have a cosine dependence to represent the lopsidedness.

For computational purpose, $\psi_0$ and $\psi_1$ were taken as

$$\psi_0(R, \phi) = V_c^2 \ln(R)$$

$$\psi_1(R, \phi) = V_c^2 \epsilon_1 \cos(\phi) \tag{3.61}$$

Here, $V_c$ is the rotational velocity, $\phi$ is the azimuthal angle in the plane of the galaxy and $\epsilon_1$ is the perturbation parameter which is assumed to be constant with radius for simplicity.

Simultaneously solving the equations of motion of orbits in this net potential, the effective surface density (assuming an exponential disk) and the equation of continuity yields a relation between the $A_1$ values and the halo perturbation parameter $\epsilon_1$ for an exponential disk (Jog, 2000). This is applicable for both stars and gas in the linear perturbation regime (Jog, 1997).
3.3. Ursa Major Group of Galaxies

Taking the cue from Jog (2000) and applying the same for a Gaussian surface density distribution, we obtained the following relation between $A_1$ and $\epsilon_1$, in terms of $R_w$:

$$\epsilon_1 = \frac{A_1(R)}{(2\left(\frac{R}{R_w}\right)^2 - 1)}$$ (3.7)

For the Eridanus group galaxies, the values of $R_w$, the Gaussian scale length, $<A_1>_w$, the mean $A_1$ observed over 1-2 $R_w$ range, and $<\epsilon_1>$, the mean perturbation parameter for the halo potential over this radial range are given in the last three columns of Tab. 3.6. The typical value of the exponential stellar disk scale length is $\sim$ 2 kpc, while that of the scale length for the HI distribution $R_w$ is $\sim$ 6 kpc. The third column gives an average of $<A_1>_K$ for HI measured over 1.5-2.5 exponential disk scale lengths, and can be compared directly with the values of lopsidedness measured earlier from stellar distribution over the same range of radii (Rix & Zaritsky, 1995; Bournaud et al., 2005). The last two columns denote asymmetry in the HI surface density and the mean perturbation parameter respectively, in the outer parts of a galactic disk. The mean value of $<A_1>_K$ in the inner disk (1.5 to 2.5 $R_K$) is 0.24, while that in the outer disk is slightly higher =0.27 (Tab. 3.6).

In the case of galaxies belonging to the Ursa Major group, from their respective surface density maps we have also estimated the higher order normalized harmonic coefficients for $m$= 2 & 3 in addition to $m$=1. Assuming that the HI surface density can be fitted with a Gaussian curve, the associated Gaussian scale length ($R_w$) was also estimated as in the case of Eridanus Group Galaxies. Using this scale length the relations between $\epsilon_m$, $A_m$ and $R_w$ have been derived for $m$ = 1, 2 and 3, following the procedure in Jog (2000) where it was developed for an exponential disk distribution in
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Table 3.4: The m=1 asymmetry values in the Eridanus sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Galaxy</th>
<th>$A_{1,\text{max}}$</th>
<th>$&lt;A_1&gt;_{K}$</th>
<th>$R_w$</th>
<th>$&lt;A_1&gt;_w$</th>
<th>$&lt;\epsilon_1&gt;$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.5 to 2.5 $R_K$)</td>
<td>(kpc)</td>
<td>(1 to 2 $R_w$)</td>
<td>(1 to 2 $R_w$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1309</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGCA 068</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.04</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1325</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1345</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1347</td>
<td>0.20 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGCA 077</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC 1953</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1359</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>11.23</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1371</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.01</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO 548-G 049</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO 482-G 013</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1385</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1390</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1414</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO 482-G 035</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1422</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>20.45</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO 549-G 035</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. The $A_1$ mean value between 1.5 to 2.5 exponential scale lengths is given in column 3. The Gaussian scale length for HI, $R_w$, and the resulting $<A_1>_w$ and $\epsilon_1$ over 1-2 $R_w$ are shown in columns 4 and 5 respectively.

2. The mean of $<A_1>_K$ in the range 1.5 to 2.5 $R_K$ is $0.24 \pm 0.19$ and the mean of $<A_1>_w$ in the 1 to 2 $R_w$ range is $0.27 \pm 0.22$. The mean of $<\epsilon_1>$ is $0.13 \pm 0.13$.

3. These results do not change much if the galaxies with $i > 70^\circ$ and with $R_{\exp} = 2$ are eliminated from the sample - in that case the mean of $<A_1>_K = 0.22 \pm 0.17$ between 1.5 - 2.5 $R_K$ and mean of $<A_1>_w = 0.26 \pm 0.18$ between 1-2 $R_w$. 
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Table 3.5: The mean values of $A_1$ in the range 1.5-2.5 $R_k$, and $A_1$, $A_2$, $A_3$ in the range 1-2 $R_w$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>$R_k$</th>
<th>$&lt; A_1 &gt;_k$</th>
<th>$R_w$</th>
<th>$&lt; A_1 &gt;_w$</th>
<th>$&lt; A_2 &gt;_w$</th>
<th>$&lt; A_3 &gt;_w$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UGC 6446</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3726</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3893</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3949</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3953</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGC 6917</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3992</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGC 6983</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4051</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4088</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4389</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.14±0.05</td>
<td>0.17±0.03</td>
<td>0.20±0.07</td>
<td>0.11±0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A region of flat rotation curve. This yields the following relations between the perturbation parameters for the potential $\epsilon_m$ and the $A_m$ values:

$$\epsilon_2 = \frac{A_2(R)}{(R/R_w)^2 + 1} \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\epsilon_3 = \frac{A_3(R)}{(2/T)(R/R_w)^2 + 1} \quad (3.9)$$

The resulting mean values of the perturbation parameters are obtained using the measured values of the Fourier amplitudes $A_1$, $A_2$ and $A_3$. These values are given in Tab. 3.5.

It is now believed that halos of galaxies in groups are merged in the outer parts (Athanassoula et al. 1997). However, in the inner regions of 10 kpc where we studied the asymmetry, we could treat the asymmetry as if
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the halo was isolated. Hence the above model of disk response to a halo is still reasonably valid and the inner regions could carry the salient features of complex tidal interactions in a group setting.

The main results from this subsection are:

• The average value of $\epsilon_1$ or the lopsided perturbation for the potential obtained in the outer parts of Ursa Major group galaxies (in the radial range $1-2 R_w$) is $\sim 6\%$. This is smaller than the value for the Eridanus group galaxies where the halo lopsided potential was derived to be 10\%.
  - This reflects the smaller observed amplitudes of lopsidedness in the galaxies of Ursa Major group sample.

Thus if the lopsidedness is due to the response to the halo distortion, then this gives 6\% as the typical halo lopsidedness for the Ursa Major galaxies.

• For the Ursa Major group galaxies the elongation in the potential or the magnitude or the amplitude of the elongation in the term $\epsilon_1$ value is comparable (within a factor of $\sin(2\phi_2)$) with the kinematical asymmetry (see columns 3 and 6 of Tab. 3.6). This confirms the argument (Jog 1997, Jog 2002) that both spatial and kinematical asymmetry result from the same perturbation potential.

