Second post-Newtonian gravitational wave polarizations for compact binaries in elliptical orbits
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The second post-Newtonian (2PN) contribution to the “plus” and “cross” gravitational wave polarizations associated with gravitational radiation from non-spinning, compact binaries moving in elliptic orbits is computed. The computation starts from our earlier results on 2PN generation, crucially employs the 2PN accurate generalized quasi-Keplerian parametrization of elliptic orbits by Damour, Schäfer and Wex and provides 2PN accurate expressions modulo the tail terms for gravitational wave polarizations incorporating effects of eccentricity and periastron precession.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Inspiraling compact binaries containing black holes and neutron stars are one of the most promising sources of gravitational radiation for both, almost operational ground based laser interferometric gravitational wave detectors such as the Laser Interferometric Gravitational Wave Observatory (LIGO), VIRGO, GEO600 and TAMA300 [1] and the proposed space-based Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA) [2]. To obtain an acceptable signal to noise ratio for detection in the terrestrial detectors, one needs to know a priori the binary’s orbital evolution in the inspiral waveform [3] at least up to third post-Newtonian order beyond the (Newtonian) quadrupole radiation. However, for the measurement of distance and position of the binary, it may be sufficient to know the two independent gravitational wave polarizations $h_+$ and $h_\times$ to only 2PN accuracy [4]. Perturbative computation via post-Newtonian (PN) expansions of the binary orbit and gravitational wave (GW) phase are complete to order $v^5$ beyond the standard quadrupole formula. Extension of the PN perturbative calculations by another two orders, to order $v^7$, is not complete, because currently used PN techniques [5] leave underdetermined a physically crucial parameter entering at the $v^6$ level in the gravitational wave flux [6]. More recently [7], it has been shown that by employing several resummation techniques—to improve the convergence of the PN series—one could make optimal use of existing 2PN results to compute GW phasing. Resummed versions of 2PN accurate search templates may be just sufficient both for the detection and estimation of parameters of gravitational waves from inspiraling compact binaries of arbitrary mass ratio moving in quasi-circular orbits. For inspiraling non-spinning compact binaries of arbitrary mass ratio in quasi-circular orbits, both the 2PN accurate gravitational wave polarizations [8] and the associated orbital evolution have been explicitly computed [9–11]. A 2.5PN accurate formula for the orbital phase as a function of time has also been obtained [12]. These expressions are employed by various data analysis packages like LAL [13] to search for gravitational waves from inspiraling compact binaries.

The purpose of the present work is to obtain the “instantaneous” 2PN contributions to the two gravitational wave polarizations for compact binaries moving in elliptical orbits. On the one hand, these expressions for $h_+$ and $h_\times$ represent gravitational waves from a binary evolving negligibly under gravitational radiation reaction, incorporating precisely up to 2PN order the effects of eccentricity and periastron precession, during that stage of inspiral when the orbital parameters are essentially constant over a few orbital revolutions. On the other hand, it is the first (and the necessary) step in the direction of obtaining “ready to use” theoretical templates to search for gravitational waves from inspiraling compact binaries moving in quasi-elliptical orbits. The effect of radiation reaction on orbital evolution and its consequence on these gravitational waveforms for compact binaries in quasi-elliptical orbits is under investigation and will be discussed in the future [14].

Galactic binaries, in general, will be in circular orbits by the time they reach the final stage of inspiral. However, there exist astrophysical scenarios where compact binaries will have non-negligible eccentricity during the final inspiral phase. We will next review various such scenarios, some of them speculative, relevant for both ground and space based gravitational wave detectors.

Let us first consider cases that should be important for ground based interferometers. Intermediate mass black hole binaries—with total masses in the range $50M_\odot \leq M \approx (a few) \times 10^5M_\odot$—may well be the first sources to be detected by LIGO and VIRGO [15,16]. Many recent astronomical observations, involving massive black hole candidates point to scenarios involving such compact binaries in eccentric orbits. The discovery of numerous bright compact x-ray sources with luminosities $L > 10^{39}$ erg/s in several starburst galaxies and rapid time variation of their x-ray fluxes implies massive black holes as their central engines. It is suggested that these observations may be explained by the

1Following [10], we term contributions to the GW waveform which depends only on the state of the binary at the retarded instant as its “instantaneous” part.
merger of globular clusters, containing black holes with $M > 10^3 M_\odot$, with its host galaxy [17]. However, $10^3 M_\odot$ black hole present in the center of the globular cluster will have to be created by many coalescences of $a \approx 50 M_\odot$ black hole with lighter ones and these binaries, in highly eccentric orbits, should be visible to ground based interferometers. This scenario may be contrasted with the one suggested in [18] which also involves compact binaries with high eccentricities. However, in this case, black hole binaries, weighing a few solar masses and residing in star clusters, get ejected from the cluster by superelastic encounter with other cluster members. These escaping binaries will have short periods and high eccentricities before merging. It is worth mentioning that numerical simulations dealing with supermassive black hole formation, performed in the eighties, from a dense cluster of compact stars also indicate creation of short period intermediate mass black hole binaries in highly eccentric orbits [19].

Recently, there has been studies suggesting that spinning compact binaries may become chaotic [20]. The analysis involves numerical evolution of two spinning point masses using 2PN accurate equations of motion. The interesting result, observed only for a very restricted portion of the parameter space, is that the outcome of the evolution is highly sensitive to initial conditions. It is also observed that binaries whose initial orbits are circular may later become highly eccentric. These preliminary results present yet another scenario where eccentricity may become important.

Many of the potential sources for LISA [2] will be binaries in “quasi-elliptical” orbits. We list them below, details and references to original papers may be found in [21]. First, LISA will be sensitive to massive black hole (MBH) coalescence involving $10^3$ to $10^7 M_\odot$ black holes, up to 3 Gpc and beyond. It is likely that these binaries will be in eccentric orbits during inspiral, as they will be interacting with dense stellar clusters in the galactic nuclei where they usually reside. The second candidate involves compact objects orbiting MBH, where compact objects could be scattered into very short period eccentric orbits via gravitational deflections by other stars. Finally, LISA will be sensitive to thousands of binaries in our galaxy and many of these short period binaries will also be in “quasi-eccentric” orbits. Interestingly, LISA will be highly sensitive to black hole binaries containing primordial black holes of mass $\sim 0.5 M_\odot$. These binaries are one of the speculative candidates for massive compact halo objects (MACHOs) [22]. It is also shown that [23,24] the low frequency gravitational waves from black hole MACHO binaries in highly eccentric orbits would form a strong stochastic background in the frequency range $10^{-5} \text{ Hz} < f < 10^{-1} \text{ Hz}$, where LISA will be most sensitive.

Finally, we observe that eccentricity will be an important parameter while searching for continuous gravitational wave sources in binary systems. Recently, it was shown that searching for gravitational waves from such systems, whose locations are exactly known, is computationally feasible [25]. For many such astrophysically interesting systems, we note that a post-Newtontian orbital description for generic orbits will be required.

The computation of the gravitational wave polarizations $h_+$ and $h_\times$ in terms of the orbital phase and frequency of the binary was discussed by Lincoln and Will [26], using the method of osculating orbital elements from celestial mechanics and the 2.5PN accurate Damour-Deruelle equations of motion [27,28]. They studied the evolution of general orbits and obtained 1PN accurate expressions for $h_+$ and $h_\times$ for quasi-circular orbits. Later Moreno-Garrido, Mediavilla and Builtragò obtained polarization waveforms for binaries in elliptical orbits at Newtonian order with and without radiation reaction, studied the effects of orbital parameters and precession on gravitational wave amplitude spectrum and implications for data analysis [29,30]. Analytic expressions for gravitational wave polarizations and far-zone fluxes, for elliptic binaries were obtained to 1.5PN order by Junker and Schäfer, and Blanchet and Schäfer [31,32]. The 2PN accurate gravitational wave polarizations for inspiraling compact binaries moving in quasi-circular orbits was given by Blanchet, Iyer, Will and Wiseman [8]. For the above calculation they employed the 2PN accurate expressions for $h_+^{TT}$, the transverse traceless part of the radiation field representing the deviation of the metric from the flat spacetime and $(dE/dt)$, the far-zone energy flux obtained independently using two different formalisms [33,9–11]. In the limiting case of a test particle orbiting a Schwarzschild black hole, perturbative calculations are extended to very high PN order. For example, in the case of very small mass ratios, polarization waveforms are obtained to 4PN order [34]. For the case of spinning compact objects in circular orbits, precessional, non-precessional and dissipative effects on the gravitational waveform due to spin-orbit and spin-spin interactions were studied extensively [35–38]. We note that using the framework we employ here it may be possible to extend results of these papers to compact binaries of arbitrary mass ratio moving in elliptical orbits.

The basic aim of this paper is to obtain the instantaneous 2PN corrections to the “plus” and “cross” polarization waveforms for compact binaries of arbitrary mass ratio moving in elliptical orbits starting from the corresponding 2PN contributions to $h_+^{TT}$ [11,39]. As emphasized in [7], the gravitational wave observations of inspiraling compact binaries, is analogous to the high precision radio-wave observations of binary pulsars. The latter makes use of an accurate relativistic “timing formula” based on the solution—in quasi-Keplerian parametrization—to the relativistic equation of motion for a compact binary moving in an elliptical orbit [40]. In a similar manner, the former demands accurate “phasing,” i.e. an accurate mathematical modeling of the continuous time evolution of the gravitational waveform. This requires for elliptical binaries, a convenient solution to the 2PN accurate equations of motion. A very elegant 2PN accurate generalized quasi-Keplerian parametrization for elliptical orbits has been implemented by Damour, Schäfer, and Wex [41–44]. This representation is thus the most natural and best suited for our purpose to parametrize the dynamical variables that enter the gravitational waveforms. The complete 2PN accurate expressions for $h_+$ and $h_\times$ consists of the “instantaneous” contribution computed here supplemented by tail contributions at 1.5PN and 2PN orders. The
The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the details of the computation to obtain “instantaneous” 2PN corrections to $h_+$ and $h_\times$ for inspiraling compact binaries moving in elliptical orbits. Section III deals with the influence of the orbital parameters on the waveform. Section IV comprises our concluding remarks.

II. THE 2PN GRAVITATIONAL WAVE POLARIZATION STATES

To compute the two independent gravitational wave polarization states $h_+$ and $h_\times$, one needs to choose a convention for the direction and orientation of the orbit. We follow the standard convention of choosing a triad of unit vectors composed of $N$, a unit vector along the radial direction to the observer, $p$, a unit vector along the line of nodes, which coincides with $y$-axis and $q$, defined by $q=N\times p$ (see Fig. 1). The angle between $N$ and the Newtonian angular momentum vector which lies along $z$-axis defines the inclination angle $i$ of the orbit. The orbital phase $\phi$ is measured from the positive $x$-axis in a counter clockwise sense, restricting the values of $i$ from $0$ to $\frac{\pi}{2}$. The two basic polarization states $h_+$ and $h_\times$ are given by

$$h_+ = \frac{1}{2}(p_ip_j - q_iq_j)h_{ij}^{TT},$$

$$h_\times = \frac{1}{2}(p_iq_j + q_ip_j)h_{ij}^{TT},$$

where $h_{ij}^{TT}$ is the transverse-traceless (TT) part of the radiation field representing the deviation of the metric from the flat spacetime.

From Eqs. (2.1a),(2.1b) it is clear that the explicit computation of 2PN corrections to $h_+$ and $h_\times$ requires the following: (a) The 2PN corrections to $h_{ij}^{TT}$, generally given in terms of the dynamical variables of the binary, namely $v^2Gm/r, r, n, v, N\cdot n, N\cdot v$, where $r$ and $v$ are respectively, the relative position and velocity vectors for the two masses $m_1$ and $m_2$ in the center of mass frame, $r = |r|, v = |v|, n = r/r, \dot{r} = dr/dt$ and $m = m_1 + m_2$. The unit vector $N$ lies along the radial direction to the detector and is given by $N = \mathbf{R}/R$, $R$ being the radial distance to the binary; and (b) a 2PN accurate orbital representation for elliptical orbits to parameterize these dynamical variables.

Before explaining in detail the procedure to compute 2PN contributions to $h_+$ and $h_\times$, we will first illustrate that computation by presenting in detail the Newtonian computations for $h_+$ and $h_\times$.

A. The Newtonian GW polarizations

At the leading Newtonian order, we have

$$h_{ij}^{TM}(N) = \frac{4G\mu}{c^4 R} P_{ijkm}(N) \left( v_{ij} - \frac{Gm}{r} n_{ij} \right),$$

where $P_{ijkm}(N)$ is the usual transverse traceless projection operator projecting normal to $N$. $v_{ij} = v_iv_j, n_{ij} = n_in_j$; and $\mu$ is the reduced mass of the binary, given by $m_1 m_2/m$. Note that the above contribution arises from the mass quadrupole moment of the binary.

There is no need to apply the TT projection in Eq. (2.2), and Eqs. (2.1a),(2.1b) at the leading order gives

$$h_+ = \frac{2G\mu}{c^4 R} \left( (p_ip_j - q_iq_j) \left( v_{ij} - \frac{Gm}{r} n_{ij} \right) \right),$$

$$h_\times = \frac{2G\mu}{c^4 R} \left( (p_iq_j + q_ip_j) \left( v_{ij} - \frac{Gm}{r} n_{ij} \right) \right).$$

The convention we adopted to define the triad of unit vectors implies $p = (0, 0, 1), q = (-\cos i, 0, \sin i), N = (\sin i, 0, \cos i)$, $n = (\cos \phi, \sin \phi, 0)$, and $v = (r\cos \phi - r\sin \phi, r\sin \phi + r\cos \phi, 0)$, where $\phi = d\phi/dt$. With these inputs, Eqs. (2.3a),(2.3b) become

$$h_+ = \frac{Gm}{c^4 R} \left( 1 + \frac{C^2}{2} \right) \left( \frac{Gm}{r} + \frac{r^2}{2} \frac{\dot{\phi}^2 - \dot{r}^2}{\dot{r}} \cos 2\phi \right. + \left. 2\dot{r}\dot{\phi}\sin 2\phi \right) - \frac{S^2}{2} \left( \frac{Gm}{r} - \frac{r^2}{2} \frac{\dot{\phi}^2 - \dot{r}^2}{\dot{r}} \right).$$

FIG. 1. The orientation of unit vectors, which defines $\times$ and $+$ polarization waveforms. The unit vectors $p$ and $q$ are the gravitational wave’s principal axes with $q = N \times p$. Note that $N$ is a unit vector lying along the radial direction to the detector and $p$ lies along the line of nodes. The Newtonian angular momentum vector $L = \mu r \times v$ is normal to the orbital plane and helps to define orbital inclination angle $i$. In this paper, the origin for $\phi = \lambda + \omega$ is $+ve$ $x$-axis, hence it is related to $\phi$ by $\phi = \phi_{0\text{WW}} - \pi/2$. Tail computations are not considered here; they must be computed and included in the future.
\[ h_x = 2 \frac{G}{c^2} \frac{m \eta C}{R} \left( \frac{G m}{r} + r^2 \phi^2 - \dot{r}^2 \right) \sin 2 \phi \]
\[ -2 \dot{r} r \phi \cos 2 \phi \], \quad (2.4b)

where \( \eta = \mu/m \) and \( C \) and \( S \) are shorthand notations for \( \cos i \) and \( \sin i \).