• The values of all three perturbation potentials derived for the Ursa Major group of galaxies viz. $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3$ are comparable to each other(Tab. 3.6). Although this result depends on the model used, it reinforces the similar result obtained for the Fourier amplitudes viz. $< A_1 >, < A_2 >$ and $< A_3 >$ (Tab. 3.5), which are directly observed and hence are model-independent. This can be an important clue to the mechanism for generating lopsidedness in groups, and perhaps in-
Table 3.6: Ursa Major group galaxies: The HI-scale length ($R_w$), and the mean perturbation parameters of potentials ($<\epsilon_1>$,$<\epsilon_2>$ & $<\epsilon_3>$) obtained from $A_1$, $A_2$ and $A_3$- the coefficients of surface densities and $<\epsilon_2 \sin(2\phi_2)>$ from velocity fields. The mean values are calculated between $1-2 R_w$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>$R_w$ (kpc)</th>
<th>$&lt;\epsilon_1&gt;$</th>
<th>$&lt;\epsilon_2&gt;$</th>
<th>$&lt;\epsilon_3&gt;$</th>
<th>$&lt;\epsilon_2 \sin(2\phi_2)&gt;$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UGC 6446</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>-0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3726</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>-0.392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3949</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3953</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>-0.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGC 6917</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGC 6983</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>-0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4051</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>-0.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4088</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: $0.057 \pm 0.021$ $0.069 \pm 0.034$ $0.095 \pm 0.025$ $-0.106 \pm 0.172$

dicates the importance of multiple simultaneous tidal interactions that can occur under the special conditions of a group environment.

### 3.4 Discussion

#### 1. Distribution of lopsidedness

We have carried out the Fourier harmonic analysis for the HI surface density of the Eridanus and Ursa Major group of galaxies. All the eighteen galaxies studied in Eridanus group show significant average lopsidedness with a mean value of $<A_1>_K = 0.24$ in the inner regions of $<5$ kpc, which is more than twice the average value observed for field galaxies (Zaritsky & Rix 1997, Bournaud et al. 2005). A large fraction $\sim 30\%$ show even higher lopsidedness with a value of $<A_1>_K \geq 0.3$, whereas only $7\%$ of the field galaxies have such high lopsidedness (Bournaud et al. 2005). In the field
galaxies $\sim 12\%$ of galaxies show $A_1 \geq 0.2$, whereas in the Eridanus sample $\sim 40\%$ of the galaxies show this.

The Ursa Major group of galaxies show a typical lopsidedness of $\sim 14\%$ in the inner regions, which is comparable to the field case, and about half of what is seen in the Eridanus group. We also measured the $<A_1>$ values in the outer parts between 1-2 $R_e$, and found this to be $\sim 17\%$. Again this is smaller by a factor of $\sim 1.6$ compared to the Eridanus case. We have measured the values in the outer disks ($> 5$ kpc) or outside of 2.5 exponential disk scale lengths as well and found that the average value of mean lopsidedness measured in the outer regions is slightly higher ($= 0.27$) for the Eridanus group galaxies. For the Ursa Major group galaxies, the mean lopsidedness measured in the outer regions is comparable to that of the inner regions.

2. Phases of lopsidedness

From Fig. 3.2, we see that the values of the phase angles of 15 galaxies in the Eridanus group remain nearly constant without sudden jumps; the exceptions being NGC 1309, UGCA 077 and NGC 1371. This means that the surface density contours have egg-shaped rather than one-armed profiles, and the potential causing the disk lopsidedness can be taken to have no radial phase dependence. This is similar to the behaviour seen in the inner regions as traced by the near-IR studies in Rix & Zaritsky (1995)- see Jog (1997) for a discussion of this topic. A nearly constant phase implies that these are global m=1 modes. However, in the case of Ursa Major group galaxies, the constant phase is an exception rather than a norm. This might indicate that the argument that the existence of global asymmetry may not be completely valid for galaxies in the Ursa Major group.

3. Origin of disk lopsidedness in group galaxies
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We have shown above that the overall average values of $A_1$ in the Eridanus group galaxies in the inner regions are higher by a factor of two compared to the field galaxies ($< A_1 > = 0.11$, (Bournaud et al., 2005)), and $\sim 70\%$ of the sample galaxies show such a high value of lopsidedness. The similar values for lopsidedness measured in both stars and gas in the inner regions show that this indicate true lopsidedness. However we caution that, since the number of galaxies studied in HI and R-band is small and the radial range of overlap for the comparison (see Fig. 3.2, Fig. 3.3) is small, some of the difference in the lopsidedness in the group vs. the field cases could perhaps still be attributed to the different tracers used (HI for the group case and the stars for the field case respectively.)

The overall higher value of $A_1$ measured implies that a group environment is more effective in generating lopsidedness in disks of galaxies, either via tidal interactions that can distort the halo and then affect the disk (Jog 1997), or via asymmetric gas accretion (Bournaud et al. 2005). While we cannot give a clear preference for either one of these mechanisms based on the present work, tidal interactions appear to be more likely as argued below.

Given the high number density of galaxies in a group, a higher frequency and strength of tidal interactions are expected. Thus a tidal origin can explain the high frequency as well as the higher strength of lopsidedness observed in the Eridanus group of galaxies compared to a sample of field galaxies.

Theoretically one can explain the similarity of the observed values of lopsidedness for stars and HI gas, if the origin of lopsidedness is due to a linear disk response to a distorted halo (Jog, 1997). In this case, the likely origin of the halo distortion or lopsidedness could be due to tidal interactions between galaxies (Weinberg, 1995).
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It is interesting to note that the galaxies in the Eridanus group exhibit HI deficiency, which is ascribed to tidal interaction (Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005b). This also might indicate that the higher average values of lopsidedness which we have observed could be due to tidal interaction.

We note that in contrast, a typical field sample showed no correlation in the stellar lopsidedness measured in the inner disk regions with a tidal parameter (Bournaud et al., 2005).

In the field case, the late type galaxies show a higher lopsidedness and are also more likely to be lopsided (see Fig. 7, Bournaud et al., 2005; also see Zaritsky & Rix, 1997; Matthews et al., 1998). To check if this could be a spurious reason for the high $A_1$ measured in our sample, we plotted $A_1$ vs. the galaxy type for our sample. Interestingly, this shows an opposite trend, namely we get a weak correlation showing a decrease in $A_1$ for later type galaxies (Fig. 3.8). This is in sharp contrast to the strong correlation in $A_1$ with galaxy type, with $A_1$ increasing for later type galaxies, that is seen in previous studies which involved field galaxies.

Hence the high values of $A_1$ measured here cannot be due to the type of galaxies included in the sample.

These two results, viz. the higher average value of $A_1$ measured for the Eridanus group galaxies, and the weak anti-correlation of $A_1$ vs. galaxy Hubble type, clearly indicate that the main physical mechanism for the origin of the disk lopsidedness in a group environment is different from that for the field galaxies. Perhaps the gas accretion, which plays an important role in causing lopsidedness in the field galaxies (Bournaud et al. 2005), may not be so important in a group, especially since a group probably does not have much cold gas.
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We have measured $A_1$, the amplitude of the first Fourier component over the average value for the surface density of HI in a sample of...
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18 galaxies in the Eridanus group of galaxies and 11 galaxies of the Ursa Major group, by Fourier analysis of the interferometric 2-D data (Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005a; Verheijen & Sancisi, 2001) of these galaxies. This is the first quantitative measurement of the spatial lopsidedness using HI data. The summary of conclusions from this paper is as follows:

1. All the Eridanus group galaxies studied show significant lopsidedness, with average $A_1 > 0.2$ in the region of 1.5-2.5 disk scale lengths. A large fraction $\sim 30\%$ show even higher average lopsidedness ($> 0.3$). For a few of the galaxies, the stellar R-band data available in the inner regions were analyzed, and the resulting values of lopsidedness are shown to be similar to the HI lopsidedness. The same amplitudes for stars and gas can be naturally explained if both arise due to a linear response of the disk to a distorted or lopsided halo (Jog, 1997).

2. The lopsidedness is observed to increase with radius, and the outer regions of Eridanus group galaxies have an average $A_1$ value of $\sim 0.27$. A few galaxies belonging the Ursa Major group showed such a trend.

3. The present work measures $A_1$ in disks up to the edge of the optical disks or four exponential disk scale lengths, and in a few cases even beyond that. This is more than twice the distance that is typically studied in the stellar distribution via near-IR photometry. This can help provide constraints on the origin of lopsidedness in disks, especially since lopsidedness is higher at larger radii. From the observed $A_1$ values, the halo distortion is deduced to be $\sim 10\%$ for Eridanus group galaxies.

4. The overall higher value of lopsidedness $A_1$ measured in the inner regions in the Eridanus group galaxies compared to the field galaxies
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(e.g., Bournaud et al. 2005); and the smaller values of lopsidedness observed for the later Hubble type galaxies - which is opposite to the trend seen in field galaxies, together imply that a different physical mechanism is responsible for the origin of the disk lopsidedness in a group environment.

The present work highlights the need for a future dynamical study of the origin and evolution of disk lopsidedness in galaxies in groups. This can help in understanding the interactions and also the halo properties for galaxies in groups.
4

A Study of HI Content, Radio Continuum and Lopsidedness of Six Hickson Compact Group of Galaxies

4.1 Introduction

Atomic Hydrogen (HI) gas contents of galaxies in the field, groups and clusters reveal many aspects of their evolution (Hunter & Wilcots, 2002). HI studies can also throw light on the interactions of galaxies with their environment (Giovanelli & Haynes, 1985; Dressler, 1986). Characteristics of their past interactions can be seen in the HI gas contents of galaxies in groups (Verheijen & Sancisi, 2001; Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005a; Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005b) and in clusters (Bravo-Alfaro et al., 2000; Chung et al., 2007). In groups where the typical velocity dispersion is \( \sim 200 \text{km s}^{-1} \) the observed HI deficiency is often ascribed to tidal interactions (Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005c). In a cluster environment, close to the cluster centre, it is believed that HI is stripped due to ram pressure while...
in the outer regions disturbances indicate tidal effects (Chung et al., 2007).
The increased fraction of early Hubble type galaxies in clusters also shows
the effect of environment (Dressler, 1980).

The Hickson Compact Groups (HCG) of galaxies is one class of compact
groups where the environment of galaxies is dominated by their immediate
neighbours. The isolation criterion (Hickson, 1982; Hickson, 1992) which
is one of the classification parameters of HCGs ensures nearest neighbour
interactions. In addition, it is seen that HCGs have typical projected number
densities comparable to the cluster cores (Verdes-Montenegro et al., 2001)
and have typical velocity dispersions ($\sigma$) $\sim$ 200 km s$^{-1}$. This implies that
the galaxies belonging to HCGs have higher probability of undergoing tidal
interactions with each other compared to cluster galaxies where the velocity
dispersion is $\sim$ 1000 km s$^{-1}$. As a result the observed HI deficiency in HCGs
is ascribed to tidal interactions (Verdes-Montenegro et al., 2001). Hence,
compact groups will be ideal for studying the effect of tidal interactions
on HI distribution and also to study the associated HI asymmetry or HI
lopsidedness.

Lopsidedness or deviation from circular symmetry in the distri-
bution and motion of matter in the disk of a spiral galaxy was first de-
tected in radio observations (Baldwin et al., 1980). However, a method
to quantify the observed asymmetry in the brightness distribution of a
spiral galaxy was first developed for the K-band observations of galax-
ies (Rix&Zaritsky, 1995; Zaritsky & Rix, 1997; Bournaud et al., 2005). This
procedure was based on the Fourier analysis of optical images and
was later extended to the HI observations (Schoenmakers et al., 1997;
Angiras et al., 2006; Angiras et al., 2007). In this analysis, lopsidedness as
a function of radius and azimuthal angle in the velocity and surface density
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maps of a galaxy is quantified in terms of the normalised Fourier coefficients (see section 4.3.1). Schoenmakers et al. (1997) used the HI velocity field and the associated Fourier coefficients to estimate the ellipticity of dark matter halo potential. Angiras et al. (2006) used the HI surface density maps in conjunction with the HI velocity maps to quantify the observed HI surface density lopsidedness in terms of Fourier coefficients. If lopsidedness is assumed to be the result of the disk response to a distorted halo (Jog, 1997; Jog, 2000), then the surface density Fourier coefficients can be used to estimate the ellipticity of the halo potential (Angiras et al., 2007). Both the surface density and the velocity field Fourier coefficient values gave similar ellipticity values for the dark matter halo potential (Angiras et al., 2007). It is also seen that in a group environment where there is higher probability of tidal interactions, early type spiral galaxies show more lopsidedness (Angiras et al., 2006). In the field galaxies, where lopsidedness may be due to asymmetric HI accretion from the cosmic filaments, the opposite trend is seen (Bournaud et al., 2005). However, a direct relation between lopsidedness and tidal interactions in a group environment is not yet confirmed. This is because the strength of tidal interactions on a galaxy cannot be measured explicitly. An isolated system of galaxies which is gravitationally bound and interacting is required for carrying out such a study. HCG galaxies provide such a system (Ponman et al., 1996).

We have observed galaxies belonging to six HCGs (Hickson, 1982) in the HI 21 cm-line emission using the Giant Meterwave Radio Telescope (GMRT). The groups selected for observation were HCG061, HCG030, HCG068, HCG007, HCG010 and HCG021. These groups were mainly selected on the basis of the group size (size of the smallest circle containing the centres of the member galaxies (Hickson, 1982)) which is related to the
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The Giant Meterwave Radio Telescope (GMRT) is an aperture synthesis array consisting of 30 fully steerable parabolic dishes of 45 m diameter. The detailed description of the GMRT is given elsewhere (Swarup et al., 1991), but a summary is given here. The GMRT array configuration is such that it is capable of imaging small as well as extended structures. This is achieved by adopting a hybrid configuration for the antenna distribution. Fourteen of the thirty dishes are randomly located in the central one square kilometer. The remaining 16 dishes are distributed in the shape of a Y. The largest separation between the ends of the Y is ~ 25 km and the smallest separation between the antennas is ~ 100 m. This enables the GMRT to image structures in the range 2" - 7' at 21 cm wavelength. Since the typical angular
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Table 4.1: HCG groups selected for observation with the GMRT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>RA (J2000)</th>
<th>Dec (J2000)</th>
<th>(V_{sys})</th>
<th>Group Size</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>(B_{maj})</th>
<th>(B_{min})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>h m s</td>
<td>° ′ ′′</td>
<td>(km s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>(kpc)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(′)</td>
<td>(′)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG061A</td>
<td>12 12 18.8</td>
<td>+29 10 46</td>
<td>3784</td>
<td>(\sim 57)</td>
<td>S0/a Sy2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG061B</td>
<td>12 12 21.4</td>
<td>+29 12 25</td>
<td>1127</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sdm</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG061C</td>
<td>12 12 31.0</td>
<td>+29 10 06</td>
<td>4012</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sbc AGN</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG061D</td>
<td>12 12 26.9</td>
<td>+29 08 57</td>
<td>3980</td>
<td></td>
<td>S0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG030A</td>
<td>04 36 18.6</td>
<td>-02 49 53</td>
<td>4697</td>
<td>(\sim 81)</td>
<td>(R')SB(rs)0+</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG030B</td>
<td>04 36 30.2</td>
<td>-02 51 59</td>
<td>4625</td>
<td></td>
<td>(R')SAB(rs)0+</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG030C</td>
<td>04 36 23.4</td>
<td>-02 48 00</td>
<td>4508</td>
<td></td>
<td>SBbc</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG030D</td>
<td>04 36 36.6</td>
<td>-02 50 35</td>
<td>4666</td>
<td></td>
<td>S0</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG068A</td>
<td>13 53 26.7</td>
<td>+40 16 59</td>
<td>2325</td>
<td>(\sim 86)</td>
<td>S0 AGN</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG068B</td>
<td>13 53 26.7</td>
<td>+40 18 10</td>
<td>2579</td>
<td></td>
<td>SA0 sp LINER</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG068C</td>
<td>13 53 21.6</td>
<td>+40 21 50</td>
<td>2321</td>
<td></td>
<td>SB(r)b Sbrst</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG068D</td>
<td>13 53 45.5</td>
<td>+40 20 19</td>
<td>2344</td>
<td></td>
<td>E3</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG068E</td>
<td>13 54 00.4</td>
<td>+40 16 38</td>
<td>2401</td>
<td></td>
<td>S0/a</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG007A</td>
<td>00 39 13.4</td>
<td>+00 51 51</td>
<td>4133</td>
<td>(\sim 94)</td>
<td>(R')SB(r)a HII</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG007B</td>
<td>00 39 17.8</td>
<td>+00 54 46</td>
<td>4238</td>
<td></td>
<td>SB0 pec</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG007C</td>
<td>00 39 34.8</td>
<td>+00 51 36</td>
<td>4415</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAB(r)c</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG007D</td>
<td>00 39 18.8</td>
<td>+00 53 31</td>
<td>4121</td>
<td></td>
<td>SB0 pec</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG010A</td>
<td>01 26 21.8</td>
<td>+34 42 11</td>
<td>5189</td>
<td>(\sim 204)</td>
<td>SB(r)b AGN</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG010B</td>
<td>01 25 40.4</td>
<td>+34 42 48</td>
<td>4822</td>
<td></td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG010C</td>
<td>01 26 18.9</td>
<td>+34 45 14</td>
<td>4660</td>
<td></td>
<td>SB0/a</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG010D</td>
<td>01 26 30.9</td>
<td>+34 40 31</td>
<td>4662</td>
<td></td>
<td>Scd</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG021A</td>
<td>02 45 18.0</td>
<td>-17 42 30</td>
<td>7552</td>
<td>(\sim 315)</td>
<td>SB(rs)ab</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG021B</td>
<td>02 45 36.1</td>
<td>-17 41 20</td>
<td>7525</td>
<td></td>
<td>SA(r)a</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG021C</td>
<td>02 44 53.7</td>
<td>-17 39 33</td>
<td>7453</td>
<td></td>
<td>SA(s)0-</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG021D</td>
<td>02 45 29.6</td>
<td>-17 32 32</td>
<td>8835</td>
<td></td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG021E</td>
<td>02 45 22.4</td>
<td>-17 31 59</td>
<td>8819</td>
<td></td>
<td>(R')SAB(rs)a pec?</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Notes*: \(B_{maj}\) and \(B_{min}\) are the major and minor optical diameters.
sizes of the selected HCG galaxies are in the range $\sim 1' - 4'$, it is possible to image these galaxies with the GMRT. The group sizes of the selected HCGs lie in the range $\sim 4' - 11'$. These sizes are well within the primary beams of the GMRT antennas ($\sim 24'$ at 1420 MHz). Hence each of these groups could be imaged in a single pointing.