When dealing with elliptical orbits, it is convenient and useful to use a representation to rewrite the dynamical variables \( r, \dot{r}, \phi \) and \( \dot{\phi} \) in terms of the parameters describing an elliptical orbit. For example, in Newtonian dynamics, the Keplerian representation in terms of eccentricity, semi-major axis, eccentricity, real and mean anomalies is a convenient solution to the Newtonian equations of motion for two masses in elliptical orbits. The Keplerian representation reads
\[ r = a (1 - e \cos u), \quad (2.5a) \]
\[ n(t - t_0) = l = u - e \sin u, \quad (2.5b) \]
\[ \phi - \phi_0 = \nu, \quad (2.5c) \]

where
\[ u = 2 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{1 + e}{1 - e} \right) \tan \left( \frac{u}{2} \right), \quad (2.5d) \]

where \( u, l, \nu \) are the eccentric, mean and real anomalies parametrizing the motion and the constants \( a, e, n, t_0, \phi_0 \) represent semi-major axis, eccentricity, mean motion, some initial instant and the orbital phase corresponding to that instant respectively. These constants which characterize a given eccentric orbit may be expressed, at the Newtonian order, in terms of the conserved energy \( E \) and angular momentum per unit reduced mass \( |J| \) as
\[ a = \frac{G m}{(-2E)}, \quad (2.6a) \]
\[ e = 1 + 2Eh^2, \quad (2.6b) \]
\[ n = \frac{(-2E)^{3/2}}{G m}, \quad (2.6c) \]

with \( h = |J|/G m \). Note that \( n = 2 \pi/T \), where \( T \) is the orbital period.

In the case of circular orbits \( e = 0 \) and \( \nu = u = l \), \( \phi \) is thus a linearly increasing function of time and \( \dot{r} = 0 \), \( \phi = n = 2 \pi/T \). The polarizations are uniquely given by the straightforward substitutions of these simple limiting forms. The only residual choice is whether one uses the gauge-dependent variable \( \gamma = G m/c^2 r \) or the gauge-independent variable \( x = (\pi m F_{GW})^{23} \). The situation is more involved in the case of general orbits even at the leading Newtonian order. Indeed, if \( e \neq 0 \), then \( \nu \neq u \neq l \), \( \nu(u) \) and \( u \) are more complicated functions of \( l \) and thus \( \phi \) is not a simple linearly increasing function of time. This is why a straightforward representation of the polarizations in terms of \( \nu \) and \( u \) or even a more involved one in terms of \( u \) only, which may be obtained by explicit elimination of \( \nu \), is inadequate. The clue to the correct description follows from the analysis of Damour [45] for the 2PN accurate equations of motion of a compact binary. Here it was shown that the basic dynamics can be represented as a function of two variables \( \lambda \) and \( l \) and be \( 2 \pi \)-periodic in both of them. The GW polarizations will inherit this double periodicity and we shall crucially exploit it as follows: We will split \( \phi \) into a part \( \lambda \) linearly increasing with time and the remaining part denoted by \( W(l) \) which is a periodic function of \( l \):
\[ \phi = \lambda + W(l), \quad (2.7) \]

where \( \lambda \) and \( W(l) \) are given by
\[ \lambda = \phi_0 + l, \quad (2.8a) \]
\[ W(l) = (\nu[u(l)] - u(l) + e \sin(u(l))). \quad (2.8b) \]

It is worth emphasizing following [45] that though one could consider \( \lambda \) to be a function of \( l \) given by \( \lambda = \phi_0 + l \), it is more advantageous to consider \( \phi \) and consequently the GW polarizations to be independently periodic in both \( \lambda \) and \( l \). With this observation, as we will see below, it is natural to split the \( \lambda \) and \( W(l) \) dependence in the polarization and consider the \( \lambda \) dependence as representing the harmonic time dependence and the \( W(l) \) term as representing the time varying amplitude modulation (“nuation”). This clean separation also facilitates a simple and precise treatment of the spectral decomposition of the GW polarizations as shown in Sec. III. Finally, we note that this decomposition is not just appropriate to discuss effects of eccentricity on the Newtonian waveform and the periastron precession at 1PN order but powerful enough to analyze all PN effects up to the 2PN order.

Armed with the above important conceptual input, the computation of \( h_+ \) and \( h_\times \) involves a routine, albeit lengthy algebra. It is straightforward to obtain Newtonian expressions for \( r, \dot{r}, \phi \) and \( \dot{\phi} \) in terms of \( n, e, \) and \( u \) using Eqs. (2.5a)–(2.5d),(2.6a)–(2.6c) and relations for \( E \) and \( h^2 \), given at Newtonian order by \( (-2E) = (G m n)^{3/2}, \quad (-2E h^2) = 1 - e^2 \). They are given by
\[ r = \left( \frac{G m}{n^2} \right)^{1/3} \left( 1 - e \cos u \right), \quad (2.9a) \]
\[ \dot{r} = \left( \frac{G m n}{(1 - e \sin u)^{1/3}} \right), \quad (2.9b) \]
\[ \dot{\phi} = \frac{n \sqrt{1 - e^2}}{(1 - e \sin u)^2}. \quad (2.9c) \]

Using Eqs. (2.9a)–(2.9c) and splitting \( \phi \) as \( \phi = \lambda + W(l) \) in Eqs. (2.4a),(2.4b), we obtain, after some manipulation,

\[ \text{We denote by} \lambda \text{ the variable denoted by} m \text{ in Ref. [45] to avoid confusion with the total mass} m \text{ in most current literature including here.} \]
We observe that terms like \( \sin 2 W \sin 2 \lambda \), \( \cos 2 W \sin 2 \lambda \), \( \cos 2 W \cos 2 \lambda \), and \( \sin 2 W \cos 2 \lambda \) are generated respectively from \( \cos 2 \phi \) and \( \sin 2 \phi \) terms in Eqs. (2.4a),(2.4b) due to the \( \phi = W + \lambda \) split. The circular limit of Eqs. (2.10a),(2.10b), obtained by putting \( \epsilon = 0 \), agrees with the Newtonian terms in Eqs. (2), (3) and (4) of [8].

### B. The 2PN GW polarizations

The computation of 2PN corrections to \( h_+ \) and \( h_\times \) is similar in principle to the Newtonian calculation. However, there are subtleties and technical details which will be presented, in some detail, below.

From the Newtonian calculations, it is easy to note that we require a 2PN accurate orbital representation for computing 2PN corrections to \( h_+ \) and \( h_\times \). We employ the most Keplerian-like solution to the 2PN accurate equations of motion, obtained by Damour, Schäfer, and Wex [42–44], given in the usual polar representation associated with the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (ADM) coordinates. It is known as the generalized quasi-Keplerian parametrization and represents the 2PN motion of a binary containing two compact objects of arbitrary mass ratio, moving in an elliptical orbit. The relevant details of the representation is summarized in what follows.

Let \( r(t), \phi(t) \) be the usual polar coordinates in the plane of relative motion of the two compact objects in the ADM gauge. The radial motion \( r(t) \) is conveniently parametrized by

\[
h_+ = \frac{G m n}{c^3 R} \left( \frac{G m n}{c^3} \right)^{2/3} \frac{1}{(1 - e \cos u)^2} \left\{ (1 + C^2) \left[ (e \cos u)^2 - e \cos u - 2 e^2 + 2 \right] \cos 2 W + 2 e \sqrt{1 - e^2} \sin u \sin 2 W \cos 2 \lambda \right. \\
+ \left. (1 + C^2) [2 e \sqrt{1 - e^2} \sin u \cos 2 W - (e \cos u)^2 - e \cos u - 2 e^2 + 2] \sin 2 W \sin 2 \lambda + S^2 e \cos u (1 - e \cos u) \right\}.
\]

(2.10a)

\[
h_\times = \frac{G m n}{c^3 R} \left( \frac{G m n}{c^3} \right)^{2/3} \frac{1}{(1 - e \cos u)^2} C \left\{ (1 - 4 e \sqrt{1 - e^2} \sin u) \cos 2 W + (2 e \cos u)^2 - 2 e \cos u - 4 e^2 + 4 \right] \sin 2 W \cos 2 \lambda \right. \\
+ \left. [2 (e \cos u)^2 - 2 e \cos u - 4 e^2 + 4] \cos 2 W + (4 e \sqrt{1 - e^2} \sin u) \sin 2 W \sin 2 \lambda \right\}.
\]

(2.10b)

\[
\phi - \phi_0 = \left( 1 + \frac{k}{c^2} \right) v + f_\phi \sin 2 v + g_\phi \sin 3 v,
\]

(2.12a)

where \( v = 2 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{1 + e \phi}{1 - e \phi} \frac{1}{\tan \frac{u}{2}} \right) \).

(2.12b)

In the above \( v \) is some real anomaly, \( \phi_0, k, e_\phi \) are some initial phase, periastron precession constant, and angular eccentricity respectively.

The main difference between the relativistic orbital representation and the non-relativistic one is the appearance of three eccentricities \( e_r, e_\tau, e_\phi \) compared to one eccentricity in the Newtonian case. However, these eccentricities are related. The explicit expressions for the parameters \( n, k, a_r, e_r, e_\tau, e_\phi, f_\tau, g_\tau, f_\phi, g_\phi \) in terms of the 2PN conserved energy and angular momentum per unit reduced mass are given by Eqs. (38) to (48) of [44]. Though the three eccentricities are related, there is the question of selecting a specific one to present the polarizations. We have chosen to present polarization waveforms in terms of \( e_r \), since it explicitly appears in the equation relating \( l \) to \( u \), which we will numerically invert while computing its power spectrum. The question whether, as in pulsar timing, there is a particular combination of the three eccentricities “a good eccentricity” in terms of which expressions take familiar Newtonian-like forms is interesting and open.

Exactly as in the Newtonian case, at 2PN order too, one can split \( \phi \) into two parts; a part \( \lambda \) linearly increasing with time and a part \( W(l) \) periodic in \( l \) but with a more complicated time variation:

\[
\phi = \lambda + W(l),
\]

(2.13a)

\[
\lambda = \phi_0 + \left( 1 + \frac{k}{c^2} \right) l,
\]

(2.13b)

\[
W(l) = \left( 1 + \frac{k}{c^2} \right) (v - u + e \sin u)
+ \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ f_\phi \sin 2 v + g_\phi \sin 3 v \\
- f_\tau \sin v - g_\tau (v - u) \right\}.
\]

(2.13c)
Note that complicated 2PN corrections to $\lambda$ and $W(l)$ also include $k$ that represents the periastron advance.

Using Eqs. (2.11a),(2.11b),(2.12a),(2.12b), and Eqs. (38) to (48) of [44], it is straightforward to obtain the 2PN accurate expressions for the dynamical variables in terms of $\xi = G m n c^3, e, u$, using the following relations, easily derivable from Eqs. (38) to (48) of [44]

\[
-2 E = e^2 \xi^{2/3} \left[ 1 + \frac{\xi^{2/3}}{12} [15 - \eta] + \frac{\xi^{4/3}}{24} \left( 15 - 15 \eta - \eta^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-e_i^2}} (120 - 48 \eta) \right) \right],
\]

(2.14a)

\[
-2 E h^2 = (1 - e_i^2) \left[ 1 + \frac{\xi^{2/3}}{4(1 - e_i^2)} \left[ -(17 - 7 \eta) e_i^2 + 9 + \eta \right] + \frac{\xi^{4/3}}{24(1 - e_i^2)^2} \left[ -(360 - 144 \eta) e_i^2 \sqrt{1 - e_i^2} \right. \\
+ (225 - 277 \eta + 29 \eta^2) e_i^4 - (210 - 190 \eta + 30 \eta^2) e_i^2 + 189 - 45 \eta + 4 \eta^2 \right],
\]

(2.14b)

\[
k = \frac{3 \xi^{2/3}}{(1 - e_i^2)} + \frac{\xi^{4/3}}{4(1 - e_i^2)^2} \left[ (51 - 26 \eta) e_i^2 + (78 - 28 \eta) \right],
\]

(2.14c)

\[
e_\phi = e_i \left[ 1 + \xi^{2/3} (4 - \eta) + \frac{\xi^{4/3}}{96(1 - e_i^2)^{3/2}} \left[ -(1152 - 656 \eta + 41 \eta^2) e_i^2 + 1968 - 1088 \eta - 4 \eta^2 \right. \\
\times \sqrt{1 - e_i^2} + (720 - 288 \eta) (1 - e_i^2) \right] \right],
\]

(2.14d)

\[
e_r = e_i \left[ 1 + \frac{\xi^{2/3}}{2} (8 - 3 \eta) + \frac{\xi^{4/3}}{24(1 - e_i^2)^{3/2}} \left[ -(288 - 242 \eta + 21 \eta^2) e_i^2 + 390 - 308 \eta + 21 \eta^2 \right. \\
\times \sqrt{1 - e_i^2} + (180 - 72 \eta) (1 - e_i^2) \right] \right).
\]

(2.14e)