The observations of four groups were carried out on four consecutive days in August 2006 while the fifth group was observed in September 2006. Each of these groups was observed for $\sim 6.5$ hours in the High Resolution Mode (256 channels). Observations of these groups were carried out with 4MHz ($\sim 800$ km s$^{-1}$ at 1420 MHz) bandwidth. This gave a channel resolution of $\sim 15.6$ kHz ($\sim 3.3$ km s$^{-1}$).

HCG010 group was observed in March 2008 for about 6.5 hours. This group was observed with 8MHz ($\sim 1700$ km s$^{-1}$ at 1420 MHz) bandwidth to include all the galaxies. The baseband bandwidth was divided into 128 channels giving a channel resolution of $\sim 62$ kHz ($\sim 13$ km s$^{-1}$).

### 4.3 Data Analysis

Major part of the data reduction was carried out using the Astronomical Image Processing System (AIPS). After carrying out gain and bandpass calibrations, the target source visibilities were extracted. The channels from the flat portion of the spectrum and free from HI line emission were used to estimate continuum visibilities. Spectral line visibilities in each of the spectral channels were obtained by subtracting the estimated continuum contribution to the visibilities (task UVLIN in AIPS). After continuum subtraction the resulting visibility data set was imaged and CLEANed (AIPS task IMAGR). During this process pure natural weighting scheme was used for obtaining the highest signal to noise ratio (SNR). In addition, 4 channel
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averaging (except for HCG010) was carried out which improved the SNR by a factor of two. Since we are interested in the extended structure with high SNR, we further introduced a taper of $\sim 40 \, k\lambda$ while imaging. The CLEANing was carried out till the residual image was left with values less than $3\sigma$. The resulting cubes were convolved to a resolution of $35'' \times 35''$. These image cubes had a velocity resolution of $\sim 13 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ and a typical rms of $\sim 0.7 \, \text{mJy beam}^{-1} \, \text{channel}^{-1}$. They were used for generating the channel maps and the moment maps.

In the case of HCG010, while generating the CLEANed cube we introduced a uvtaper of $10 \, k\lambda$. The resulting cube had a resolution of $29'' \times 23''$ (Position Angle (PA) = $-14^\circ$) and an rms of $\sim 0.7 \, \text{mJy beam}^{-1}$.

From the resulting CLEANed cubes, we obtained the HI surface density (mom 0) and HI velocity (mom 1) maps. For this purpose, we visually identified those channels with HI signal corresponding to the positions of various galaxies. From these channels, flux densities above $3\sigma$ level were added together to generate the moment maps (AIPS task MOMNT).

As a result of these analyses we detected HI emission signals from three of the groups, viz., HCG007, HCG010 and HCG068. In the other three groups (HCG061, HCG030 and HCG021) we did not detect any HI. The moment 0 images of HCG007, HCG010 and HCG068 are given in figures 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 respectively. The velocity field images of the members belonging to these groups are given in figures 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6 respectively.

The line free channels from the target source visibility data were used to make continuum maps. These channels were imaged with natural weighting and averaged together. For this imaging we used the full spatial frequency domain without introducing any taper. As a result of this analysis, we obtained continuum maps of all these HCGs with rms $\sim 0.4 \, \text{mJy/beam}$ and
a synthesized beam of $\sim 25'' \times 15''$. However, continuum was detected corresponding to HCG group members only in the case of HCG007 and HCG068.

Figure 4.1: HCG007: HI surface density map superposed on DSS optical image. The HI column density contours are in units of $10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ starting at $10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. Details of the galaxies marked A, B, C and D are given in Table 4.1. The synthesised beam (shown at the bottom left corner) is $35'' \times 35''$.

4.3.1 Harmonic Analysis

The departure from azimuthal symmetry in the velocity or matter distribution is termed lopsidedness. From earlier work (Angiras et al., 2006; Angiras et al., 2007) it is seen that the lopsidedness can be quantified by carrying out the Fourier series analysis or the Harmonic analysis on the de-projected HI surface density maps. The global asymmetry is reflected in the
Figure 4.2: HCG010: HI surface density map superposed on DSS optical image. The HI column density contours are in units of $10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ starting at $0.5 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. Details of the galaxies marked A, B, C and D are given in Table 4.1. The synthesised beam (shown at the bottom left corner) is $29'' \times 23''$. The PA is $-14^\circ$. 
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Figure 4.3: HCG068: HI surface density map superposed on DSS optical image. The HI column density contours are in units of $10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ starting at $10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. Details of the galaxies marked A,B,C,D and E are given in Table 4.1. The synthesised beam (shown at the bottom left corner) is $35'' \times 35''$. 
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Figure 4.4: Velocity map of HCG007C. The contours are separated by 10 km s\(^{-1}\) and the systemic velocity is 4415 km s\(^{-1}\). The solid contours are on the receding side. The broken contours are in the approaching side. The synthesised beam (shown at the bottom left corner) is 35\(''\) × 35\(''\).
Figure 4.5: Velocity map of HCG010A. The contour levels are shown in colour at the bottom of the figure. The contours are separated by 10 km s$^{-1}$ and the systemic velocity is 5189 km s$^{-1}$. The broken contours are in the approaching side. The synthesised beam (shown at the bottom left corner) is 29$''$ x 23$''$. The PA is $-14^\circ$. 
Figure 4.6: Velocity map of HCG068C. The contours are separated by 10 km s$^{-1}$ and the systemic velocity is 2321 km s$^{-1}$. The solid contours indicate the receding side while the broken contours show the approaching side. The synthesised beam (shown at the bottom left corner) is 35" × 35"
first order Fourier coefficient. Although the details of the analysis are given in earlier work (Angiras et al., 2006; Angiras et al., 2007) a brief summary is given here. Velocity maps are used in estimating the dynamical centre, the systemic velocity, the inclination and the position angle of the given galaxy. Using these values, the surface density maps are de-projected. From the de-projected surface density maps, the surface density ($\sigma(R,\theta)$) values are extracted along concentric circles as a function of the radius ($R$) and the azimuthal angle ($\theta$). A Fourier series expansion given by