Using Eqs. (2.14a)–(2.14e) in Eqs. (2.11a),(2.11b) and (2.12a),(2.12b), we obtain after some lengthy algebra, expressions for $r, r = (dr/dt) = (dr/du)(du/dt), \phi = \lambda + W(l)$ and $\phi = (d\phi/dv)(dv/du)(du/dt), \phi = (d\phi/dv)(dv/du)(du/dt)$, in terms of $\xi = G m n c^3, e, u, . . .$ given by

\[
r = \left( \frac{G m}{n^2} \right)^{1/3} (1 - e, \cos u) \left[ 1 - \frac{\xi^{2/3}}{6(1 - e, \cos u)} [(6 - 7 \eta) e, \cos u + 18 - 2 \eta] + \frac{\xi^{4/3}}{72 \sqrt{(1 - e_i^2)}^3 (1 - e, \cos u)} \left[ (-72 - 231 \eta \\
+ 35 \eta^2) (1 - e_i^2) e, \cos u - (72 + 75 \eta + 8 \eta^2) e_i^2 - 234 + 273 \eta + 8 \eta^2 \sqrt{1 - e_i^2} - 36 (1 - e_i^2)(5 - 2 \eta)(2 + e, \cos u) \right] \right],
\]

(2.15a)

\[
\phi = \lambda + W(l),
\]

(2.15b)

\[
l = u - e, \sin u \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e_i^2}} \left( 1 + 8 \frac{\xi^{4/3}}{(1 - e_i^2)} \left\{ e, \sin u \sqrt{1 - e_i^2} \eta(4 + \eta) + 12(5 - 2 \eta)(u - u)(1 - e, \cos u) \right\} \right],
\]

(2.15c)

\[
W = u - e, \sin u + \frac{3 \xi^{2/3}}{(1 - e_i^2)} \left\{ u - e, + c, \sin u + \frac{\xi^{4/3}}{32(1 - e_i^2)^{3/2}} \frac{1}{\left( 1 - e, \cos u \right)^2} [(4 \sqrt{1 - e_i^2} (1 - e, \cos u)^2 (1 - 102 - 52 \eta) e_i^2 - 156 + 56 \eta e, \cos u + (4 + \eta) e_i^4 + (102 - 60 \eta - 2 \eta^2) e_i^2 + 156 - 52 \eta + 6 \eta^2) + (1 - e_i^2)] \right\} \left\{ (3 e_i^2 + 12) \eta - 8 \right\} (e, \cos u)^2 \\
\times [(8 - 6 \eta) e_i^2 + 8 - 24 \eta] (e, \cos u) - 12 e_i^4 - (8 - 27 \eta) e_i^2) \eta) e, \sin u (1 - e, \cos u)^3 [(48(1 - e_i^2)^2 (5 - 2 \eta) \\
- 8 ((51 - 26 \eta) e_i^2 + 78 - 28 \eta) u + 8 (1 - e, \cos u)^3 [(((51 - 26 \eta) e_i^2 + 78 - 28 \eta) \sqrt{1 - e_i^2} \\
- (30 - 12 \eta) (1 - 2 e_i^2 + e_i^4)] v],
\]

(2.15d)
\[ \dot{r} = \frac{(G m n)^{1/3}}{(1-e, \cos u)} e, \sin u \left[ 1 + \frac{x_{2}^{2} + x_{2}^{3}}{6} (6-7 \eta) + \frac{x_{2}^{4/3}}{72} (1-e, \cos u)^{3} \right] (e, \cos u) + (216 - 693 \eta) \\
+ 105 \eta^{2} (e, \cos u)^{2} + (324 + 513 \eta - 96 \eta^{2}) e, \cos u - (36 + 9 \eta) \eta e, - 468 - 15 \eta + 35 \eta^{2} + \frac{36}{\sqrt{1-e,^{3}}} \\
\times \left[(1-e, \cos u)^{2} (4-e, \cos u) (5-2 \eta) \right] \], \\
(2.15e) \\
\dot{\phi} = \frac{n}{{(1-e, \cos u)^{2}}} \left[ 1 + \frac{x_{2}^{2/3}}{(1-e, \cos u)^{2}} \left[(1-\eta)e, \cos u - (4-\eta) e, - 2 + 3 \right] \right] \frac{x_{2}^{4/3}}{12} (1-e, \cos u)^{3} \frac{1}{(1-e,^{3})^{3/2}} \left[ (1-e, \cos u)^{2} \right] - 12 \eta - 35 \eta^{2} (e, \cos u)^{3} \\
\times \left[- (48 - 14 \eta + 17 \eta^{2}) e, - 2 + 3 \right] + \frac{1}{(1-e,^{1/3})} \left[(1-9 \eta - 14 \eta^{2}) e, - 2 + 36 \eta - 8 \eta^{2} (e, \cos u)^{3} \\
+ (93 - 19 \eta + 16 \eta^{2}) e, - 2 + 222 + 50 \eta + \eta^{2} (e, \cos u) - 6 \eta (1 - 2 \eta) e, - 2 + 54 - 28 \eta - 20 \eta^{2} e, - 2 \\
- (153 - 61 \eta - 2 \eta^{2}) e, - 2 + 144 - 48 \eta] \right] . \\
(2.15f) \\

Note that the above 2PN accurate expressions for \( \lambda \) and \( W(l) \) in terms of \( \xi, \epsilon, \) etc. are explicitly needed to explore the spectral decomposition of the polarization waveforms. They are not meant to be explicated in the 2PN expressions for \( h_+ \) and \( h_\times \), in terms of \( \lambda \) and \( W(l) \) in Eqs. (2.24a)–(2.24e) and (2.25a)–(2.25e).

The 2PN corrections to \( h_+ \) and \( h_\times \), in a form similar to Eqs. (2.10a),(2.10b), are obtained using Eqs. (2.1a),(2.1b). However, we need the 2PN corrections to \( h_{ij}^{TT} \) in ADM coordinates, as the parametric expressions for \( r, \dot{r}, \phi \) and the \( \lambda = \lambda + W(l) \) split, given by Eqs. (2.15a)–(2.15f), are in the ADM gauge. However, the 2PN corrections to \( h_{ij}^{TT} \), given by Eqs. (5.3) and (5.4) of [39], are available only in harmonic (de Donder) coordinates. Using, in a straightforward manner, the transformation equations of Damour and Schäfer [46] to relate the dynamical variables in the harmonic and the ADM gauge, we obtain the 2PN accurate instantaneous contributions to \( h_{ij}^{TT} \) in the ADM gauge. For completeness, we list below the relevant transformation equations relating the harmonic (de Donder) variables to the corresponding ADM ones,

\[ r_D = r_A - \frac{G m \dot{r}}{8 c^4 r} \left[ 7 v^2 + 38 \frac{G m}{r} - 3 \frac{\dot{r}^2}{r^2} \right] \eta + 4 \frac{G m}{r} \right] r \\
- \frac{G m}{8 c^4 r} \left[ 5 v^2 - 9 \dot{r}^2 - 34 \frac{G m}{r} \right] \eta - 2 \frac{G m}{r} \right] v, \\
(2.16c) \\
\ddot{r}_D = r_A + \frac{G m}{8 c^4 r} \left[ 5 v^2 + 2(1 + 12 \eta) \frac{G m}{r} - 19 \eta^2 \right]. \\
(2.16d) \\

The subscripts “D” and “A” denote quantities in the de Donder (harmonic) and in the ADM coordinates respectively. Note that in all the above equations the differences between the two gauges are of 2PN order. As there is no difference between the harmonic and the ADM coordinates to 1PN accuracy, no suffix is used in Eqs. (2.16a)–(2.16d) for the 2PN terms.

Using Eqs. (2.16a)–(2.16d), the 2PN corrections to \( h_{ij}^{TT} \) in ADM coordinates can easily be obtained from Eqs. (5.3) and (5.4) of [39]. For economy of presentation, we write \( (h_{ij}^{TT})_A \) in the following manner, \( (h_{ij}^{TT})_A = (h_{ij}^{TT})_O + \text{“Corrections,”} \)

where \( (h_{ij}^{TT})_A \) represent the metric perturbations in the ADM coordinates. \( (h_{ij}^{TT})_O \) is a short hand notation for expressions on the right-hand side (RHS) of Eqs. (5.3) and (5.4) of [39], where \( N, u, v^2, r, \dot{r} \) are the ADM variables \( N_A, n_A, v_A, v_A^2, r_A, \dot{r}_A \) respectively. The “corrections” represent the differences at the 2PN order, that arise due to the change of the coordinate system, given by Eqs. (2.16a)–(2.16d). As the two coordinates are different only at the 2PN
order, the “corrections” come only from the leading Newtonian terms in Eqs. (5.3) and (5.4) of [39]

\[
(h_{ij}^{TT})_\Lambda = (h_{ij})_\Omega + \frac{G m}{c^4 R} \left( \frac{5 v_\Lambda^2 - 5 \eta^2}{2} \right) + 2 (1 + 12 \eta) \left( \frac{G m}{r_\Lambda} \right) (n_{ij})^{TT}_\Lambda - \left( \frac{14 v_\Lambda^2 - 6 \eta^2}{2} \right) \eta + 8 (1 + 5 \eta) \left( \frac{G m}{r_\Lambda} \right) \dot{r}_\Lambda (n_{ij} v_j) + \left( \frac{10 v_\Lambda^2 - 18 \eta^2}{2} \right) \eta - 4 (68 \eta) \left( \frac{G m}{r_\Lambda} \right) (v_{ij})^{TT}_\Lambda.
\]

(2.17)

To check the algebraic correctness of the above transformation, we compute the far-zone energy flux directly in the ADM coordinates using

\[
\left( \frac{d\tilde{E}}{dt} \right)_\Lambda = \frac{c^3 R^2}{32 \pi G} \int ((h_{ij}^{TT})_\Lambda (h_{ij}^{TT})_\Lambda) d\Omega(N).
\]

After a careful use of the transformation equations, the expression for \((d\tilde{E}/dt)_\Lambda\) calculated above, matches with the expression for the far-zone energy flux, Eq. (4.7a) of [39] obtained earlier. This provides a useful check on the transformation from \((h_{ij}^{TT})_\Omega\) to \((h_{ij}^{TT})_\Lambda\).

We now have all the inputs required to compute the 2PN corrections to \(h_+\) and \(h_\times\) in terms of a elegant and convenient parametrization using Eqs. (2.1a,2.1b). As mentioned in [11,39], there is no need to apply the TT projection to \((h_{ij}^{TT})_\Lambda\) given by Eq. (2.17) before contracting with \(p\) and \(q\) as required by Eqs. (2.1a,2.1b). Thus, we schematically write

\[
h_{ij}^{TT} = \alpha_{uv} v_{ij} + \alpha_{nn} n_{ij} + \alpha_{uv} n_{ij} v_j.
\]

(2.19)

The polarization states \(h_+\) and \(h_\times\), for Eqs. (2.19) are given by

\[
h_+ = \frac{1}{2} (p_i v_j - q_i q_j) (\alpha_{uv} v_{ij} + \alpha_{nn} n_{ij} + \alpha_{uv} n_{ij} v_j)
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha_{uv}}{2} ((p \cdot v)^2 - (q \cdot v)^2) + \frac{\alpha_{nn}}{2} ((p \cdot n)^2 - (q \cdot n)^2)
\]

\[
+ \frac{\alpha_{uv}}{2} ((p \cdot n)(p \cdot v) - (q \cdot n)(q \cdot v))
\]

(2.20a)

\[
h_\times = \frac{1}{2} (p_i q_j + p_j q_i) (\alpha_{uv} v_{ij} + \alpha_{nn} n_{ij} + \alpha_{uv} n_{ij} v_j)
\]

\[
= \alpha_{uv} (p \cdot v) (q \cdot v) + \alpha_{nn} (p \cdot n)(q \cdot n) + \frac{\alpha_{uv}}{2} ((p \cdot n)
\]

\[
\times (q \cdot v) + (p \cdot v)(q \cdot n).
\]

(2.20b)

Before proceeding to a lengthy but straightforward computation of the “instantaneous” 2PN accurate polarizations \(h_+\) and \(h_\times\), we anticipate the structure of the final result by schematically examining the functional forms in the intermediate steps of the above calculation. The polarizations in terms of \(\phi\) have the form

\[
h_+ = \{ \alpha_{uv} [ (\cdots) + (\cdots) \cos 2 \phi + (\cdots) \sin 2 \phi ] + \alpha_{nn} [ (\cdots) + (\cdots) \cos 2 \phi + (\cdots) \sin 2 \phi ] \}
\]

\[
+ (\cdots) \cos 2 \phi + (\cdots) \sin 2 \phi \}
\]

(2.21a)

\[
h_\times = \{ \alpha_{uv} [ (\cdots) \cos 2 \phi + (\cdots) \sin 2 \phi ] + \alpha_{nn} [ (\cdots) \cos 2 \phi + (\cdots) \sin 2 \phi ] \}
\]

\[
+ \alpha_{uv}[ (\cdots) \cos 2 \phi + (\cdots) \sin 2 \phi ]\}
\]

(2.21b)

In the above and what follows \((\cdots)\) denotes a dependence on variables \(c, n, m_1, m_2, i, u, v, r, t\) and \(m\). The structure of the PN expansion of the coefficients \(\alpha_{uv}\) above is the following:

\[
\alpha_{uv} \sim 1 + \frac{1}{c} [(\cdots) \cos \phi + (\cdots) \sin \phi] + \frac{1}{c^2} [(\cdots) \cos \phi + (\cdots) \sin \phi]
\]

\[
+ (\cdots) \cos 2 \phi + (\cdots) \sin 2 \phi \}
\]

(2.22)

\(\alpha_{nn}\) has similar expansion. \(\alpha_{uu}\) also has a similar expansion but the leading order term is of order 1/c. Using this information about the functional dependence on \(\phi\) and elementary trigonometry one can infer in detail the harmonics of \(\phi\) appearing at each order and consequently the \(\lambda\) and \(W(l)\) dependence on display in Eqs. (2.24a)–(2.24e) and (2.25a)–(2.25e) below. The “instantaneous” 2PN accurate polarizations \(h_+\) and \(h_\times\) in terms of \(\xi = G m n/c^3, m, n, e, \lambda, W, l, \ldots\) and \(\xi\) using Eqs. (2.20a),(2.20b), (2.19), (2.15a)–(2.15f) and (2.13a)–(2.13c) are finally written as

\[
(h_+ + h_\times)_{\text{inst}} = \frac{G m n}{c^2 R} \xi \left\{ (H_{+,+})_0 + \frac{\xi^2}{2} H_{+,+}^{(2)} + \xi H_{+,+}^{(3)} \right\}
\]