$$\sigma(R,\theta) = \sigma_0(R) + \sum_{m=1}^{10} a_m(R) \cos[m\theta - \phi_m(R)]$$

is carried out on the surface density values. Here $\sigma_0(R)$ is the mean surface density at a given radius $R$. $\phi_m(R)$ is the phase of the $m^{th}$ Fourier coefficient.

From these parameters, the global asymmetry parameter which is related to the normalised Fourier coefficient, $A_1 (= a_m/\sigma_0(R))$, is estimated. For this analysis to be successful, one requires the velocity maps and surface density maps to be smooth without abrupt changes. Hence such an analysis is possible only for HCG068C and not for any other galaxies in the HCGs selected for the current observations. The $A_1$ and $\phi_1$ values as a function of normalised radius are shown in Figure 7.

For the purpose of comparing with earlier work (Rix&Zaritsky, 1995; Zaritsky& Rix, 1997; Bournaud et al., 2005; Angiras et al., 2006; Angiras et al., 2007) the mean value of $A_1$ in the 1.5 to 2.5 R-band disk scale length ($R_R$) was obtained ($A_1 = \sim 0.3$) for HCG068C. This value is three times larger than that for the field galaxies ($A_1 \sim 0.11$) (Rix&Zaritsky, 1995; Zaritsky& Rix, 1997; Bournaud et al., 2005) and is twice the value for the galaxies in a loose group like the Ursa Major group.
Figure 4.7: The normalized $A_1$ and $\phi_1$ values of HCG068C.
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Table 4.2: Results of HI observations of selected HCGs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>$V_{sys}$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Dist. (Mpc)</th>
<th>Vel. disp (σ)(km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Group Size (kpc)</th>
<th>$M_{HI}$ ($\times 10^9$) (M$\odot$)</th>
<th>Opt.Dia (kpc)</th>
<th>HI Deficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCG068C</td>
<td>2321</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG007C</td>
<td>4415</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG007D</td>
<td>4121</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG010A</td>
<td>5189</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: $H_0 = 75$ km s$^{-1}$Mpc$^{-1}$. The velocity dispersions ($\sigma$) and group sizes are taken from Hickson catalogue (Hickson, 1982).

In the Ursa Major Group of galaxies, the average $A_1$ in the 1.5-2.5 $R_K$ was $0.14 \pm 0.05$ (Angiras et al., 2007) where $R_K$ is the K-band scale length. However, the $A_1$ value of HCG068C is comparable to those estimated in the Eridanus group of galaxies (average $A_1$ in the 1.5-2.5 $R_K$ = $0.22 \pm 0.14$ (Angiras et al., 2006)).

4.4 Results

HI was detected from galaxies in 3 out of the 6 groups observed. The groups from which HI was detected were HCG007, HCG010 and HCG068 (Figures 1, 2 and 3). At least one of the spiral galaxies was detected in HI from each of these groups. The velocity maps of these galaxies were also obtained (Figures 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6). HI was not detected from HCG061 and HCG030 which are the most compact groups in our sample. In addition, HCG021 which is the farthest (100Mpc) and the most extended group in our sample also showed lack of HI.

The HI masses and the HI deficiencies of these galaxies have been estimated in accordance with earlier works (Haynes et al., 1998; Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005b; Sengupta et al., 2007) and are tabulated in.
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Table 4.3: Radio continuum & FIR flux densities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>S_{1.4} mJy/beam</th>
<th>FIR log(L_{1.4})</th>
<th>log(L_{1.4})</th>
<th>WHz^{-1}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCG007A</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>21.65</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG007B</td>
<td>&lt; 0.43</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG007C</td>
<td>&lt; 0.43</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG007D</td>
<td>&lt; 0.43</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG068A</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>8.93</td>
<td>21.55</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG068B</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>20.97</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG068C</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td>20.56</td>
<td>3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG068D</td>
<td>&lt; 0.27</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG068E</td>
<td>&lt; 0.27</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.2. Here the HI deficiency ($Def_{HI}$) is defined as

$$Def_{HI} = \log \left[ \frac{M(HI)}{D_l^2} \right]_{field} - \log \left[ \frac{M(HI)}{D_l^2} \right]_{obs}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

where log[$M(HI)/D_l^2$]_{field} values are taken from Haynes & Giovanelli (1984). The $D_l$ is the corrected optical diameter in the B-Band in kpc (Paturel et al., 1991) at 25 mag arcsec^{-2}. M(HI) is the HI mass in $M_{\odot}$. The group velocity dispersions and group sizes are also shown in Table 5.2.

Radio continuum was detected in four galaxies belonging to 2 groups (HCG007 and HCG068) and are tabulated in Table 4.3. Using the existing IRAS 60$\mu$m ($S_{60\mu m}$)and 100$\mu$m ($S_{100\mu m}$)flux density values, we have estimated the Far Infra-Red flux ($FIR$) (Condon, 1992; Yun et al., 2001) which is given by the relation

$$FIR = 1.26 \times 10^{-14}(2.58S_{60\mu m} + S_{100\mu m})$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

The FIR (in $L_{\odot}$) and the 1420 MHz continuum luminosities ($WHz^{-1}$) are
4.5 Discussion

The Harmonic analysis was carried out on the HI surface density map of HCG068C. For this galaxy, we have obtained the $A_1$ coefficient which denotes the global asymmetry parameter. As in the group galaxies, viz. Eridanus (Angiras et al., 2006) and Ursa Major (Angiras et al., 2007), the $A_1$ coefficient as a function of radius shows an increasing trend beyond 2 $R_R$. The peak value of $A_1$ is $\sim 0.4$ (Figure 7) which is more than thrice the value seen in the field galaxies (Bournaud et al., 2005) and about 1.3 times of the value found in the group galaxies of similar Hubble types (Angiras et al., 2006; Angiras et al., 2007). Such an increasing trend in the value of the $A_1$ coefficient is consistent with tidal interactions being responsible for the observed lopsidedness of galaxies in groups. The value of the $A_1$ coefficient can be expected to be larger for the galaxies in the HCGs compared to those in loose groups, since HCGs are more compact, thus enhancing the chance and intensity of tidal encounters. The effects of tidal encounters can be erased after a time which is several rotation periods of the galaxy. However, the crossing time in this group is $\sim 4 \times 10^8$ years, which is comparable to the rotation periods of galaxies. Hence the memory of the past interactions is retained by galaxies in such a group. Tidal interactions are also expected to trigger star bursts in the interacting systems.

$$q = \log \left( \frac{\text{FIR}}{3.75 \times 10^{12} \text{Wm}^{-2}} \right) - \log \left( \frac{S_{\text{LAGHz}}}{\text{Wm}^{-2} \text{Hz}^{-1}} \right)$$

These values are given in column 5 (Table 4.3).
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Interestingly, HCG068C is classified as a star burst galaxy.

The HI deficiencies of four galaxies belonging to three HCGs have been estimated. As can be seen from Table 4.2, all the 4 galaxies are HI deficient. Given the scatter in the HI deficiencies of field galaxies (rms $\sim 0.2$), the galaxies HCG068C and HCG007C are perhaps part of the scatter. However, HCG007D and HCG010A are significantly deficient, by factors of 2 and 10 respectively. The HI morphologies of these two galaxies are consistent with them being HI deficient. The mean HI deficiencies of galaxies in other HCGs studied in the past is $\sim 0.6$ (Verdes-Montenegro et al., 2001). The HCGs in this paper are not too different in this respect.

One of the interesting galaxies in the current study is HCG010A. This galaxy is warped as can be seen in deep R-band images. The HI distribution is highly fragmented and shows tidal debris. Earlier CO observations indicated that the star formation efficiency $\log(L_{FIR}/M_{H_2})$ of this galaxy is low ($\sim -0.63$) (Leon et al., 1998). The reduced HI column density and the reduced HI mass of this galaxy are consistent with the reduced star formation efficiency proposed earlier. In addition, the projected separations of HCG010A from HCG010D and HCG010C are $< 50$ kpc. Furthermore, the systemic velocities of these galaxies are comparable. Hence it is possible that the fragmented nature of the HI distribution in HCG010A is the result of tidal interactions between these systems. The velocity field of HCG010A (Fig. 4.5) indicates a systematic velocity gradient to the SW as would be expected when a tidal debris is pulled away from this galaxy.

We have estimated the $q$ values (Condon, 1992; Yun et al., 2001) for four galaxies belonging to two HCG groups. The average value of $q$ for field spirals is $\sim 2.34 \pm 0.01$ (Yun et al., 2001), with an rms $\sim 0.12$. In the case of field spirals, it is assumed that both the far-infrared and radio emission
from these spirals are due to star formation in the disk of the galaxy. In this scenario, the radio emission is extended over the entire disk of the galaxy from which the far-infrared emission is also detected. In the case of the HCG galaxies from which the radio continuum was detected (Table 4.3), all the radio sources were unresolved at the observed resolution of $\sim 20''$, although the optical extents of these galaxies are in the range $\sim 1$ to $3'$. Furthermore, the expected radio continuum luminosities from these galaxies, based on the FIR-radio correlation in the field galaxies, is an order of magnitude lower than that observed. Based on these two facts, it is clear that the detected radio emission from all these four HCG galaxies (Table 4.3) must have a more localised origin in the respective galaxies like an active galactic nucleus, or, a nuclear star burst. The data from the RC3 catalogue on these galaxies (Table 4.1) classifies them as HII, AGN, LINER and Star burst respectively, consistent with the current conclusions based on their radio emission properties.

Radio properties of spiral galaxies in the HCGs have been studied in the past (Menon 1995). In most of the 56 HCG spirals that were detected, the radio emission is confined to the central regions of the galaxies, similar to what is observed in the current sample. It is further noted that 3 out of the 4 galaxies detected in radio belong to HCG068, the closest Hickson group in the current sample. The faintest detection in this group corresponds to a 1.4 GHz luminosity of 20.56 (Table 4.3). This is close to the detection limit of the current observations. The other 5 HCGs in this sample are at least twice as far compared to HCG068 (Table 4.1). This increased distance implies that only galaxies with 4 (or, more) times higher luminosities will be detected in these other 5 HCGs. Based on the known radio luminosity function of spirals in the HCGs (Menon 1995), less than 20% of the galaxies
in the HCGs are at these, or, higher radio luminosities. The rather poor
detection rate in the current observations is consistent with such a radio
luminosity functions of spirals in HCGs.
4.5. DISCUSSION
Conclusions and Future Plans

In this thesis, we have estimated the kinematical and spatial lopsidedness of galaxies belonging to groups of different compactness. The selected galaxies belong to a loose group like the Ursa Major (Angiras et al., 2007), a more compact group like the Eridanus (Angiras et al., 2006) and an extremely compact group like the Hickson Compact Group (Angiras & Dwarakanath 2008). In these groups, tidal interactions between the galaxies are the dominant mechanism causing lopsidedness.

The kinematical and spatial lopsidedness in the atomic hydrogen were quantified using the Fourier series decomposition of the velocity and the surface density maps respectively. These maps were obtained as a result of aperture synthesis observations carried out using the Giant Meterwave Radio Telescope (GMRT) and Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT). The Fourier series decomposition carried out on these maps resulted in normalized Fourier coefficients as a function of galacto-centric radius.

One of the primary aims of our study was to check whether the spatial asymmetry as obtained from an analysis of the stellar disk matter distribu-
tion is comparable to that seen in the HI distribution. This was a contentious issue because HI is subjected to shocks generated by local events like supernovae. Although earlier theoretical work hinted that asymmetries seen in both stellar and HI distributions should be comparable, in this thesis we experimentally verified it for the first time.

If the asymmetry seen in the velocity and spatial domains are the reflection of the asymmetry of dark matter halo potential, then it is possible to estimate the asymmetry of the dark matter halo potential under certain assumptions. As demonstrated in Appendix A.1 and in Schoenmakers et al. (1997), if the dark matter potential is initially assumed to be axisymmetric and later develop a perturbation due to tidal interactions, then it is possible to obtain the ellipticity of the potential directly from the harmonic coefficients obtained from the velocity maps. In a similar manner, the ellipticity of the potential can be obtained from the surface density harmonic coefficients under the assumption of the validity of Poisson’s equation, equation of continuity and the form of HI mass distribution (Jog, 1997; Jog, 2000). In this thesis, we have compared the ellipticity of the dark matter halo potential obtained using both these methods. It is seen that the ellipticities so obtained are consistent with each other.

As a result of our study, we have arrived at three major conclusions. The first and foremost among them is that in group environments, the major cause for asymmetries in the matter distribution of galaxies is tidal interactions. This conclusion was arrived at by studying three different groups, viz. Eridanus, Ursa Major and Hickson Compact Group of galaxies. Galaxies in the Ursa Major group, which is a very loose group, are almost akin to the field galaxies, have very low values for the asymmetry parameter (Angiras et al., 2007). For galax-
ies belonging to the Eridanus group, a group having group properties intermediate (Omar & Dwarakanath, 2005a; Angiras et al., 2007) between that of the Ursa Major group and a compact group like the Hickson Compact Group (Hickson, 1982; Hickson, 1992), the asymmetry parameter values were higher than those of Ursa Major group galaxies (Angiras & Dwarakanath 2008). The highest asymmetry parameter is obtained for the only HCG galaxy for which we could estimate the lopsidedness by harmonic analysis.

The second was the observed relation between asymmetry parameter and the galaxy morphology. In the Eridanus group of galaxies, the early type spiral galaxies tended to be more lopsided compared to the late type spirals. In the Ursa Major group no such clear trend is seen. The earlier work using near infra-red images of field galaxies indicated an opposite trend, viz., late type spirals are more lopsided compared to early type spirals. The reverse trend seen in field galaxies is believed to be due to asymmetric in-fall of HI gas from large scale filaments and associated star formation (Bournaud et al., 2005).

The third outcome of our study is the observation that the asymmetry in the velocity fields of galaxies is of similar strength to that of surface density asymmetry. This may indicate a common origin for the kinematical and spatial lopsidedness (Jog, 2000).

5.1 Future Plans

As of now, we have only studied three types of groups classified on the basis of their compactness. The first step in establishing the effect of tidal interactions on lopsidedness, whether it is in the kinematical or spatial domain, is to ascertain the strength of tidal interactions. We have tried to estimate the
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strength of tidal interactions in an *ad hoc* manner by studying the HCGs. This study, however could not give a statistically significant result. A more robust way of doing the same would be by studying a group which has been observed in X-rays. X-ray observation will help in finding the position of the centre of the group. By studying a group with a known centre, it will be possible to estimate the degree of lopsidedness as a function of group-centric distance for each of the spiral galaxies. In a cluster, early type galaxies are seen towards the core of the cluster. Hence, such an observation will also give partial explanation to the observed trend of early type spiral galaxies showing more lopsidedness than the late type spirals as seen in Eridanus group. For this purpose, we would like to study a spiral dominated group, with a known centre and we are right now in the process of identifying few of such groups.