(2.23)

where the curly brackets contain a post-Newtonian expansion. The expressions for various post-Newtonian terms in the “plus” and “cross” polarizations are shown below in a form emphasizing the harmonic content and the corresponding amplitude modulation. The various post-Newtonian corrections to the “plus” polarization are given by
where P’s and X’s are functions of \(e_t\), \(m_1\), \(m_2\), \(u(l)\) and \(i\). The notation \(P_{\text{C}b\text{Sc}}\), for instance, denotes the coefficient of \(\cos b W \sin c \lambda\) at “\(a\)PN” order and similar explanation holds for \(X_{\text{C}b\text{Sc}}\) too. The explicit expressions for P’s and X’s, i.e., the coefficients of sine and cosine multiples of \(\lambda\) and \(W(l)\) appearing in Eqs. (2.24a)–(2.24e) and (2.25a)–(2.25e) are given by

\[
P_{\text{C}2\text{C}2}^0 = -P_{\text{S}2\text{S}2}^0 = \frac{1}{(1-e_t, \cos u)} \left\{ (1 + C^2) \left[ (e_t, \cos u)^2 - (e_t, \cos u) - 2 e_t^2 + 2 \right] \right\},
\]

(2.26a)

\[
P_{\text{C}2\text{S}2}^0 = P_{\text{S}2\text{C}2}^0 = 2 \frac{1}{(1-e_t, \cos u)} \left\{ (1 + C^2) \sqrt{1-e_t^2} e_t, \sin u \right\}.
\]

(2.26b)

\[
P_{0}^0 = \frac{S^2}{(1-e_t, \cos u)} e_t, \cos u,
\]

(2.26c)

\[
P_{\text{C}1\text{C}1}^{0.5} = -P_{\text{S}1\text{S}1}^{0.5} = \frac{S}{2} \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m} \frac{1}{(1-e_t, \cos u)} \left\{ (1 - 3 C^2) e_t, \sin u \right\},
\]

(2.26d)
\[ p_{0.5, C1C1}^2 = p_{0.5, S1C1}^2 = \frac{S}{4} \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m} \frac{1}{(1 - e_t \cos u)^3 \sqrt{1 - e_t^2}} \left\{ \sqrt{1 - e_t^2} \left[ 2(e, \cos u) + 5 - (6(e, \cos u) - 1)C^2 \right] \right\}, \]  
(2.26e)  

\[ p_{0.5, C3C3}^2 = -p_{0.5, S3S3}^2 = -\frac{S}{2} \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m} \frac{1}{(1 - e_t \cos u)^3} \left\{ (1 + C^2) e_t \sin u[(e, \cos u)^2 - 2(e, \cos u) - 4e_t^2 + 5] \right\}, \]  
(2.26f)  

\[ p_{0.5, S3S3}^2 = p_{0.5, C3C3}^2 = \frac{S}{4} \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m} \frac{1}{(1 - e_t \cos u)^3} \left\{ (1 + C^2) \sqrt{1 - e_t^2} [6(e, \cos u)^2 - 7(e, \cos u) - 8e_t^2 + 9] \right\}, \]  
(2.26g)  

\[ p_{1, C2C2}^2 = -p_{1, S2S2}^2 = \frac{1}{6} \frac{e_t \sin u}{(1 - e_t \cos u)^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e_t^2}} \left[ (18 - 10 \eta)(e, \cos u)^3 + (18 - 10 \eta)(e, \cos u)^2 + ((18 - 10 \eta)e_t^2 + 20 - 12 \eta)(e, \cos u) 

- (33 + 11 \eta)e_t^2 - 14 + 38 \eta] + (3 + 13 \eta)(e, \cos u)^3 + (6 + 26 \eta)(e, \cos u)^2 + (6 + 26 \eta)e_t^2 + 113 - 61 \eta)(e, \cos u) 

- (48 - 34 \eta)e_t^2 + 6 + 22 \eta]C^2 + (1 - 3 \eta)[-6(e, \cos u)^3 + 12(e, \cos u)^2 + (12e_t^2 - 13)(e, \cos u) - 9e_t^2 + 4]C^4 \right\}, \]  
(2.26h)  

\[ p_{1, C2S2}^2 = p_{1, S2C2}^2 = \frac{1}{6} \frac{e_t \sin u}{(1 - e_t \cos u)^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e_t^2}} \left[ (18 - 10 \eta)(e, \cos u)^3 + (39 - 7 \eta)e_t^2 + 27 + 5 \eta \right] \right\}, \]  
(2.26i)  

\[ p_{1, C4A4}^2 = -p_{1, S4A4}^2 = \frac{S^2}{24} \frac{1}{(1 - e_t \cos u)^4} \left\{ (1 - 3 \eta(1 + C^2)[-6(e, \cos u)^4 + 18(e, \cos u)^3 + (48e_t^2 - 61)(e, \cos u)^2 + (65 - 69e_t^2)(e, \cos u) - 48e_t^4 + 117e_t^2 - 64] \right\}, \]  
(2.26j)  

\[ p_{1, C4S4}^2 = p_{1, S4C4}^2 = \frac{S^2}{4} \frac{1}{(1 - e_t \cos u)^4} \left\{ (1 + C^2) (1 - 3 \eta)\sqrt{1 - e_t^2}e_t \sin u[-4(e, \cos u)^2 + 9(e, \cos u) + 8e_t^2 - 13] \right\}, \]  
(2.26k)  

\[ p_{1}^2 = \frac{S^2}{24} \frac{1}{(1 - e_t \cos u)^4} \left\{ (-30 - 2 \eta)(e, \cos u)^3 - (60 - 4 \eta)(e, \cos u)^2 - (57 + 5 \eta)(e, \cos u) + (87 + 3 \eta)e_t^2 \right\}, \]  
(2.26l)  

\[ p_{1, C1C1}^2 = -p_{1, S1S1}^2 = \frac{S}{48} \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m} \frac{e_t \sin u}{(1 - e_t \cos u)^4} \left\{ [48(e, \cos u)^3 - 144(e, \cos u)^2 + (33 + 22 \eta)(e, \cos u) - (336 + 12 \eta)e_t^2 + 399 

- 10 \eta] - (-108 + 72 \eta)(e, \cos u)^3 + (324 + 216 \eta)(e, \cos u)^2 - (12 + 24 \eta)(e, \cos u) - (144 - 36 \eta)e_t^2 - 60 

+ 60 \eta]C^2 + 5(1 - e_t \cos u)(1 - 2 \eta)[12(e, \cos u)^2 - 24(e, \cos u) + 5]C^4 \right\}, \]  
(2.26m)  

\[ p_{1, C1S1}^2 = p_{1, S1C1}^2 = \frac{S}{96} \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m} \frac{1}{(1 - e_t \cos u)^4} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e_t^2}} \left[ (48 + 48 \eta - 96e_t^2)(e, \cos u)^3 - (24 + 48 \eta - 72e_t^2)(e, \cos u)^2 + (396 + 104 \eta)e_t^2 - 204 - 296 \eta)(e, \cos u) + (123 - 198 \eta)e_t^2 + 546 - 220 \eta)e_t^2 + 303 + 98 \eta \right] \right\}, \]  
(2.26n)
\[ P_{SCS}^{1.5} = -P_{SSSS}^{1.5} = \frac{S}{96} \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m} \frac{e_r \sin u}{(1 - e_r \cos u)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e_r^2}} \left[ \left( 120(e, \cos u)^3 - 276(e, \cos u)^2 - (160 e_r^2 - 212)(e, \cos u) + 185 e_r^2 - 81 \right) C^4 \right] \right\}, \]

\[ P_{CSS}^{1.5} = P_{SSSS}^{1.5} = \frac{S}{192} \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m} \frac{e_r \sin u}{(1 - e_r \cos u)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e_r^2}} \left[ \left( 120(e, \cos u)^3 - 396(e, \cos u)^2 - (480 e_r^2 - 808) e_r^4 \right) e_r^2 + 825 e_r^2 - 773(e, \cos u)^2 + 384 e_r^4 - 1113 e_r^2 + 625 \right] \right\}, \]