Another problem which we faced in our study of lopsidedness is the estimation of lopsidedness due to random, inherent processes within a galaxy such as the effect of shocks and turbulence developed in the HI gas and the stellar distribution due to the enhanced star formation rates and supernova activity. Although low resolution numerical simulations of galactic disk carried out by us and earlier workers (Bournaud et al., 2005) indicate an asymmetry parameter of 0.05 for random process, this has no observational validity. Observing an isolated, face-on galaxy which does not show any signs of interaction and carrying out the lopsidedness analysis on it will go a long way in mitigating this uncertainty. Studying low surface brightness galaxies or ultra-thin galaxies will be of great importance in studying the effect of random processes on galaxy lopsidedness. Such a study is already on the anvil.

The numerical simulation of galaxies and their lopsidedness estimates
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can lead us in another direction also. In many of the N-body simulations of
galaxies, the initial galactic disk is generated by introducing particles into
an external potential. As a result of such simulations, it is possible to study
the effect of different potentials on the lopsidedness. In addition, if one
assumes that the galaxy is undergoing a secular evolution in the presence
of such a potential, then an estimate of the lopsidedness as a function of
time can be generated. This will help us in understanding the development
of lopsidedness, if any, in an isolated system. Such a study is important
in the context of galaxy evolution and the periodic development and decay
of galactic bars postulated by earlier workers (Bournaud & Combes, 2002).

We have started a programme to numerically simulate spiral galaxies using
N-body simulations (Barnes & Hut, 1986; Teuben, 1995). Our preliminary
results are shown in Fig. 5.1 and Fig. 5.2.

In the other extreme limit, it will be interesting to study the lopsidedness
in the stellar and HI disks of highly lopsided galaxies like M101, NGC 1637.
These studies can reveal the upper limit of the lopsidedness of galaxies. This
is one such work we hope to carry out in the future.
Figure 5.1: N-Body simulation of a barred galaxy. Tree-code is used to evolve 10000 particles within an initial logarithmic potential.
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Figure 5.2: N-Body simulation of an asymmetric galaxy. Here the core is assumed to be made of 1000 particles in a Plummer potential. The disk is made of 10000 particles in an initial logarithmic potential. Disk particles are given an initial angular velocity.


A

Equations Used for Velocity Harmonic Analysis

These equations were used to obtain the ellipticity of potential from velocity maps by earlier workers (Franx et al. 1994; Schoenmakers et al., 1997). However, for completeness sake the derivation of these equations are given here.

A.1 Closed Orbits in an Asymmetric Potential

Following the foot steps of Binney & Tremain (Binney & Tremaine, 1987)

\[ \ddot{R} - R\dot{\phi} = -\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial R} + 2R\dot{\phi}\Omega_{p,m} + \Omega_{p,m}^2 R \]  (A.1)

\[ R\ddot{\phi} + 2R\dot{\phi} = -\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \phi} - 2\dot{R}\Omega_{p,m} \]  (A.2)
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where \( \phi = (\theta - \Omega_{p,m} t) \)

\[
\Phi(R, \phi) = \Phi_0(R) + \Phi_1(R, \phi) \tag{A.3}
\]

In our case, let us assume that the potential \( \Phi(R, \phi) \) is given by:

\[
\Phi(R, \phi) = \Phi_0(R) + \Phi_m(R) \cos(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]) \tag{A.4}
\]

with the condition that the perturbing potential is very small. This implies \( |\Phi_m(R)/\Phi_0(R)| \ll 1 \).

Substituting eq. A.4 in eq. A.1 and eq. A.2 we get

\[
\ddot{R} - R \dot{\phi}^2 = -\frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial R} - \frac{\partial \Phi_m}{\partial R} \cos(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]) - m\Phi_m \sin(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]) \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial R} + 2R \dot{\phi} \dot{\Omega}_{p,m} + \Omega_{p,m}^2 R \tag{A.5}
\]

and

\[
R \ddot{\phi} + 2R \dot{\phi} = \frac{m\Phi_m \sin(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)])}{R} - 2 \dot{R} \Omega_{p,m} \tag{A.6}
\]

Now assume that the particle is undergoing epicyclic motion. This implies that the \( R \) and \( \phi \) are given by

\[
R = R_0 + \delta R \tag{A.7}
\]
where \( R_0 \) and \( \phi_0 \) correspond to the position of the guiding centre. Substituting eq. A.7 and eq. A.8 in eq. A.5 and eq. A.6 we get

\[
(R_0 + \delta R)(\phi_0 + \delta \phi)^2 = -\left| \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial R} \right|_{R_0} - \left| \frac{\partial \Phi_m}{\partial R} \right|_{R_0} \cos(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]) - m\Phi_m \sin(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]) \left| \frac{\partial \Phi_m}{\partial R} \right|_{R_0} + 2(R_0 + \delta R)(\phi_0 + \delta \phi)\Omega_{p,m} + \Omega_{p,m}^2(R_0 + \delta R) \tag{A.9}
\]

\[
(R_0 + \delta R)(\phi_0 + \delta \phi) + 2(R_0 + \delta R)(\phi_0 + \delta \phi) = \frac{m\Phi_m \sin(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)])}{(R_0 + \delta R)} - 2(R_0 + \delta R)\Omega_{p,m} \tag{A.10}
\]

The zeroth order equations become

\[
R_0 \phi_0^2 + 2\Omega_{p,m} R_0 \dot{\phi}_0 + R_0 \Omega_{p,m}^2 = \left| \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial R} \right|_{R_0} \tag{A.11}
\]

\[
R_0 \ddot{\phi}_0 = 0 \tag{A.12}
\]

From the definition of angular velocity, in zeroth order potential, the

\[\phi = \phi_0 + \delta \phi\]
angular velocity is given by the equation

\[ \Omega_0^2 = \left| \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial R} \right|_{R_0} \]  \hspace{1cm} (A.13)

We also notice that from eq. A.12

\[ \ddot{\phi}_0 = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (A.14)

Comparing eq. A.13 with eq. A.11 we see that

\[ \dot{\phi}_0 = \Omega_0 - \Omega_{p,m} \]  \hspace{1cm} (A.15)

which is the angular velocity of the guiding centre.

Choosing the coordinates such that at \( t = 0, \phi = 0 \), we get

\[ \phi_0 = (\Omega_0 - \Omega_{p,m})t \]  \hspace{1cm} (A.16)

The guiding centre is undergoing circular motion. Hence \( \ddot{R}_0 = 0 \)

\[ \ddot{R}_0 = -\left. \frac{\partial \Phi_m}{\partial R} \right|_{R_0} \cos(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]) - m\Phi_m \left. \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial R} \right|_{R_0} \sin(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]) \\
+ \dot{\phi}_0^2 + 2\dot{\phi}_0 \Omega_{p,m} \\
+ \Omega_{p,m}^2 - \left. \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_0}{\partial R^2} \right|_{R_0} \delta R + 2(R_0 \Omega_{p,m} + 2R_0 \dot{\phi}_0) \delta \phi \]  \hspace{1cm} (A.17)

\[ R_0 \ddot{\phi} + \dot{\phi}_0 \delta R + 2(\dot{\phi}_0 + \Omega_{p,m}) \dot{\phi} = m \frac{\Phi_m}{R_0} \sin(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A.18)

Substituting zeroth order solutions for \( \dot{\phi}_0 \) and \( \ddot{\phi}_0 \) in the above equations we
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get

\[
\ddot{\delta R} = -\left. \frac{\partial \Phi_m}{\partial R} \right|_{R_0} \cos(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]) - m\Phi_m \left. \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial R} \right|_{R_0} \sin(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]) + (\Omega_0^2 - \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_0}{\partial R^2}) \delta R + 2R_0\Omega_0\dot{\delta \phi} \tag{A.19}
\]

\[
R_0\ddot{\delta \phi} = m\frac{\Phi_m}{R_0} \sin(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]) - 2\Omega_0\dot{\delta R} \tag{A.20}
\]

Integrating eq. A.20 with respect to \( t \) we get

\[
\Omega_0 R_0 \dot{\delta \phi} + 2\Omega_0^2 \delta R = -\frac{m\Phi_m\Omega_0 \cos(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)])}{R_0[m(\Omega_0 - \Omega_{p,m}) - m\phi_m(R)]} + \text{const.} \tag{A.21}
\]

Assuming that the phase of the potential does not change with time, we get

\[
\dot{\delta \phi} = -\frac{2\Omega_0\delta R}{R_0} - \frac{\Phi_m \cos(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)])}{R_0^2(\Omega_0 - \Omega_{p,m})} + \text{const.} \tag{A.22}
\]

Following Binney, (Binney & Tremaine, 1987) on substituting eq. A.22 in eq. A.21 and solving the resultant differential equation, we get for closed loop orbits:

\[
\delta R = \frac{-1}{\kappa_0^2 - m^2(\Omega_0 - \Omega_{p,m})^2} \left( \frac{2\Omega_0\Phi_m}{R_0(\Omega_0 - \Omega_{p,m})} + \left. \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial R} \right|_{R_0} \cos(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]) \right) + m\Phi_m \left. \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial R} \right|_{R_0} \sin(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]) \tag{A.23}
\]
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Here \( \kappa_0 = 3\Omega_0^2 + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_0}{\partial R^2} \) (Binney & Tremaine, 1987).

Substituting eq. A.23 in eq. A.22 and integrating with respect to \( t \) we get

\[
\delta \phi = \frac{\Phi_m [4\Omega_0^2 - \kappa_0^2 + m^2(\Omega_0 - \Omega_{p,m})^2]}{R_0(\Omega_0 - \Omega_{p,m})} + 2\Omega_0 \frac{\partial \Phi_m}{\partial R} \frac{1}{R_0} \left| \sin(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]) - 2m \Phi_m \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial R} \right| \cos(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]) \]

\[
\left. \frac{\delta \phi}{m(\Omega_0 - \Omega_{p,m})R_0[\kappa_0^2 - m^2(\Omega_0 - \Omega_{p,m})^2]} \right| \]

Substituting eq. A.23 and eq. A.24 in eq. A.7 and eq. A.8 we get the equation A3 in Schoenmakers et al. (Schoenmakers et al., 1997). Namely

\[
R = R_0 + \delta R = R_0(1 - \frac{a_{1m}}{2} \cos(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]) - a_{2m} \sin(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]))
\]

\[
\phi = \phi_0 + \delta \phi = \phi_0 + \left( \frac{a_{1m} + a_{3m}}{2m} \right) \sin(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]) - a_{4m} \cos(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)])
\]

Here

\[
a_{1m} = \frac{2}{\Delta_0} \left( 2\Omega_0 \Phi_m \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial R} \right) \left. \frac{\partial \Phi_m}{\partial R} \right|_{R_0}
\]

\[
a_{2m} = \frac{m \Phi_m \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial R}}{\Delta_0}
\]

\[
a_{3m} = \frac{2}{\Delta_0} \left( \Phi_m [(2 + m^2)\Omega_0^2 + 2(1 - m^2)\Omega_0 \Omega_{p,m} + m^2 \Omega_{p,m}^2 - \kappa_0^2] + \frac{\partial \Phi_m}{\partial R} \right|_{R_0}
\]

\[
a_{4m} = \frac{2\Omega_0 \Phi_m \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial R}}{\Delta_0(\Omega_0 - \Omega_{p,m})}
\]

where \( \Delta_0 = R_0[\kappa_0^2 - m^2(\Omega_0 - \Omega_{p,m})^2] \)

These equations will be valid only within the Lindblad resonance pos-
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If one moves into a frame which is at rest with respect to the galaxy under consideration,

\[ v_R = \dot{R} \text{ and } v_\phi = R(\dot{\phi} + \Omega_{p,m}). \]

From eq. A.7 we get on simplification

\[ v_R = mv_c(1 - \omega_m)(\frac{1}{2}a_{1m}\sin(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]) - a_{2m}\cos(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)])) \quad (A.27) \]

\[ v_\phi = v_c(1 + \frac{1}{2}[(1 - \omega_m)a_{3m} - \omega_ma_{1m}]\cos(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)]) + [m(1 - \omega_m)a_{4m} - a_{2m}]\sin(m[\phi - \phi_m(R)])) \quad (A.28) \]

Here \( \omega_m = \frac{\Omega_{p,m}}{\Omega_0} \) and \( v_c = R_0\Omega_0 \)

A.3 Projection of Line of Sight Velocity Field

Let \( v_{\text{los}} \) be the line of sight velocity.

\[ v_{\text{los}} = [v_R\sin\psi + v_\phi\cos\psi]\sin i \quad (A.29) \]

Here \( \psi = \phi - \phi_{\text{obs}} + \frac{\pi}{2} \) is called the azimuthal angle and is zero along the line of nodes.

substituting for \( v_R \) and \( v_\phi \) from eq. A.26 and eq. A.27 and simplifying we finally get
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\[ v_{\text{los}} = c_1 \cos \psi + s_{m-1} \sin (m-1)\psi + c_{m-1} \cos (m-1)\psi + \]  
\[ s_{m+1} \sin (m+1)\psi + c_{m+1} \cos (m+1)\psi \]  

which is the eq. 5 in Schoenmakers et. al. [1997]. In eq. A.31

\[ c_1 = v_c \sin i \]

\[ s_{m-1} = c_1 \left( -\frac{1}{4} \left[ (m - (m + 1)) \omega_m + \alpha \right] a_{1m} + (1 - \omega_m) a_{3m} \right] \sin m\varphi_m \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \left( m(1 - \omega_m) a_{4m} + [m(1 - \omega_m) - 1 + \alpha] a_{2m} \right) \cos m\varphi_m \]

\[ c_{m-1} = c_1 \left( -\frac{1}{4} \left[ (m - (m + 1)) \omega_m + \alpha \right] a_{1m} + (1 - \omega_m) a_{3m} \right] \cos m\varphi_m \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \left( m(1 - \omega_m) a_{4m} + [m(1 - \omega_m) - 1 + \alpha] a_{2m} \right) \sin m\varphi_m \]

\[ s_{m+1} = c_1 \left( -\frac{1}{4} \left[ (m - (m + 1)) \omega_m - \alpha \right] a_{1m} - (1 - \omega_m) a_{3m} \right] \sin m\varphi_m \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \left( m(1 - \omega_m) a_{4m} - [m(1 - \omega_m) + 1 - \alpha] a_{2m} \right) \cos m\varphi_m \]

\[ c_{m+1} = c_1 \left( -\frac{1}{4} \left[ (m - (m - 1)) \omega_m - \alpha \right] a_{1m} - (1 - \omega_m) a_{3m} \right] \cos m\varphi_m \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \left( m(1 - \omega_m) a_{4m} - [m(1 - \omega_m) + 1 - \alpha] a_{2m} \right) \sin m\varphi_m \]  

Here, \( \varphi = \phi_{\text{obs}} - \frac{\pi}{2} - \phi_m(R) \). The value of \( \alpha \) is related to the circular velocity \( (v_c) \) and the radial distance \( (R) \) through the relation \( v_c \propto R^\alpha \). The value of \( \alpha = 0 \) for flat rotation curves.