\[ P_{CSC}^{2.5} = -P_{SSSS}^{2.5} = \frac{1}{5760} \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m} \frac{e_r \sin u}{(1 - e_r \cos u)} \left\{ \frac{2880}{\sqrt{1 - e_r^2}} \left[ (1 + C^2)(1 - e_r \cos u)^2(5 - 2 \eta)(-2(e, \cos u)^3 + 7(e, \cos u)^2 + 4 e_r^2 + 3) \right] \times (e, \cos u) - 16 e_r^2 + 4 \right\} + \frac{1}{(1 - e_r^2)} \left[ (7560 - 15720 \eta - 600 \eta^2)(e, \cos u) + (30240 - 62880 \eta) \right] - 2400 \eta^2(e, \cos u)^4 + (-15120 - 31440 \eta - 1200 \eta^2)(e, \cos u)^4 + (7518 - 66070 \eta + 5670 \eta^2) e_r^2 - 65838 + 82150 \eta - 6870 \eta^2(e, \cos u)^3 + (28980 + 15420 \eta - 35580 \eta^2) e_r^4 + (64686 - 152550 \eta - 6090 \eta^2) e_r^2 + 126654 - 5430 \eta + 41670 \eta^2(e, \cos u)^2 + (99585 + 20955 \eta - 19755 \eta^2) e_r^4 + (293136 - 38160 \eta - 163200 \eta^2) e_r^2 - 26769 + 83445 \eta - 143445 \eta^2(e, \cos u) + (10665 - 115245 \eta + 63405 \eta^2) e_r^4 - (145440 - 277920 \eta + 136080 \eta^2) e_r^4 + (275607 - 212435 \eta + 25635 \eta^2) e_r^2 - 67392 + 2240 \eta + 47040 \eta^2 + \frac{1}{(1 - e_r^2)} \left[ \left( 12(-1 - e_r^2)(6120 - 21960 \eta \right) - 11640 \eta^2)(e, \cos u)^5 - (-1 - e_r^2)(24480 - 87840 \eta - 46560 \eta^2)(e, \cos u) + ((12240 - 43920 \eta - 23280 \eta^2) e_r^4 + (-75006 - 129750 \eta - 181050 \eta^2) e_r^2 - 10674 - 38310 \eta - 157770 \eta^2)(e, \cos u)^3 + (-16020 - 113700 \eta - 56220 \eta^2) e_r^4 + (1060818 - 202650 \eta - 213270 \eta^2) e_r^2 + 128322 - 53610 \eta + 157050 \eta^2(e, \cos u)^2 + ((2113) - 118965 \eta + 7995 \eta^2) e_r^2 - (202608 + 171600 \eta - 159760 \eta^2) e_r^2 - 105167 + 195195 \eta + 81765 \eta^2(e, \cos u) + (12375 - 8815 \eta - 64125 \eta^2) e_r^4 + (100000 - 76800 \eta - 151440 \eta^2) e_r^4 + (188361 + 44835 \eta - 35475 \eta^2) e_r^2 - 26496 - 80640 \eta + 51840 \eta^2) C^2 + 5(-1800 - 2856 \eta - 7128 \eta^2)(e, \cos u)^5 + (7200 - 11424 \eta - 28512 \eta^2)(e, \cos u)^4 \]
\begin{align}
\nonumber \mathbf{P}_{\text{C2S2}}^2 &= \frac{1}{192} \frac{e_t \sin u}{(1 - e_t, \cos u)^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e_t^2}} \left[ (-(504 - 1048 \eta - 40 \eta^2)e_t^4 + (144 - 592 \eta + 48 \eta^2)e_t^2 - 1080 - 216 \eta + 104 \eta^2)(e, \cos u)^3 + ((1584 - 2800 \eta - 448 \eta^2)e_t^4 - (1536 - 1568 \eta - 416 \eta^2)e_t^2 + 4272 - 784 \eta - 544 \eta^2)(e, \cos u)^2 + ((1956 + 908 \eta - 92 \eta^2)e_t^4 - (4584 - 1736 \eta - 760 \eta^2)e_t^2 - 1692 - 628 \eta - 92 \eta^2)(e, \cos u) + (1359 - 6699 \eta + 171 \eta^2)e_t^6 - (7113 - 20941 \eta + 13 \eta^2)e_t^4 + (10053 - 22809 \eta - 711 \eta^2)e_t^2 - 2859 + 7895 \eta + 361 \eta^2) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e_t^2}} \left[ (4084 - 1464 \eta - 776 \eta^2)e_t^4 - (1296 - 2896 \eta - 2832 \eta^2)e_t^2 - 552 - 760 \eta - 1864 \eta^2)(e, \cos u)^3 + ((-1536 - 5824 \eta - 2000 \eta^2)e_t^4 + (3648 - 11456 \eta - 7648 \eta^2)e_t^2 + 2208 + 3616 \eta + 5072 \eta^2)(e, \cos u)^2 + ((1692 + 2740 \eta - 3012 \eta^2)e_t^4 - (3096 + 5768 \eta - 9480 \eta^2)e_t^2 - 2916 + 5044 \eta - 5892 \eta^2)(e, \cos u) - (1167 - 987 \eta - 1605 \eta^2)e_t^6 + (2937 - 10061 \eta - 3027 \eta^2)e_t^4 + (2757 - 17289 \eta - 151 \eta^2)e_t^2 + 2427 - 8887 \eta + 1079 \eta^2\right] C^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e_t^2}} \left[ (6000 - 952 \eta - 2376 \eta^2)e_t^2 - 216 - 584 \eta + 3528 \eta^2)(e, \cos u)^3 + ((-2688 - 5440 \eta - 7296 \eta^2)e_t^2 + 1440 + 448 \eta - 11040 \eta^2)(e, \cos u)^2 + ((1684 - 2596 \eta - 6940 \eta^2)e_t^2 - 340 - 2780 \eta + 10972 \eta^2)(e, \cos u) + (975 - 3195 \eta + 795 \eta^2)e_t^4 - (1546 - 4498 \eta - 430 \eta^2)e_t^2 + 91 + 617 \eta - 2665 \eta^2\right] C^4 + 5(1 - 5 \eta + 5 \eta^2) \sqrt{1 - e_t^2} \left[ -72(e, \cos u)^3 + 240(e, \cos u)^2 - 220(e, \cos u) + 3 e_t^2 + 49\right] C^6 \right) \right) \\
\nonumber & (1 + C^2) \left[ (-2 \eta \frac{e_t \sin u}{(1 - e_t, \cos u)^3} \left\{ (2 e_t^2 + 1)(e, \cos u) - 8 e_t^2 + 5\right) \right], \tag{2.26u}
\nonumber \\
\nonumber \mathbf{P}_{\text{C4S4}}^2 &= \frac{1}{2880} \frac{S^2}{(1 - e_t, \cos u)^3} \left\{ (2160 - 6960 \eta + 720 \eta^2)(e, \cos u)^5 - (8640 - 27840 \eta + 2880 \eta^2)(e, \cos u)^4 + ((17280 - 55680 \eta + 5760 \eta^2)e_t^2 + 14238 - 37370 \eta - 25350 \eta^2)(e, \cos u)^3 + ((63000 - 199800 \eta + 20520 \eta^2)e_t^2 + 31314 + 78150 \eta + 59850 \eta^2)(e, \cos u)^2 + ((17280 - 55680 \eta + 5760 \eta^2)e_t^4 - (24105 - 60435 \eta + 49125 \eta^2)e_t^2 - 23661 + 107055 \eta - 114375 \eta^2)(e, \cos u) - (49905 - 148875 \eta + 7155 \eta^2)e_t^4 + (43635 - 102705 \eta - 61095 \eta^2)e_t^2 + 14592 - 75520 \eta + 80640 \eta^2\) + \left[ (1800 - 5160 \eta - 1080 \eta^2)(e, \cos u)^3 - (7200 + 20640 \eta - 4320 \eta^2)(e, \cos u)^4 + ((-14400 - 41280 \eta - 8640 \eta^2)e_t^2 + 9660 - 14480 \eta - 48240 \eta^2)(e, \cos u)^3 + ((58140 - 175500 \eta - 3780 \eta^2)e_t^2 + 26400 - 53580 \eta + 84420 \eta^2)(e, \cos u)^2 + ((14400 - 41280 \eta - 8640 \eta^2)e_t^4 - (21780 + 48810 \eta - 60750 \eta^2)e_t^2 - 22080 + 99150 \eta - 106470 \eta^2)(e, \cos u) - (62460 - 211650 \eta + 69930 \eta^2)e_t^4 + (74160 + 255330 \eta + 91530 \eta^2)e_t^2 - 3840 + 16640 \eta - 11520 \eta^2\right] C^2 + 3(1 - 5 \eta + 5 \eta^2)\left[ (360(e, \cos u)^5 - 1440(e, \cos u)^4 - (2880 e_t^2 - 4578) (e, \cos u)^3 + (7740 e_t^2 - 7794)(e, \cos u)^2 + (2880 e_t^4 - 8885 e_t^2 + 4979)(e, \cos u) - 4245 e_t^4 + 6755 e_t^2 - 2048\right] C^4 \right) \right) \right], \tag{2.26u}
\end{align}
\[ P_{C4s4}^2 = \frac{S^2}{48} \frac{e_i \sin u}{(1 - e_i \cos u)^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e_i^2}} \left[ (- (144 - 464 \eta + 48 \eta^2)e_i^2 + 96 - 272 \eta - 96 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u)^3 + ((558 - 1790 \eta + 198 \eta^2)e_i^2 - 402 + 1166 \eta + 270 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u)^2 + ((288 - 928 \eta + 96 \eta^2)e_i^4 - 680 - 1936 \eta - 632 \eta^2)e_i^2 + 224 - 336 \eta - 1232 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u) - (879 - 2665 \eta + 93 \eta^2)e_i^4 + (1448 - 4084 \eta - 788 \eta^2)e_i^2 - 509 + 1179 \eta + 1061 \eta^2] + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e_i^2}} \left[ (- (120 - 344 \eta - 72 \eta^2)e_i^2 + 72 - 152 \eta - 216 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u)^3 + ((486 - 1430 \eta - 162 \eta^2)e_i^2 - 330 + 806 \eta + 630 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u)^2 + ((240 - 688 \eta - 144 \eta^2)e_i^4 - 540 - 1236 \eta - 1332 \eta^2)e_i^2 + 132 + 124 \eta - 1692 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u) - (936 - 2950 \eta + 378 \eta^2)e_i^4 + (1566 - 4674 \eta - 198 \eta^2)e_i^2 - 570 + 1484 \eta + 756 \eta^2] \right\} + \sqrt{1 - e_i^2}(1 - 5 \eta + 5 \eta^2) \times [72(e_i \cos u)^3 - 240(e_i \cos u)^2 - (144 e_i^2 - 364)(e_i \cos u) + 249 e_i^2 - 301] C^4, \right\} \] - \frac{P_{S6c6}^2}{1920} (1 + C^2)(1 - 5 \eta + 5 \eta^2) \frac{1}{(1 - e_i \cos u)^3} \left\{ (120(e_i \cos u)^6 - 600(e_i \cos u)^5 - (2160 e_i^2 - 3206)(e_i \cos u)^4 
+ (7860 e_i^2 - 8444)(e_i \cos u)^3 + (5760 e_i^4 - 19135 e_i^2 + 13051)(e_i \cos u)^2 - (11475 e_i^4 - 23240 e_i^2 + 11269)(e_i \cos u) 
- 3840 e_i^6 + 17235 e_i^4 - 21325 e_i^2 + 7776), \right\} \] - \frac{P_{S6c6}^2}{192} \left\{ e_i \sin u \right\} \frac{S^4}{(1 + C^2)(1 - 5 \eta + 5 \eta^2)} \frac{1}{(1 - e_i \cos u)^3} \left\{ (72(e_i \cos u)^4 - 312(e_i \cos u)^3 - (384 e_i^2 - 844)(e_i \cos u)^2 + (1053 e_i^2 - 1325)(e_i \cos u) + 384 e_i^4 - 1437 e_i^2 + 1105), \right\} \] - \frac{P^2}{192} (1 - e_i \cos u)^3 \left\{ (120 - 120 \eta - 8 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u)^5 + (3360 - 2608 \eta + 416 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u)^4 - (11058 - 7718 \eta + 1086 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u)^3 + ((168 + 1240 \eta + 152 \eta^2)e_i^2 + 13710 - 8746 \eta + 738 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u)^2 + ((3441 - 139 \eta - 277 \eta^2)e_i^2 - 5181 + 2751 \eta - 423 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u) + (135 - 477 \eta - 363 \eta^2)e_i^4 + (3003 - 425 \eta + 297 \eta^2)e_i^2 - 816 + 528 \eta + 2[(180 - 516 \eta - 108 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u)^5 - (720 - 2064 \eta - 432 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u)^4 + (678 - 1928 \eta - 504 \eta^2) \times (e_i \cos u)^3 + ((150 - 430 \eta - 90 \eta^2)e_i^2 + 336 - 1010 \eta + 90 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u)^2 + (-930 - 2705 \eta - 315 \eta^2)e_i^2 + 96 + 283 \eta + 9 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u) + (378 - 1107 \eta - 81 \eta^2)e_i^4 + (24 - 61 \eta - 63 \eta^2)e_i^2 + 2160 e_i^2 - 3206)(e_i \cos u)^4 
- 843 e_i^2 - 102)(e_i \cos u)^2 - (343 e_i^2 - 1)(e_i \cos u) + 105 e_i^4 + 49 e_i^2 \right\} C^4 \right\} + \frac{S^2}{12} \frac{1}{(1 - e_i \cos u)^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 - e_i^2)} \left\{ (1 - e_i^2)(240 - 193 \eta + 24 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u) + 51 - 33 \eta \right\} + \frac{e_i \cos u}{\sqrt{1 - e_i^2}} \left\{ -(60 - 24 \eta)e_i \cos u + 150 - 60 \eta \right\} \right\}, \] \]

\[ X_{C2c2}^0 = -X_{S2c2}^0 = -4 \frac{C^2}{(1 - e_i \cos u)^2} e_i \sin u, \] \[ X_{C2s2}^0 = X_{S2c2}^0 = 2 \frac{1}{(1 - e_i \cos u)^2} \left\{ (e_i \cos u)^2 - (e_i \cos u) - 2(e_i^2 - 1) \right\}, \] \[ X_{C1c1}^{0.5} = -X_{S1s1}^{0.5} = - \frac{C S}{2} \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m} \frac{\sqrt{1 - e_i^2}}{(1 - e_i \cos u)^2} \left\{ 2(e_i \cos u) - 3 \right\}, \]
\[ X_{C_1 S_1}^{0.5} = X_{S_1 C_1}^{0.5} = -C S \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m} \frac{1}{(1 - e_i \cos u)} e_i \sin u, \] (2.27d)

\[ X_{C_3 S_1}^{0.5} = -X_{S_3 S_1}^{0.5} = -C S \frac{m_1 - m_2}{2} \frac{1}{(1 - e_i \cos u)} \left\{ \sqrt{1 - e_i^2} \left[ 6(e_i \cos u)^2 - 7(e_i \cos u) - 8 e_i^2 + 9 \right] \right\}, \] (2.27e)

\[ X_{C_3 S_3}^{0.5} = X_{S_3 C_3}^{0.5} = -C S \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m} \frac{1}{(1 - e_i \cos u)} (e_i \sin u [(e_i \cos u)^2 - 2(e_i \cos u) - 4 e_i^2 + 5]), \] (2.27f)

\[ X_{C_1 S_2}^1 = -X_{S_2 S_2}^1 = C \frac{e_i \sin u}{3(1 - e_i \cos u)^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e_i^2}} \left[ -(12 + 8 \eta) e_i^2 + 20 \eta (e_i \cos u) + (33 + 11 \eta) e_i^2 - 21 - 23 \eta \right] + 3 \sqrt{1 - e_i^2} (1 - 3 \eta) (2(e_i \cos u) - 3) C^2 \right\}, \] (2.27g)

\[ X_{C_2 S_2}^1 = X_{S_2 C_2}^1 = C \frac{1}{6(1 - e_i \cos u)} \left\{ \left[ -(12 + 8 \eta) (e_i \cos u)^3 + (24 + 16 \eta) (e_i \cos u)^2 + ((24 + 16 \eta) e_i^2 + 53 - 63 \eta)(e_i \cos u) \right. \right.
\[ - (69 + 13 \eta) e_i^2 - 20 + 52 \eta] + (1 - 3 \eta) [(-6(e_i \cos u)^3 + 12(e_i \cos u)^2 - (13 - 12 e_i^2)(e_i \cos u) - 21 e_i^2 + 16)] C^2 \right\}, \] (2.27h)

\[ X_{C_4 S_4}^1 = -X_{S_4 C_4}^1 = C \frac{S^2}{2(1 - e_i \cos u)^3} \sqrt{1 - e_i^2} (1 - 3 \eta) \{ 4(e_i \cos u)^2 - 9(e_i \cos u) - 8 e_i^2 + 13 \}, \] (2.27i)

\[ X_{C_4 S_4}^1 = X_{S_4 C_4}^1 = C \frac{S^2}{12(1 - e_i \cos u)^4} (1 - 3 \eta) \{ -6(e_i \cos u)^4 + 18(e_i \cos u)^3 + (48 e_i^2 - 61)(e_i \cos u)^2 - (69 e_i^2 - 65)(e_i \cos u) \right.
\[ - 48 e_i^4 + 117 e_i^2 - 64 \}, \] (2.27j)

\[ X^1 = C \frac{S^2}{2} \frac{e_i \sin u}{(1 - e_i \cos u)^3} \sqrt{1 - e_i^2} (1 - 3 \eta), \] (2.27k)

\[ X_{C_1 S_1}^{1.5} = -X_{S_1 C_1}^{1.5} = C \frac{S m_1 - m_2}{48 m} \frac{1}{(1 - e_i \cos u)^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e_i^2}} \left[ -(96 e_i^2 - 48 - 48 \eta)(e_i \cos u)^3 + (432 - 24 \eta) e_i^2 - 264 \right.ight.
\[ - 144 \eta)(e_i \cos u)^2 - (84 + 88 \eta) e_i^2 + 108 - 280 \eta)(e_i \cos u) - (477 - 138 \eta) e_i^4 + (702 - 164 \eta) e_i^2 - 153 - 46 \eta \left. \right] \right.
\[ + \sqrt{1 - e_i^2} (1 - 2 \eta) [24(e_i \cos u)^3 - 84(e_i \cos u)^2 + 68(e_i \cos u) - 3 e_i^2 - 5] C^2 \right\}, \] (2.27l)

\[ X_{C_1 S_1}^{1.5} = X_{S_1 C_1}^{1.5} = C \frac{S m_1 - m_2}{24 m} \frac{e_i \sin u}{(1 - e_i \cos u)^4} \left\{ [48(e_i \cos u)^3 - 144(e_i \cos u)^2] + (35 + 22 \eta)(e_i \cos u) + (216 + 36 \eta) e_i^2 - 153 \right.
\[ - 58 \eta] + (1 - 2 \eta) [12(e_i \cos u)^3 - 36(e_i \cos u)^2 + 29(e_i \cos u) + 24 e_i^2 - 29] C^2 \right\}, \] (2.27m)

\[ X_{C_3 S_3}^{1.5} = X_{S_3 C_3}^{1.5} = -C \frac{S m_1 - m_2}{32 m} \frac{1}{(1 - e_i \cos u)^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e_i^2}} \left[ ((168 + 48 \eta) e_i^2 - 72 - 144 \eta)(e_i \cos u)^3 - (540 + 88 \eta) e_i^2 \right. \right.
\[ + 332 + 296 \eta)(e_i \cos u)^2] + ((-224 + 64 \eta) e_i^4 - (60 - 504 \eta) e_i^2 + 412 - 568 \eta)(e_i \cos u) + (725 - 10 \eta) e_i^4 - (570 \right.
\[ + 316 \eta) e_i^2 - 171 + 342 \eta] + \sqrt{1 - e_i^2} (1 - 2 \eta) [-72(e_i \cos u)^3 + 172(e_i \cos u)^2 + (96 e_i^2 - 140)(e_i \cos u) - 191 e_i^2 \right.
\[ + 135] C^2 \right\}., \] (2.27n)
\[ X_{\text{C}5\text{S}3}^{1.5} = X_{\text{C}5\text{S}3}^{2.5} = \frac{CS}{48} \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m} \frac{e_s \sin u}{(1 - e_s \cos u)} \{(184 + 24 \eta)(e, \cos u)^3 - (252 + 72 \eta)(e, \cos u)^2 - (336 + 96 \eta)e_s^2 + 11 \]
\[ - 582 \eta(e, \cos u) + (1080 + 120 \eta)e_s^2 - 565 - 558 \eta\} + 3(1 - 2 \eta)[12(e, \cos u)^3 - 36(e, \cos u)^2 - (48 e_s^2 - 77) \]
\[ \times (e, \cos u) + 88 e_s^2 - 93\}, \quad (2.27o) \]
\[ X_{\text{C}5\text{S}5}^{1.5} = -X_{\text{C}5\text{S}5}^{1.5} = \frac{CS}{96} \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m} \frac{1}{(1 - e_s \cos u)} \{(1 - 2 \eta)\left[\sqrt{1 - e_s^2}\{120(e, \cos u)^4 - 396(e, \cos u)^3 - (480 e_s^2 - 808)(e, \cos u)^2 \]
\[ + (825 e_s^2 - 773)(e, \cos u) + 384 e_s^4 - 1113 e_s^2 + 625\}}, \quad (2.27p) \]
\[ X_{\text{C}5\text{S}5}^{1.5} = X_{\text{C}5\text{S}5}^{1.5} = \frac{CS}{48} \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m} \frac{e_s \sin u}{(1 - e_s \cos u)} \{(1 - 2 \eta)[12(e, \cos u)^4 - 48(e, \cos u)^3 - (144 e_s^2 - 209)(e, \cos u)^2 + (360 e_s^2 \]
\[ - 394)(e, \cos u) + 192 e_s^4 - 600 e_s^2 + 413\}. \quad (2.27q) \]
\[ X_{\text{C}2\text{C}2}^{1.5} = -X_{\text{C}2\text{C}2}^{1.5} = 2 \frac{C}{2880} \frac{e_s \sin u}{(1 - e_s \cos u)} \left\{ \frac{5 - 2 \eta}{1 - e_s^2} \{(-2 e_s^2 + 1)(e, \cos u) + 8 e_s^2 - 5\} \right\}
\[ + \frac{C}{96} \frac{e_s \sin u}{(1 - e_s \cos u)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 - e_s^2)^{3/2}} \left\{ \left(-24 \eta - 8 \eta^2\right) e_s^4 + \left(720 - 1872 \eta - 720 \eta^2\right) e_s^2 + 744 + 632 \eta + 520 \eta^2\} (e, \cos u)^3 \right\}
\[ - \left(1728 - 7840 \eta - 1504 \eta^2\right) e_s^2 - 2928 - 2768 \eta - 1280 \eta^2\} (e, \cos u)^2 \right\}
\[ - \left(2652 - 988 \eta - 852 \eta^2\right) e_s^4 + (5400 - 3224 \eta - 4008 \eta^2) e_s^2 + 1572 + 220 \eta + 2580 \eta^2\} (e, \cos u) - (885 - 5145 \eta + 297 \eta^2) e_s^4 + (4995 - 13935 \eta
\[ - 321 \eta^2) e_s^4 - (7047 - 12691 \eta - 2333 \eta^2) e_s^2 + 1497 - 3229 \eta - 1523 \eta^2\} \right\}
\[ + \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 - e_s^2}} \left\{ \left(-216 - 568 \eta - 264 \eta^2\right) e_s^2 + 120 - 184 \eta - 552 \eta^2\} (e, \cos u)^3 \right\}
\[ + \left(936 - 2552 \eta - 840 \eta^2\right) e_s^2 - 600 + 1208 \eta + 1848 \eta^2\} (e, \cos u)^2 \right\}
\[ - \left(220 - 120 \eta - 292 \eta^2\right) e_s^2 + 484 - 684 \eta - 2372 \eta^2\} (e, \cos u) - (597 - 1737 \eta - 303 \eta^2) e_s^4 + (1342 - 3710 \eta
\[ - 1250 \eta^2) e_s^2 - 601 + 1397 \eta + 1379 \eta^2\} C^2 + \sqrt{1 - e_s^2}(1 - 5 \eta + 5 \eta^2)\} \left\{ 120(e, \cos u)^3 - 432(e, \cos u)^2 + 484(e, \cos u
\[ + 75 e_s^2 - 247\} C^4 \}, \quad (2.27r) \]
\[ X_{\text{S}2\text{S}2}^{1.5} = X_{\text{S}2\text{S}2}^{1.5} = \frac{1}{2880} \frac{1}{(1 - e_s \cos u)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 - e_s^2)^3} \left\{ \left(1 - e_s^2\right)(360 - 8520 \eta - 120 \eta^2\} (e, \cos u)^5 - (1 - e_s^2)(1440 - 3408 \eta
\[ - 480 \eta^2\} (e, \cos u)^4 + \left(720 - 17040 \eta - 240 \eta^2\right) e_s^4 - (43158 - 78910 \eta - 46290 \eta^2) e_s^2 - 3102 - 14350 \eta
\[ - 46050 \eta^2\} (e, \cos u)^3 + \left(-16020 - 146340 \eta + 21540 \eta^2\right) e_s^4 + (119994 - 295890 \eta - 79710 \eta^2) e_s^2 + 116346
\[ + 6990 \eta + 101250 \eta^2\} (e, \cos u)^2 + \left(110835 - 10935 \eta - 18105 \eta^2\right) e_s^4 - (276384 + 12480 \eta - 145680 \eta^2) e_s^2 + 54771
\[ + 165975 \eta - 127575 \eta^2\} (e, \cos u) + (26955 + 161775 \eta + 45135 \eta^2) e_s^4 + (176400 - 366960 \eta + 95520 \eta^2) e_s^4
\[ + (279333 - 230305 \eta + 23505 \eta^2) e_s^2 - 56448 - 22400 \eta + 26880 \eta^2\} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e_s^2}} \left\{ \left(-1 - e_s^2\right)(28800
\[ - 11520 \eta\} (e, \cos u)^5 + \left(1 - e_s^2\right)(158400 - 63360 \eta\} (e, \cos u)^4 + \left(57600 - 23040 \eta\} e_s^4 - (244800 - 97920 \eta) e_s^2 + 187200 - 74880 \eta\} (e, \cos u)^3 + \left(345600 - 138240 \eta\} e_s^4 - (417600 - 167040 \eta) e_s^2 + 72000 - 28800 \eta\} (e, \cos u^2
\[ + \left(-518400 - 207360 \eta\} e_s^4 + (590400 - 236160 \eta) e_s^2 - 72000 + 28800 \eta\} (e, \cos u) + (230400 - 92160 \eta) e_s^4
\[ - (288000 - 115200 \eta) e_s^2 + 57600 - 23040 \eta\}] + 2\left(-3240 - 8520 \eta - 3960 \eta^2\} (e, \cos u)^5 + (12960 - 34080 \eta
\[ - 15840 \eta^2\} (e, \cos u)^4 + (6480 - 17040 \eta - 7920 \eta^2) e_s^2 - 12582 + 24070 \eta + 43770 \eta^2\} (e, \cos u)^3 + (-35820
\[ 084011-15 \]
\[X_{\text{C4C}4}^2 = -X_{\text{S4S}4}^2 = \frac{C S^2}{24} \frac{e_i \sin u}{(1 - e_i \cos u)^3} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e_i^2}} \left[ \frac{1}{((21060 - 78930 \eta^2) e_i^2 + 846 + 4530 ÷ 78930 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u)^2 + (28455 - 74415 \eta - 33825 \eta^2) e_i^2 + 12159}{-4695 \eta + 85575 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u) + (20655 - 68535 \eta + 21735 \eta^2) e_i^4 - (40425 - 127185 \eta + 22785 \eta^2) e_i^2 + 4512}{-6880 \eta - 16800 \eta^2 C^2 + 15(1 - 5 \eta + 5 \eta^2)[-120(e_i \cos u)^3 + 480(e_i \cos u)^3 + (240 e_i^2 - 806)(e_i \cos u)^3}{-900 e_i^2 - 918)(e_i \cos u)^2 + (955 e_i^2 - 613)(e_i \cos u) - 45 e_i^4 - 205 e_i^2 + 96] C^4 \right], \quad (2.27s)
\]

\[X_{\text{C4S}4}^2 = \frac{C S^2}{720} \frac{1}{(1 - e_i \cos u)^3} \left[ ((900 - 2580 \eta - 540 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u)^5 - (3600 - 10320 \eta - 2160 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u)^4 + (-7200 - 20640 \eta - 4320 \eta^2) e_i^2 + 4830 - 7240 \eta - 24120 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u)^3 + (27990 - 82350 \eta - 7290 \eta^2) e_i^2 + 12120 + 21390 \eta + 47610 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u)^2 + (7200 - 20640 \eta - 4320 \eta^2) e_i^4 - (8430 - 12105 \eta - 42675 \eta^2) e_i^2 - 13500 + 61875 \eta - 65535 \eta^2)(e_i \cos u) - (24930 - 74325 \eta + 3465 \eta^2) e_i^4 + (23100 - 57765 \eta - 24135 \eta^2) e_i^2 + 5760 - 30080 \eta + 32640 \eta^2) + 3(1 - 5 \eta + 5 \eta^2)[120(e_i \cos u)^5 - 480(e_i \cos u)^4 + (1526 - 960 e_i^2)(e_i \cos u)^3 + (2700 e_i^2 - 2718)(e_i \cos u)^2 + (960 e_i^4 - 3235 e_i^2 + 1933)(e_i \cos u) - 2115 e_i^4 + 3805 e_i^2 - 1536] C^2 \right], \quad (2.27u)
\]

\[X_{\text{S66}6}^2 = -X_{\text{S6S}6}^2 = \frac{C S^4}{96} (1 + 5 \eta^2 - 5 \eta) \sqrt{1 - e_i^2} \frac{e_i \sin u}{(1 - e_i \cos u)^3} \left[ -72(e_i \cos u)^4 + 312(e_i \cos u)^3 + (384 e_i^2 - 844)(e_i \cos u)^2 - (1053 e_i^2 - 1325)(e_i \cos u) - 384 e_i^4 + 1437 e_i^2 - 1105 \right], \quad (2.27v)
\]

\[X_{\text{S6S}6}^2 = \frac{C S^4}{960} (1 - 5 \eta + 5 \eta^2) \frac{1}{(1 - e_i \cos u)^3} \left[ 120(e_i \cos u)^6 - 600(e_i \cos u)^5 - (2160 e_i^2 - 3206)(e_i \cos u)^4 + (2860 e_i^2 - 8444)(e_i \cos u)^3 + (5760 e_i^4 - 19135 e_i^2 + 13051)(e_i \cos u)^2 - (11475 e_i^4 - 23240 e_i^2 + 11269)(e_i \cos u) - 3840 e_i^6 + 17235 e_i^4 - 21325 e_i^2 + 7776 \right], \quad (2.27w)
\]

\[X^2 = \frac{C S^2}{24} \frac{e_i \sin u}{(1 - e_i \cos u)^3} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e_i^2}} \left[ ((36 - 116 \eta + 12 \eta^2) e_i^2 + 1368 ÷ 459 \eta - 21 \eta^2) e_i^4 + (168 - 496 \eta - 40 \eta^2) e_i^2 - 27 + 85 \eta - 17 \eta^2 \right] + \sqrt{1 - e_i^2} (1 + 5 \eta^2 - 5 \eta)[6(e_i \cos u)^2 - 22(e_i \cos u) + 15 e_i^2 + 1] C^2 \right], \quad (2.27x)
\]

where \( C = \cos i \) and \( S = \sin i \). The relations between the coefficients like \( P_{\text{C6S}n}^i = P_{\text{S6S}n}^i \) or \( X_{\text{C6S}n}^i = -X_{\text{C6C}n}^i \) are a trivial trigonometric consequence of the \( \phi = \lambda + W \) split in the expression for GW polarizations in terms of \( \phi \).

To compare with the earlier 2PN accurate gauge independent expressions for \( h_\pm \) and \( h_\times \) for binaries in circular orbits, we proceed as follows. First, we set \( e_i = 0 \) in Eq. (2.23) and rewrite the resulting expressions for \( h_\pm \) and \( h_\times \) in terms.
of the “gauge independent” orbital angular frequency $\omega$ for circular orbits. The 2PN accurate relation connecting the mean motion $n$ to $\omega$ may be derived from Eqs. (39), (44) and (46) of [44] and it reads

$$\xi = \tau \left[ 1 - \frac{3}{2} \tau^{2/3} + \frac{8}{4(1-e^2)} \right] (51-26\eta)$$

where $\tau = Gm \omega/c^3$. Next, we use the following angular transformation relation $\phi = \lambda + W(l) = (\phi_{\text{BW}} - \pi/2)$, where $\phi_{\text{BW}}$ the orbital phase variable appearing in [8]. The expressions for $h_\times$ and $h_+,$ thus obtained agree [47] with Eqs. (2), (3) and (4) of [8] modulo the tail terms.

All the computations to obtain Eq. (2.23) are performed using MAPLE [48]. This completes the calculation of the 2PN accurate GW polarizations for compact binaries moving on elliptic orbits, modulo the tail terms.3 Though in principle the required equations for the tails are available in [32], the explicit expressions for the tail contribution to $h_\times$ and $h_+$ for eccentric binaries have not been obtained. As mentioned earlier, this should be computed and included to write down the complete 2PN polarizations.

III. INFLUENCE OF THE ORBITAL PARAMETERS ON THE WAVEFORM

In this section, we investigate the dominant effects of eccentricity, orbital inclination and other orbital elements on $h_\times(t)$ and $h_+(t)$. For this purpose, the one sided power spectral density of the Newtonian contributions to the polarization waves are computed, by taking the squared-modulus of their respective discrete Fourier transforms, sampled over an orbital period. The results thus describe the influence of orbital elements on the power spectrum of Newtonian waveforms when gravitational radiation-reaction is negligible and referred to here as a “non-evolving” waveform.

To relate earlier studies done at Newtonian order to the present one, we proceed in two stages. In the first instance, to compare with the results of [29,30], the orbital motion is restricted to the leading Newtonian order, and the periastron advance is mimicked by the introduction of an arbitrary constant shift parameter $k$ in the $\phi$ variable. In the second case, the orbital motion is taken to be 2PN accurate. In this case, the periastron advance is fully included in the formalism and explicitly defined in terms of the binary’s parameters like the masses and eccentricity. In both the cases mentioned above, only the leading Newtonian part of the GW polarizations is considered.

Let us begin with the “$\times$” polarization. For the ease of presentation, $h_N^\times$, the Newtonian part of $h_\times(t)$, is written compactly below as

$$h_N^\times = \frac{Gm \eta}{c^2 R} \left( \frac{Gm n}{c^2} \right)^{23} H^{(0)}_\times,$$  (3.1a)

$$H^{(0)}_\times = \{A_{2S}(l) \sin 2\lambda + A_{2C}(l) \cos 2\lambda\},$$  (3.1b)

where $A_{2S}(l) = A_{2S}(u(l)) = X_{S222}\cos 2(l - X_{S222}\sin 2(l)$, and $A_{2C}(l) = A_{2C}(u(l)) = X_{C222}\cos 2(l + X_{C222}\sin 2(l).$ Note that $A_{2S}(l)$ and $A_{2C}(l)$ are real and periodic functions of $l$ with period given by $2\pi/n.$ The spectral analysis of $h_\times$ will be performed using $H^{(0)}_\times$, the scaled $\times$ polarization waveform, because since we are dealing with non-evolving binaries, $(Gm \eta/c^2 R)(Gm n/c^3)^{23}$ essentially remains a constant over a few orbital periods. Similar arguments hold for $h_+$ too.

A. Newtonian orbital motion

In this section, we restrict the dynamics of the binary to Newtonian order. This implies we are using Eqs. (2.8a),(2.8b) for $\lambda$ and $W(l)$ in the $\phi = \lambda + W(l)$ split. However, following [29,30], we introduce an arbitrary periastron advance parameter $k$ into the definition of $\chi$ so that $\lambda = \phi_0 + (1+k)l$ and $W(l) = (u - u + e \sin u)(1+k).$ Note that with these forms for $\lambda$ and $W(l)$, the scaled GW polarization waveforms are entirely specified by $e, k$ and $\phi_0.$

As mentioned earlier, $A_{2S}(l)$ and $A_{2C}(l)$ are periodic functions of $l = n(t - t_0),$ where $n = 2\pi f_+$, $f_+$ being the frequency associated with the “radial period” [42] i.e. the time of return to the periastron. Consequently, they can be expanded in **Fourier series** as follows:

$$A_{2C}(l) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} C_j e^{ijl},$$  (3.2a)

$$A_{2S}(l) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} S_j e^{ijl},$$  (3.2b)

Employing Eqs. (3.2a),(3.2b) and $\lambda = \phi_0 + (1+k)l$, in Eqs. (3.1a),(3.1b), we get

$$H^{(0)}_\times = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (\bar{S}_j e^{ijl} + \bar{C}_j e^{ijl}),$$  (3.3)

where

$$\bar{S}_j = \frac{e^{i2\phi_0}}{2} (C_j - i S_j),$$  (3.4a)

$$\bar{C}_j = \frac{e^{-i2\phi_0}}{2} (C_j + i S_j),$$  (3.4b)

$$w_j = (j + 2 p),$$  (3.4c)
The "one sided power spectrum" for the Newtonian part is given by

\[ H^{(0)}_\infty = \frac{\sum_j |\tilde{C}_j| \tilde{C}_j e^{i\omega_j t} + \tilde{C}_j e^{-i\omega_j t} |^2}{\sum_j |\tilde{S}_j| \tilde{S}_j e^{i\omega_j t} + \tilde{S}_j e^{-i\omega_j t} |^2} \]  

Recalling \( l = n(t - t_0) \), with \( n = 2\pi f_r \), the frequency content and the associated intensities may be read off from the above. From Eq. (3.5), it follows that the Fourier spectrum of \( H^{(0)}_\infty \) consists of lines at frequencies \( \omega_j^+ = (j + 2p) \) and \( \omega_j^- = (j - 2p) \), with powers \((|\tilde{S}_j|^2 + |\tilde{C}_j|^2\)

**Equation (3.3) may be re-written as**

\[ H^{(0)}_\infty = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[ \tilde{S}_j e^{i\omega_j t} + \tilde{C}_j e^{-i\omega_j t} + \tilde{S}_j e^{-i\omega_j t} + \tilde{C}_j e^{i\omega_j t} \right] - [\tilde{S}_0 e^{2\pi l} + \tilde{C}_0 e^{-2\pi l}] \]  

The "one sided power spectrum" for the Newtonian part of \( h_\infty \) effectively reduces to

\[ H^{(0)}_\infty = \sqrt{2} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \tilde{S}_j \right|^2 e^{i\omega_j t} + \tilde{C}_j e^{-i\omega_j t} \right] + \tilde{S}_0 e^{2\pi l} \]  

**Equation (3.4d)**

\[ \omega_j^- = (j - 2p), \]  

**Equation (3.4e)**

\[ p = (1 + k). \]  

The "one sided power spectrum" for the Newtonian part of \( h_\infty \) effectively reduces to

\[ H^{(0)}_\infty = \sqrt{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[ \tilde{S}_j e^{i\omega_j t} + \tilde{C}_j e^{-i\omega_j t} \right] + \tilde{S}_0 e^{2\pi l} \]  

where explicitly the sum is over positive frequencies. In the generic case, the \( \omega_j^+ = (j + 2p) \) part gives lines at frequencies \( (1 + 2p) f_r, (2 + 2p) f_r, (3 + 2p) f_r, \ldots \), with strengths

**Equation (3.5)**

Thus, the Fourier series for Newtonian part of \( h_\infty \) effectively reduces to

\[ H^{(0)}_\infty = \sqrt{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[ \tilde{S}_j e^{i\omega_j t} + \tilde{C}_j e^{-i\omega_j t} \right] + \tilde{S}_0 e^{2\pi l} \]  

where explicitly the sum is over positive frequencies. In the generic case, the \( \omega_j^- = (j - 2p) \) part gives lines at frequencies \( (1 - 2p) f_r, (2 - 2p) f_r, (3 - 2p) f_r, \ldots \), with strengths proportional to \( |C_1|^2, |C_2|^2, |C_3|^2, \ldots \), etc. respectively. There will be also a line at frequency \( 2 p f_r \) with strength \( |\tilde{S}_0|^2 = |\tilde{C}_0|^2 \) [49].

These observations are easy to understand. At Newtonian order, in the absence of the periastron precession, i.e. \( k = 0 \), there is only one time scale in the problem, given by the orbital period and the spectrum consists of lines at multiples of the orbital frequency. When periastron precession is introduced, \( k \neq 0 \), a second slower time scale enters the problem, which splits and shifts original spectral lines from their earlier positions, thereby lifting the degeneracy associated with the non-precessing orbit.

A caveat is worth noting: The discussion after Eq. (3.7) is valid only if all the terms corresponding to frequencies \( (j_s + 2p) f_r \) and \( (j_s - 2p) f_r \) are linearly independent, where \( j_s \) and \( j_s \) are summation index for \( \tilde{C}_j \) and \( \tilde{S}_j \). This is in general true except when \( j_s + 2p = j_s - 2p \), which corresponds to values of \( k \) equal to 0, 0.25 and 0.5 [50]. For these values of \( k \), power in a given spectral line will have contributions both from \( \tilde{C}_j \) and \( \tilde{S}_j \) for different values of \( j_s \) given by \( j_s - j_s = 4 k \). These special values of \( k \) are interesting in that they can provide useful checks on the numerical accuracy of the analytical procedure outlined above. This is because for values of \( k = 0,0.5,0.25 \), the full time domain waveform [and not just the parts \( A_{2s}(l), A_{2c}(l) \)] \( H^{(0)}_\infty \) is exactly periodic over \( 2\pi, \pi, \) and \( 2\pi/2 \) intervals respectively. Consequently, one may alternatively compute the desired power spectrum by a direct Fourier transform of the full \( H^{(0)}_\infty \), without going via Eq. (3.7), which exploits double periodicity of \( \phi \) in \( l \) and \( \lambda \) Similar arguments hold true for the + polarization.

It is clear from Eq. (3.7) that the strengths of the different Fourier components are determined by the coefficients \( \tilde{C}_j \) and \( \tilde{S}_j \) which are given in terms of \( C_j \) and \( S_j \), the discrete Fourier transforms of \( A_{2c}(l) \) and \( A_{2s}(l) \). This has become possible since we have exploited the double-periodicity of the motion in angles \( l \) and \( \lambda \). Thus the calculation reduces to the numerical implementation of \( S_j \) and \( C_j \) which we turn to next.

Though the power spectrum for the Newtonian part of \( h_\infty \) can be obtained using Eq. (3.7), its implementation is not straightforward due to following reasons. First, the discrete Fourier transforms \( C_j \) and \( S_j \) can be evaluated using standard fast Fourier transform routines as in Numerical Recipes [51] only after \( A_{2s} \) and \( A_{2c} \) are written as explicit functions of \( l \). However, in our analysis they are explicit functions of \( u \) and thus implicit functions of \( l \) via \( l = u - e \sin u \). Consequently, we must first compute \( u(l) \) and substitute it in Eqs. (3.1a), (3.1b) to proceed. Secondly, \( W(u) = (1 - u + e \sin u) \) will not be a smooth function of \( u \) if we numerically implement \( v = 2 \tan^{-1}((1 + e)/(1 - e))^1/2 \tan(u/2) \). We will also need to use a smooth functional relation connecting \( v \) and \( u \) to obtain a well behaved \( H^{(0)}_\infty (u(l)) \) and \( H^{(l)}_\infty (u(l)) \).

Let us first consider the implementation of \( u(l) \). There are two independent ways to obtain \( u(l) \) from \( l = u - e \sin u \). The first method is widely used, for analytical treatments, in standard textbooks of celestial mechanics [52]. The idea here is to expand the eccentric anomaly \( u \) in terms of the mean anomaly \( l \). At the Newtonian order, it is given by

\[ u = l + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2}{s^2} \right) J_s(s e) \sin s l, \]  

where \( J_s(s e) \) is the Bessel function of the first kind of order \( s \) with \( s \geq 1 \).

Alternatively, we can numerically invert Eq. (2.5) connecting the mean and eccentric anomalies, using the Newton-Raphson method implemented by RTSAFE routine of Numerical Recipes [51], and obtain \( u(l) \). We compute \( u(l) \) using

\[ 4 \text{Using the Fourier integral theorem, it is easy to show that } A_{2c}(l) \text{ may be written in terms of the Fourier transform of } A_{2c}(l), \text{ the discretized version of which allows us to express } A_{2c}(l) \text{ in terms its discrete Fourier transform. Similar arguments apply to } A_{2s}(l). \]
both methods to make sure that they give consistent results, for the parameter values we are dealing with.

We now turn to the numerical implementation of $W(u)$. In textbooks of celestial mechanics, the transcendental relation connecting true and eccentric anomalies is expressed as a series given by

$$v - u = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_j}{j} \sin j u,$$

where $\beta = (1/c_e)(1 - \sqrt{1 - e^2})$. We use the above expansion of $v - u$ in $W(u) = v - u + e \sin u$ to circumvent artificial discontinuities in $W(u)$ as a function of the eccentric anomaly $u$.

Using the above inputs, we compute $u(l)$ and $W(u(l))$ at a finite number of points by sampling $l$. Next, we use the REALFT routine of [51] to compute the discrete Fourier transforms $S_j$ and $C_j$ of the discretely sampled periodic functions $A_{2S}(l)$ and $A_{2C}(l)$. We then compute the "one sided power spectrum" for the Newtonian $h_\times$ using Eq. (3.7) for various values of $e$, $k$, and $i$. We now have all the inputs to investigate the influence of orbital elements on the Newtonian part of the $\times$ polarization waveform. The results and discussions are postponed to the end of this section.

The spectral analysis for $h_+$ is similar to that for $h_\times$ and we only quote the main results without further details:

$$H_+^{(0)} = P_0(l) + P_1(l) \sin 2\lambda + P_2(l) \cos 2\lambda,$$

where $P_1 = P^{C_{2S}2S} \cos 2W + P^{S2S} \sin 2W$, $P_2 = P^{C_{2C}2C} \cos 2W + P^{S2C} \sin 2W$, and $P_0 = P^0 = (1-C^2) \cos u(1-e \cos u)$. The Fourier series for Eq. (3.10) is given by

$$H_+^{(0)} = \sum_{j=\infty}^{\infty} [\bar{S}_j e^{ij \omega_0 t} + \bar{C}_j e^{ij \omega_0 t} + \bar{P}_j e^{ij \omega_0 t}],$$

where $\bar{S}_j$ and $\bar{C}_j$ are defined similar to $\bar{S}_j$ and $\bar{C}_j$ but with $A_{2S}$ and $A_{2C}$ replaced by $P_1$ and $P_2$. Similarly, $\bar{P}_j$ is the discrete Fourier transform of $P_0(l)$. Using arguments similar to the ones used for the $H_\times^{(0)}$ analysis, we relate $\bar{S}_j$, $\bar{C}_j$, and $\bar{P}_j$ and obtain the "one sided power spectrum" for Newtonian $h_+$ as

$$H_+^{(0)} = \sqrt{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [\bar{S}_j e^{ij (\omega_0 + 2\omega_p) t} + \bar{C}_j e^{ij (\omega_0 - 2\omega_p) t} + \bar{P}_j e^{ij \omega_0 t}]

+ \bar{P}_0^0.$$

From Eq. (3.12), it follows that for the "+" polarization there will be lines at frequencies $0.2 p f_1, \ldots, 1 - 2 p f_1$, $2 - 2 p f_2$, $1 + 2 p f_3$, $2 - 2 p f_3$, $(4 - 2 p) f_1$, $1 - 2 p f_2$, $(2 - 2 p) f_2$, $(4 - 2 p) f_2$, . . . , with relative strengths $\bar{S}_j^2 |P_0|^2$, $|S_j^+|^2$, $|S_j^-|^2$, $|P_j|^2$, $|S_j^0|^2$, $|C_j^0|^2$, $|P_0^0|^2$, $|P_j^0|^2$, $|P_j^0|^2$, respectively. Note that there are lines unaffected by introduction of $k$. These arise from the non-$\lambda$ term in $H_\times^{(0)}(l)$. The values of $k = 0, 0.25, 0.5$ are special and require a treatment analogous to the corresponding one in the cross polarization case.

Using the above inputs, we plot the time-domain waveforms $h_\times^{(0)}(l)$ and the associated normalized relative power spectrum $(H_\times^{(0)}(l)/\sum_j (H_\times^{(0)}(l))^2)^{1/2}$ in Figs. 2–6. The combined influence of the orbital parameters like eccentricity $e$, periastron advance parameter $k$ and orbital inclination $i$, on the time-domain waveform and the associated power spectrum of the Newtonian $h_\times$ and $h_+$ using Newtonian accurate orbital motion is summarized below.

Eccentricity, $e$. The effects of $e$ on $H_\times^{(0)}$ are explored in Fig. 2. In the limit of low values of eccentricity $e$, as expected, the dominant contribution to the power spectrum, comes from the second harmonic. However, as the eccentricity $e$ increases, higher harmonics appear in the spectrum with comparable strengths. For a given value of the periastron advance $k$ and inclination angle $i$, the position of the dominant harmonic changes as the value of $e$ increases. The shape of the waveform also changes significantly as we increase $e$. For moderate and high values of $e$ there is a stronger burst of radiation near values $l = 0$ and $2 \pi$ corresponding to the periastron passage, since near the periastron, the two masses are closest to each other and their relative velocity is a maximum. In the frequency domain this results in the broad peak containing many frequencies. The line feature in the frequency domain on the other hand corresponds to the average orbital motion of the binary.

The "arbitrary" periastron advance parameter, $k$. The observation made here are based on Fig. 3. A careful inspection of $H_\times^{(0)}(l)$ with $k \neq 0$ indicates that in general they are not $2 \pi$ periodic. This is expected as $k$ is a measure of the angle of return to the periastron. As mentioned earlier, in the power spectrum, the main effect of including an arbitrary $k$ is a "splitting" and subsequent "shifting" of the position of each spectral line from its integer multiple value in units of $f_1$, the radial frequency. The shift is appreciable for medium and high eccentricities and leads to a shift of the dominant harmonic in the spectrum.

Orbital inclination, $i$. A change in the orbital inclination changes only the magnitude of $H_\times^{(0)}$ and its power spectrum, keeping the relative distribution of spectral lines the same. This is easy to see as the dependence of orbital inclination angle $i$ is easily factored out in the expression for $h_\times$. However, the shape of $H_\times^{(0)}$ and its power spectrum is influenced by $i$ as seen in Fig. 4.

If the polarizations of the gravitational wave $h_\times$ and $h_+$ are available, the orbital inclination can be inferred by computing the ratio of the total power measured in each polarization. For circular orbits, the result analytically follows since $|h_\times^{(0)}|^2$ is only a function of $i$ and is given by $4 \cos^2 i(1 + \cos^2 \omega_p)^2$. In the general eccentric case, to explore this, we plot $|h_\times^{(0)}|^2/|h_+^{(0)}|^2$ as a function of $i$ for various values of the $e$ and $k$ in Fig. 5. The plots are identical for different values of $e$ and $k$ but vary with the inclination angle providing support for the claim made in the beginning of this paragraph.
Even though in general, an arbitrary periastron advance parameter $k$ at Newtonian order destroys the $2\pi$-periodicity of $H_{3,0}(l)$, we may choose $k$ values exactly equal to 0 or 0.5 so that $H_{3,0}(l)$ is still $2\pi$ periodic. These particular values of $k$ allow us to perform useful numerical checks on our analytical procedure. In this case, we can compute the power spectrum directly from $H_{3,0}(l)$ by numerically implementing the discrete Fourier transform of Eqs. (3.1a,3.1b). We can also implement Eq. (3.7) to obtain the power spectrum, after adding contributions from various $C_j$ and $S_j$ to a given harmonic, which is now some integer multiple of the radial frequency, $f_r$. The results are displayed in Fig. 6. For better comparison, in these figures, we normalize relative to the power in the dominant harmonic rather than relative to the total power as in other figures. We next choose $k=0.25$, so that $H_{3,0}(l)$ is now $4\pi$ periodic. Again, comparison with the power spectrum computed directly from Eqs. (3.1a,3.1b) and via Eq. (3.7) is possible. The results for $k=0$, 0.25 and 0.5 via these two methods are compared and found to be identical up to numerical errors as seen in Fig. 6, providing important checks on our analysis and routines that compute the one sided power spectrum via Eq. (3.7). We observe a similar behavior for $H_{+}^{0}$.

### B. The 2PN accurate orbital description

The spectral analysis discussed in the previous section may be extended to 2PN accurate orbital motion with minor technical modifications. The expressions for $W(l)$ and $\lambda$ in the $\phi=\lambda+W(l)$ split are now given by Eqs. (2.13a)-(2.13c). Moreover, the orbital elements appearing in $H_{3,0}(l)$ and $H_{-}^{0}(l)$ are now 2PN accurate. These changes will modify expressions for $S_j$, $C_j$ in Eq. (3.7) for the “×” waveform and the corresponding expressions for the “+” waveform.

![FIG. 2. Plots for scaled GW polarization waveform, $H_{3,0}^{0}$ as a function of the mean anomaly, $l$ and the corresponding normalized relative power spectrum using Newtonian orbital motion, for various values of eccentricity $e$. Note in $H_{3,0}^{0}(l)$ a “burst” of GW emission near periastron passage and a shift in the position of the dominant harmonic in the power spectrum as $e$ increases. In the Fourier domain, the former results in a broad frequency rich peak. In all panels, the (arbitrary) periastron precession constant and the orbital inclination angle take values 0.1 and $\pi/3$ respectively.](image1)

![FIG. 3. The configuration is similar to Fig. 2, but in the panels, $k$, the (arbitrary) periastron precession constant is varied for fixed eccentricity $e=0.5$ and orbital inclination angle $i=\pi/3$. Note the splitting and shifting of spectral lines from integer multiple values of $f_r$ as $k$ is increased.](image2)
To implement the 2PN accurate spectral analysis, we note the following: First, at the 2PN level the simpler approach to obtain the \( u(l) \) relation, connecting the mean and eccentric anomalies, is to numerically solve for \( u(l) \) from Eqs. (2.11a), (2.11b) because 2PN accurate analytic expression for \( u(l) \) similar to Eq. (3.8) is not available in the literature. However, we may employ Eq. (3.9) with \( e_f \) in the place of \( e \) to get \( v - u \) at 2PN order. This is because in the generalized quasi-Keplerian representation, the relation connecting true anomaly \( v \) to eccentric anomaly \( u \) has the same structural form as for the Keplerian case. Secondly, there are post-Newtonian corrections to the relations connecting \( e_r \) and \( e_\phi \) to \( e_f \), \( m_1 \), \( m_2 \) and \( n \). In our analysis, only those values of \( e_r \) are considered which lead to \( e_\phi \cdot e_r \) less than one. Finally, in this 2PN accurate orbital description, the periastron precession constant \( k \) is no longer arbitrary but uniquely determined by \( m_1 \), \( m_2 \), \( n \) and \( e_f \) as given by in Eqs. (2.14a)–(2.14e).

We explore the effects of 2PN accurate orbital motion on the power spectrum for \( \times \) polarization in Figs. 7 and 8. In Fig. 7, we explore the influence of \( e_f \) on the relative power spectrum and the behavior is qualitatively similar to the Newtonian case. We explore, in Fig. 8, the effect of changing values for \( k \) by varying \( m_1 \), \( m_2 \) and \( n \) after fixing the value of \( e_f \). We see that the behavior is similar to the Newtonian case when we vary values of \( k \) for a given \( e_f \). This is required as at 2PN order, for a given \( e_f \), \( k \) is uniquely determined by \( m_1 \), \( m_2 \) and \( n \). However, there are quantitative differences in that positions and strengths of various harmonics are different in the Newtonian and the 2PN cases.

A quantitative comparison between the spectral analysis with Newtonian and 2PN motion is presented in Figs. 9 and 10. Note that we can perform this comparison as we are using scaled polarization waveforms, \( H_1^0 \) and \( H_0^0 \). In Fig. 9, we plot both \( H_1^0(l) \) and its power spectrum using Newtonian and 2PN accurate orbital motion. We choose the arbitrary
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parameter $k$, introduced in the Newtonian case to match the 2PN accurate $k$ associated with the generalized quasi-Keplerian representation. In this manner, we force orbital elements for Newtonian and 2PN dynamics to be the same. Though, qualitatively similar, the plots for the Newtonian and the 2PN orbital motion are quantitatively different in that strengths of spectral lines are different by a few parts in thousand in most cases.

Finally, in Fig. 10, we plot $H^0_3(l)$ and its power spectrum as a function of orbital inclination angle for Newtonian and 2PN accurate orbital motion. The value of $k$ for the Newtonian runs are again chosen so that it is comparable to the actual 2PN accurate $k$ value. It is clear from these figures that inclusion of PN corrections to orbital motion changes distribution of spectral lines, though the position of the dominant (maximum amplitude) harmonic is roughly the same. This figure also shows how the orbital inclination angle $i$ slowly modulates the spectral lines for Newtonian and 2PN orbital motion.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

A. Summary of results

In this paper we have computed all the “instantaneous” 2PN contributions to $h_+$ and $h_\times$ for two compact objects of arbitrary mass ratio moving in elliptical orbits, using 2PN corrections to $h_{ij}^{TT}$ and the generalized quasi-Keplerian representation for the 2PN motion. The expressions for $h_+$ and $h_\times$ obtained here represent gravitational radiation from an elliptical binary during that stage of inspiral when orbital parameters are essentially the same over a few orbital periods, in other words when the gravitational radiation reaction is negligible. We investigate the effect of eccentricity, advance of periastron and orbital inclination on the power spec-

FIG. 6. Plots of $H^k_3 l$, $A_2(l)$, $A_3(l)$ as a function of mean anomaly, $l$ and their relative power spectra constructed using Eq. (3.7) and directly using $H^k_3 l$. The orbital motion is Newtonian accurate and we employ certain specific values of $k=0$, 0.5 and 0.25. The relative power spectra, plotted in third column, are numerically identical, hence indistinguishable. Note that plots in second column are always $2\pi$ periodic, while those in the first column are $2\pi$, $4\pi$ and $8\pi$ periodic for $k=0$, $k=0.5$ and $k=0.25$ respectively. We also observe that for $k=0$, the relative power spectrum depends on the position of periastron $\phi_0$.

FIG. 7. Plots for scaled GW polarization waveform, $H^k_3 l$ and corresponding normalized relative power spectrum using 2PN accurate orbital motion, for various values of $e_r$. Unlike in Fig. 2, the value of $k$ cannot be independently chosen since it is uniquely determined by the values of $m_1$, $m_2$, $n$ and $e_r$. The observations from plots are similar to Fig. 2, as variation in $k$ is small compared to that in $e_r$. In all frames, $m_1=m_2=1.4 M_\odot$, orbital inclination angle $i = \pi/3$ and mean motion $n=100$ radians per second.
trum of the Newtonian part of $h_+$ and $h_\times$. The 2PN accurate generalized quasi-Keplerian representation is used in conjunction with two angular variables $l$ and $\lambda$ chosen to facilitate the subsequent analysis of the waveform evolving under gravitational radiation reaction. These expressions thus form the first step in the direction of obtaining “ready-to-use” theoretical templates for inspiraling compact bodies moving in quasi-elliptical orbits.

**B. Future directions**

There are several issues that remain open for further investigation. We list them below.

(1) The next natural step is to obtain evolving $h_\times$ and $h_+$, when lowest order radiation reaction effects are included in the evolution of orbital elements. This is currently under investigation [14].

(2) There are tail contributions to $h_+$ and $h_\times$ appearing at 1.5PN and 2PN orders. Though the formal expressions for tail terms are available in [32,10], they need to be written down in a form similar to “instantaneous” contributions to $h_+$ and $h_\times$ presented in this paper.

(3) After computing “ready-to-use” search templates for inspiraling binaries in “quasi-elliptical” orbits, one will be able to address a variety of data analysis issues related to the observations of gravitational radiation from eccentric binaries in great detail. These could include defining a “restricted post-Newtonian” waveform, extending to 2PN accuracy the

**FIG. 8.** The configuration is similar to Fig. 7, but in the panels we vary masses and mean motion to get the different values of $k$, rather than $e$. For all plots $e_1 = 0.4$ and $i = \pi/3$. Qualitatively, conclusions are similar to Fig. 3. Unit for $n$ will be radians per second.

**FIG. 9.** Plots of scaled GW polarization waveform, $H_0^0(l)$ as a function of mean anomaly, $l$ and corresponding normalized relative power spectrum for Newtonian and 2PN accurate orbital motion. Given $e_1$, we vary values for $m_1$, $m_2$ and $n$, to make $k$ values the same in the 2PN and the Newtonian accurate orbital motion. In all frames, orbital inclination angle is $\pi/3$. Panels in the 2nd and 4th rows are for Newtonian orbital motion, whereas panels in the 1st and 3rd rows are for 2PN accurate orbital motion. We see minor quantitative differences in the position and strength of spectral lines at these two orders.
effect of eccentricity on detection discussed in [29,53] where currently the orbital dynamics is restricted to leading Newtonian order only.

(4) Finally, the analysis of the present paper may be useful for detecting continuous gravitational waves from known sources in binaries. Recent analysis [25] employing a Keplerian representation for the binary’s orbital motion indicates that the computational cost required to search such sources is affordable. The present analysis and [14] may be crucial for including the relevant relativistic effects.

*Note added in proof.* We observe that the results of our spectral analysis are in agreement with Ref. [30] for low values of $k$. Since the effect of the periastron precession on the amplitude of spectral lines is not fully taken into consideration in Ref. [30], the strength of the spectral lines in our analysis differs from theirs, for high values of $k$. It should be noted that for a given $k$, both methods give the same frequency shift for spectral lines, for all values of $k$.
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[1] The easiest way to obtain brief or detailed information about Earth and space based interferometers is to visit their respective web sites which are given below: LIGO: http://www.ligo.caltech.edu; VIRGO: http://www.virgo.infn.it; GEO600: http://www.geo600.uni-hannover.de; TAMA: http://tamago.mtk.nao.ac.jp
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Following conventions used in celestial mechanics, the orbital phase was measured from the line of nodes in [8], which coincide with +ve y-axis in Fig. 1. However, we measure the orbital phase from +ve x-axis. This is because the 2PN accurate generalized quasi-Keplerian parametrization is given in the usual polar coordinates, r and φ. The angular variable φ, appearing in polar coordinates, is usually measured from +ve x-axis as shown in Fig. 1. This is why we use angular transformation relation φ = λ + W(l) = (φ_Haww − π/2) to compare circular limit of our expressions for h_+ and h_∞ with those presented in [8], modulo the tail terms.

At Newtonian order, units for f_ are arbitrary. This is because the only relevant parameters e and k do not define n uniquely when we vary I = n(−t_0) from 0 to 2 π to get H^{(l)}_+(l). However, at 2PN order, units for f_ are Hertz, since to get realistic values of k, defined in terms of m_1, m_2, n, and e, we choose f_ in Hertz along with m_1 and m_2 in solar mass units.

We consider k = 0.0, 0.25, 0.5 values as they make H^{(l)}_+(l) periodic within a couple of orbits. Note that k = 0 may be thought to represent a binary with vanishing periastron advance.

